Nonequilibrium electronic transport in a one-dimensional Mott insulator
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We calculate the nonequilibrium electronic transport properties of a one-dimensional interacting chain at half filling, coupled to noninteracting leads. The interacting chain is initially in a Mott insulator state that is driven out of equilibrium by applying a strong bias voltage between the leads. For bias voltages above a certain threshold we observe the breakdown of the Mott insulator state and the establishment of a steady-state electronic current through the system. Based on extensive time-dependent density-matrix renormalization-group simulations, we show that this steady-state current always has the same functional dependence on voltage, independent of the microscopic details of the model and we relate the value of the threshold to the Lieb-Wu gap. We frame our results in terms of the Landau-Zener dielectric breakdown picture. Finally, we also discuss the real-time evolution of the current, and characterize the current-carrying state resulting from the breakdown of the Mott insulator by computing the double occupancy, the spin structure factor, and the entanglement entropy.
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I. INTRODUCTION

The theoretical understanding of the nonequilibrium transport properties of strongly interacting systems in low dimensions has become a very active field of research, mainly due to the experimental activity in the fields of nanoscale materials1–3 and cold atomic gases,4 as well as due to advances in theoretical methods designed to deal with both the nonequilibrium situation and electronic correlations (see Refs. 5–7 for an overview and references therein). When considering nonequilibrium electronic transport, we have in mind a nanostructure that is subject to a large external voltage such that linear-response theory does not apply anymore. The main theoretical question that one would like to address is the dependence of the electrical current on the applied voltage, i.e., the current-voltage characteristics, understanding not only the steady-state current reached on large time scales but also the transient regime appearing on shorter time scales. Another important question is the characterization of the current-carrying state, contrasting its properties against equilibrium states in the absence of a voltage. From the experimental point of view, knowledge of the full dependence of the electronic current on the bias voltage through an interacting nanostructure is a question of utmost importance, as this measurement is a standard technique to map out electronic energy levels and to observe many-body effects in nanostructures (see, e.g., Ref. 8 for experimental work and Ref. 9 on theoretical work).

A paramount issue when studying transport in strongly interacting systems is the behavior of the insulating states characteristic of these systems, the most relevant of which is the Mott insulator (MI) state. Considerable theoretical efforts have so far been devoted to the study of nonequilibrium transport in nanostructures such as quantum dots (see, e.g., Refs. 10–21). Using state-of-the-art-numerical approaches, substantial progress has been made in calculating the current-voltage characteristics and nonequilibrium properties of some basic models, such as the interacting resonant level model11 or the single-impurity Anderson model10,14,16,17,21 as well as in understanding their transient behavior.14,22,23 Whereas quantum dots with an odd number of electrons exhibit perfect conductance in the low-bias regime due to the Kondo effect,24 an extended region with repulsive interactions, an even number of electrons, and at half filling is an insulator. The crossover from single quantum dots to this Mott insulating state has been studied in Refs. 25–27 on the level of linear-response theory, showing that the ground state alternates between a conducting state for an odd number of sites and an insulating state for an even number of sites. Of course, in the limit of large systems, the difference between N and N + 1 electrons becomes irrelevant and the perfect conductance in a system with an odd number of electrons can only be observed at, with respect to experiments, unrealistically low-energy scales.

In this paper, we shall thus turn our attention to nonequilibrium electronic transport through an extended interacting region, described by the one-dimensional (1D) Hubbard model with repulsive onsite interactions. Specifically we consider a 1D system consisting of an interacting region of length $L_{\text{int}}$ connected to two noninteracting leads (see Fig. 1). The interacting region is initially in the MI state and we focus on the strongly interacting regime with interaction strength on the order or larger than the bandwidth. The sud-
in agreement with Refs. 32–34 and 37, implying that at sufficiently large voltages, the system is driven to a conducting state with $J \approx V$. We show that $V_c \propto \Delta^2$, where $\Delta$ is the Mott gap. In addition, we analyze several quantities in the current-carrying state, with a particular focus on the double occupancy and spin correlations. While the current-carrying state still has a tendency toward antiferromagnetic correlations, this instability is strongly suppressed compared to the MI state. However, neither the spin-structure factor nor the double occupancy, which is a measure of the interaction energy stored in the interacting region, saturate in the time window that we can access numerically. This suggests that the interacting region still undergoes a reorganization of internal energy while the particle flow in and out of the interacting region is already constant. The crossover from the insulating regime to the conducting regime is also reflected in the time dependence of the entanglement entropy. We further show that this quantity behaves similarly to the case of global quenches: in our setup, which is relevant for transport, the entanglement entropy increases linearly in time in the conducting regime. Here, the increase in entanglement is due to real particles moving around, different from the situation encountered in quantum quenches with homogeneous particle densities, in which propagating collective excitations induce entanglement.

One-dimensional Mott insulators can be realized experimentally in several classes of materials. A promising class of materials that have been suggested to realize 1D MI are carbon nanotubes.

49–54 A recent experiment on carbon-nanotube field-effect devices made from small-band gap and nominally metallic carbon nanotubes has shown evidence for the realization of such a MI state.55 Theoretical work indicates that carbon nanotubes can be modeled by the Hubbard model on a two-leg ladder geometry. Since in this effort we are interested in the generic behavior of a MI in the nonequilibrium regime, and since we also need to keep the numerical effort at a manageable level, we will consider only 1D chains, as opposed to ladders. Nevertheless our results may set the grounds for future studies on the appealing two-leg ladder geometry.

Besides realizations in nanostructures, the electronic properties of some quasi-one-dimensional transition metal oxides are known to be well described by the one-dimensional Hubbard model. Most notably, Mott insulator physics was found to be realized in SrCuO$_2$ and Sr$_2$CuO$_3$ and the specific question of the dielectric breakdown of the MI state was experimentally addressed by Taguchi et al. in Ref. 56. The actual physics of this experiment, however, may go beyond a simple Hubbard model description, as has been emphasized by Eckstein et al.30

An additional and related line of experimental research uses time-resolved photoelectron spectroscopy to drive systems with a gap into gapless phases (see, e.g., Ref. 57). This method allows one to discriminate Mott insulators from other insulating states.

The outline of the paper is the following. In Sec. II we present the model and briefly describe our numerical approach. In Sec. III we present our results for real-time currents, spin correlations, the double occupancy, and the entanglement entropy. Section IV contains a summary and we
discuss our results, contrasting them against the recent literature.

II. MODEL AND METHODS

To study the nonequilibrium transport in a Mott insulator we consider a one-dimensional chain with \( L \) electronic sites. The chain is divided into three different regions: a noninteracting region at the left, representing a lead; an interacting region in the center, where the Mott insulator state is located; and another noninteracting region at the right, representing another lead (see Fig. 1). This setup allows us to include the effects of the leads, complementary to the approach taken in Ref. 34. The number of sites of the left (right) lead is \( L_{\text{L}} \) (\( L_{\text{R}} \)), and in the interacting region is \( L_{\text{int}} \). The Hamiltonian of the whole system can be written as

\[
H = H_{\text{int}} + H_{\text{int-leads}} + H_{\text{leads}},
\]

(2)

where

\[
H_{\text{int}} = -t' \sum_{\sigma, i=L+1} n_{i-1, \sigma} c^\dagger_{i-1, \sigma} c^\sigma_{i, \sigma} + \text{H.c.}
\]

\[
H_{\text{int-leads}} = -t' \sum_{\sigma, i=1} \left( c^\dagger_{i, \sigma} c_{i+1, \sigma} + c_{i, \sigma} c^\dagger_{i+1, \sigma} \right) + \text{H.c.}
\]

\[
+ \epsilon_0 \sum_{\sigma, i=1} \left( 1 + n_{i, \sigma} \right) + U \sum_{\sigma, i=1} n_{i, \sigma} n_{i, \sigma}.
\]

(3)

is the Hamiltonian of a Hubbard chain with onsite Coulomb repulsion \( U > 0 \). \( t' \) is the hopping matrix element between the sites in the interacting region and \( \epsilon_0 \) is the chemical potential in the interacting region. The second term in the Hamiltonian is

\[
H_{\text{int-leads}} = -t' \sum_{\sigma, i=1} \left( c^\dagger_{i, \sigma} c_{i+1, \sigma} + c_{i, \sigma} c^\dagger_{i+1, \sigma} \right) + \text{H.c.}
\]

(4)

connecting the Hubbard chain to the leads with a hopping \( t' \), resulting in a tunneling rate \( \Gamma = 2t'^2 \). The third term in the Hamiltonian is

\[
H_{\text{leads}} = -t_{\text{leads}} \sum_{\sigma, i=1} \left( c^\dagger_{i, \sigma} c_{i+1, \sigma} + c_{i, \sigma} c^\dagger_{i+1, \sigma} \right) + \text{H.c.}
\]

\[
- t_{\text{leads}} \sum_{\sigma, i=1} \left( c^\dagger_{i, \sigma} c_{i+1, \sigma} + \text{H.c.} \right).
\]

(5)

where \( t_{\text{leads}} \) is the hopping matrix element in the leads. In most simulations, we set \( t' = t_{\text{leads}} = 1 \) as the unit of energy unless stated otherwise. In all the equations above \( c^\dagger_{i, \sigma} \) represents the creation operator for an electron at site \( i \) and spin projection \( \sigma = \uparrow, \downarrow \), \( n_{i, \sigma} = c^\dagger_{i, \sigma} c_{i, \sigma} \), and \( n_{i} = n_{\uparrow, i} + n_{\downarrow, i} \).

We are interested in the time evolution of the MI state in the interacting portion of the chain when it is driven out of equilibrium by a strong voltage bias applied between the leads. Therefore, we first need to find the ground state of the system when the interacting portion of the chain is at half filling \((\epsilon_0 = -U/2)\) and then solve the time-dependent Schrödinger equation for the perturbed system with this state as an initial condition. The former is accomplished by per-

forming a ground-state DMRG (Refs. 58–60) calculation with \( N = L \) particles. To perturb the system and to drive the chain out of equilibrium we add an extra term to the Hamiltonian, Eq. (2), which has the effect of adding an electric potential at time \( t=0 \),

\[
H_{\text{bias}} = \Theta(t) \sum_{i=1}^{L} V_i n_{i, \uparrow},
\]

where \( \Theta(t) \) is the Heaviside step function and

\[
V_i = \begin{cases} -V/2 & i \leq L_i \\ -(i-L_i)E & L_i < i \leq L_i + L_{\text{int}} \\ V/2 & i > L_i + L_{\text{int}} \end{cases}
\]

(6)

where \( L_i = L_i + (L_{\text{int}} + 1) / 2 \). This mimics the effect of an electric field \( E = V/(L_{\text{int}} + 1) \) acting in the interacting part of the chain, \( V \) being the bias voltage induced between the leads (see Fig. 1).

To solve the time-dependent Schrödinger equation we use the adaptive time-dependent DMRG technique\(^{29,30}\) with the methods introduced in Refs. 11, 14, 23, 30, 31, and 61 to simulate nonequilibrium transport. In some cases, we use systems with \( L_{\text{L}} \) odd and \( L_{\text{R}} \) even since we find that the finite-size effects in the currents are less severe for this configuration (compare with Refs. 23, 61, and 62 for the case of few quantum dots).

The tDMRG simulations are carried out using a third-order Trotter-Suzuki breakup with a time step of \( \delta t = 0.1/\text{t}_{\text{leads}} \) and under the constraint of a fixed, maximum discarded weight of \( \delta \rho = 10^{-7} \). In practice, this implies that one starts the time evolution with a relatively small number of states \((m \approx 100)\), which then grows fast. The maximum number of states during the time evolution is \( m = 1600 \) states.

Since the accuracy of the numerical results solely depends on these control parameters, i.e., the discarded weight and the time step, tDMRG can be considered a quasixact method, as the numerical error can be estimated by varying \( \delta t \) and \( \delta \rho \).

In nonequilibrium, the entanglement encoded in the time-dependent wave function is not bounded by any area law as is the ground-state entanglement\(^{45}\) and may indeed increase extensively as a function of time. Typically, in so-called global quenches (i.e., the instantaneous and homogeneous change in one parameter on all sites) one finds a linear increase in the entanglement entropy (the von-Neumann entropy) \( S_{VN} \sim t \) with time (see, e.g., Ref. 47 for the case of conformally invariant systems). Since the number of states \( m \) used in a DMRG calculation scales as \(^{60}\)

\[
m \propto e^c_\theta E_{\text{V}N},
\]

(7)

reaching long time scales is an exponentially expensive computational task whenever \( S_{VN} \sim t \). Understanding the time dependence of \( S_{VN} \) itself in generic setups is thus an important objective to judge limitations and capabilities of tDMRG, besides the general and timely interest in its time dependence in various kinds of quenches.\(^{47,48,64}\)

The fact that the number of states increases monotonically with time defines a maximum time for each simulation as the time at which the number of states needed to keep the dis-
carded weight under a fixed value $\delta p$ exceeds the maximum of $m=1600$. Then, for representative parameters, we perform several runs with different $\delta p$ to assess and assure the numerical quality of the data, which ultimately determines the maximum time $t_{\text{max}}$ at which the data for a given observable are still sufficiently reliable.

We define the symmetrized tunnel current as the average of the two local currents connecting the interacting region to the left and right leads

$$j = \frac{i t'}{2} \sum_{\sigma} \left( c^+_{L_1} c_{L_2}^\sigma c_{L_3}^\sigma c_{L_4}^\sigma + c^+_{L_2} c_{L_3}^\sigma c_{L_4}^\sigma c_{L_1}^\sigma - \text{H.c.} \right).$$

We will denote the time-dependent expectation value of the symmetrized current by $J(t) = \langle j(t) \rangle$ whereas the time-averaged current will simply be denoted as $\bar{J}$. The currents are measured in units such that $J/V=2$ corresponds to perfect conductance, i.e., $G_0=2e^2/h$ ($e=h=1$ in our work). Local currents $\langle j_i \rangle$ on other bonds are defined accordingly.

III. RESULTS

The structure of this section is the following. First, we present the real-time data for the electric current and discuss the properties of the steady-state currents established after the dielectric breakdown of the Mott insulator takes place. Second, we analyze the current-voltage characteristics. As the main result of the paper we find a simple function to describe the current as a function of the bias voltage and the value of the Lieb-Wu gap associated to the initial Mott insulating state, similar to the results reported by Oka et al.34,37

Third, we characterize the current-carrying state in the interacting region by studying the time evolution of the charge and the current profiles, the double occupancy, and the spin-spin correlations. Finally, we discuss the time dependence of the entanglement entropy.

A. Real-time data and steady-state currents

Figure 2 shows some examples of the real-time data for the symmetrized tunnel current obtained from our simulations for $U/t''=5$ and two values of $\Gamma$. The transient behavior, in general, can be expected to depend on both the tunneling rate, set by $\Gamma=2(t')^2$, and the voltage. For a small interacting region coupled to noninteracting leads, the transient regime has been studied in Refs. 14, 22, 23, and 65–67.

In our results, for all voltages, the generic behavior is that the current first goes through a transient regime, with a maximum reached in the time window $0 \leq t \leq 1/\Gamma$. The figure shows that the time scale for reaching the first maximum is independent of the bias while it clearly depends on $\Gamma$ (this is obvious if one plots the results versus time in units of $1/t_{\text{leads}}$). Then, accompanied with oscillations whose period decreases with increasing voltage $V$, we reach a quasissteady state regime (typically at times $t \Gamma \approx 2, \ldots, 6$), where the current is constant, apart from oscillations. The amplitude of the oscillations decays as the steady state is approached, yet from our data we cannot determine whether this decay is an exponential one or not. The period $t_\omega$ of the oscillations is a monotonically decreasing function, similar to the case of single quantum dots in which $t_\omega \propto 1/V$.35,36

The time window over which the steady-state current can be sustained on a finite system can in principle depend on both $L$ and $L_{\text{int}}$. $L$ trivially limits the accessible time scales to $t < t_{\text{rec}} = 2(L-L_{\text{int}})/v_F$, where $v_F$ is the Fermi velocity in the leads, $51,14,23$ since by that time, the perturbations induced in the leads by the application of the bias have traveled from the interacting region to the boundary and back, then perturbing the quasissteady-state currents. $L_{\text{int}}$ does not pose any limit on the stability of the steady-state regime for the setup considered here because the bias voltage is introduced locally as a homogeneous electric field. Therefore we choose the values of $L$ and $L_{\text{int}}$ to give a value of $t_{\text{rec}}$ similar to the $t_{\text{max}}$ discussed in the previous section, $t_{\text{rec}} \approx t_{\text{max}}$.

B. I-V characteristics

In this section we focus on the steady-state current and its dependence on the various parameters of the model, presenting results obtained from extensive numerical calculations. In practice, we compute the steady-state current by averaging results obtained from extensive numerical calculations.

We shall find that the current is a
simple function of the bias voltage with all the microscopic details of the model encoded in the two coefficients $a$ and $V_c$ in Eq. (1). $I$-$V$ curves were previously presented for the ring geometry for very short chains and in that case, the currents were extracted from the short-time dynamics.32

Figure 3 shows the steady-state current $J$ as a function of the bias voltage $V$ for $L_{\text{int}}=20$ and $U/t''=5$ with $t'=t''=0.2t_{\text{leads}}$. The data from our numerical simulations for $J$ as a function of the bias voltage fit to Eq. (1) with an excellent agreement, $a$ and $V_c$ being the fitting parameters. Therefore, for values of $V<V_c$ below the threshold $V_c$, $J$ is exponentially suppressed whereas for values of $V>V_c$ above the threshold, $J$ increases linearly. The exponential term is dominant at low bias and causes the suppression of the current and represents the Landau-Zener tunneling rate across the Mott gap. The linear term is dominant at large bias and represents the motion of current-carrying excitations across the chain in the conducting regime.

Figure 4 contains the $I$-$V$ curves for several different $U/t''$, keeping $t'$ and $t''$ fixed. Motivated by Fig. 2 from Ref. 32, we have plotted the steady-state current as a function of $V/\Delta_c^2$, where $\Delta_c$ is the charge gap. We have calculated the charge gap for finite systems with $L_{\text{int}}=20$ sites, not connected to any leads, using

$$ \Delta_c = \left[ E_0(N + 2, S') + E_0(N - 2, S') - 2E_0(N, S') \right]/2, $$

where $E_0(N, S')$ is the ground-state energy in subspaces with $N$ fermions and a total spin projection $S'$. Using this, and by also plotting the current in units of $U^2$, all curves collapse on a single one, which, in particular, suggests $V_c \propto \Delta_c^2$, as expected for a Landau-Zener type of breakdown of the MI state.32,34 As we show here, this important fingerprint of Landau-Zener physics also survives upon coupling the interacting region to leads.

We here therefore find essentially the same dependence of $V_c$ on $U$ as Oka et al.32,34 namely, $V_c \propto \Delta_c^2$ but with incorporating the leads into the model. There are some differences, though. First, it should be noted that our time-averaged current is extracted from simulations that reach much longer times than Ref. 32 where only the short-time dynamics was available to estimate the steady-state currents. Second, we do not find an abrupt increase in the current at the threshold voltage, in contrast to Ref. 32. Therefore, our data are in a better agreement with the result of mapping the problem to a quantum walk (see Fig. 3 in Ref. 69). We attribute the quantitative differences between Fig. 5 in Ref. 32 and our Fig. 3 to the difference in the calculation of $J$, the fact that our systems are larger, and the inclusion of the leads.

To further explore the effect of the leads on $V_c$, we have computed $I$-$V$ curves for a fixed value of $U/t''=5$ and several $t'$, as shown in Fig. 5. Qualitatively, a larger $t'$ leads to an overall increase in the current as reflected in the $t'$ dependence of $a$ to be discussed later on. The threshold exhibits a weak dependence on $t'$ as well, as we demonstrate in Fig. 6(a). Our observation is that $V_c(t'<t'')>V_c(t''=t')$ and $V_c(t'>t'')<V_c(t''=t')$. The latter behavior can be explained by the observation that close to the interface, the local charge gap depends on $t'$: $t'<t''$ leads to a slightly enhanced gap compared to the bulk gap and vice versa. As a consequence, the double occupancy $\langle d_{ij}\rangle = \langle n_i n_j \rangle$ (discussed in detail below) in the interacting region is enhanced close to the interface compared to the bulk value for $t'>t''$ while it is suppressed for $t'<t''$. Therefore, for $t'<t''$ the contacts suppress the current, giving rise to an increase in $V_c$. In the case of $t''$, the largest local gap is in the bulk of the MI and decreases toward the boundary. The decrease in $V_c$ as $t'' \to t_{\text{leads}}$ can be understood as a consequence of a smaller mismatch between $t''$, $t''$ and $t_{\text{leads}}$ in that limit, which should give rise to an increase in the transmission of electrons across the interface region. Note that we observe that bound-
any effects in the initial state typically decay to the bulk
values over a distance of about five sites, suggesting that
$L_{\text{int}} = 20$ is a reasonable choice to probe both the bulk and
contact properties.

Next, we address the dependence of the prefactor $a$ on $t'$. The
coefficient $a$ sets the value of the differential conduc-
tance in the conducting regime. We present our results for
$a$ and various combinations of $t'$ in Fig. 6(b), in units of
$G_0 = 2e^2/h$. Interestingly, in all cases studied, $a < 2G_0$. More-
over, this coefficient $a$ monotonically increases with $t'$ or
$\Gamma = 2t'^2$. To summarize, $a$ depends on both $t'$ and $U$ and,
phenomenologically, we find that $a \propto U^2$ results in a convinc-
ing collapse of the $I$-$V$ curves for $U > 4t'$ (compare Fig. 4).

We have also studied the dependence of the $I$-$V$ curves on
$L_{\text{int}}$ (not shown in the figures). We find that

$$V_c \propto (L_{\text{int}} + 1) \Delta^2 \quad \text{and} \quad a \sim 1/(L_{\text{int}} + 1).$$  \hspace{1cm} (10)

This suggests that the breakdown should be viewed as field
driven with $E = V/(L_{\text{int}} + 1)$ taking the role of the electric
field. We may therefore rewrite Eq. (1) as

$$J = aE \exp(-E_c/E).$$  \hspace{1cm} (11)

This interpretation is in agreement with Refs. 32, 34, and 39, and
we stress that the functional form of the $I$-$V$ curve de-
scribed by Eq. (11) holds despite the presence of the leads.
As we have shown here, the effect of the leads is a small
deviation of $\tilde{a}$ and the threshold field $E_c$ from the bulk values
(compare Fig. 6 and Refs. 32 and 34).

C. Characterization of the current-carrying state

The goal of this section is to characterize the current-
carrying state in the interacting region. To this end, we mea-
sure the electronic density and electronic current-density pro-
files in the interacting region, the average double occupancy,
and also the spin-spin correlations, yielding the spin structure
factor.

1. Density and current profiles

Figures 7(a)–7(c) show the charge density $\langle n_i \rangle$ as a func-
tion of position at different times $t' = 0.8, 1.6, 2.4$ for $U/t' = 5,
$V_c = 2t'_{\text{leads}}$, and $L_{\text{int}} = 20$ and the corresponding local currents $\langle j_i \rangle$ in Figs.
7(d)–7(f). In the steady state, the charge in the interacting
portion of the chain has a linear profile following the profile of
the applied bias. The overall charge density in the Hub-
bard chain remains at half filling.

From the results for the local currents, we see that the
currents take finite values on all sites, which actually hap-
pens immediately after applying the potential. This clearly
distinguishes the breakdown mechanism induced by a linear
profile from other spatial forms of the bias voltage. For in-
stance, in the simplest case in which $V_i = 0$ in the interacting
region and $V_i = \pm V/2$ in the left (right) lead, the physics
underlying the breakdown is quite different as we have veri-
fied in additional simulations (results not shown here). In
this case, the redistribution of the charge inside the interacting
region can be described as an effective doping of the MI

\begin{figure}[h]
  \centering
  \includegraphics[width=\textwidth]{figure5.png}
  \caption{FIG. 5. (Color online) $I$-$V$ curves for $t' \neq t''$ at a fixed $U/t'' = 5$
  with $t'' = 0.6t_{\text{leads}}$. $t'/t_{\text{leads}} = 0.4, 0.6, 0.8, 1$ (bottom to top). Dashed
curves are fits to the function $J(V) = aV e^{-V_c/V}$, with $a$ and $V_c$ being
free parameters in the fit.}
\end{figure}

\begin{figure}[h]
  \centering
  \includegraphics[width=\textwidth]{figure6.png}
  \caption{FIG. 6. (a) Threshold voltage $V_c$ vs $t'$; (b) prefactor $a$ in Eq. (1)
  vs $t'$, $U/t'' = 5$, $t'' = 0.6t_{\text{leads}}$, and $L = 100$.}
\end{figure}

\begin{figure}[h]
  \centering
  \includegraphics[width=\textwidth]{figure7.png}
  \caption{FIG. 7. (Color online) [(a)–(c)] Charge density $\langle n_i \rangle$ as a function
  of position at different times $t' = 0.8, 1.6, 2.4$ for $U/t'' = 5$, $t'' = 0.2t_{\text{leads}},
  V = 2t_{\text{leads}}$, and $L = 100$. [(d)–(e)] Profile of the local currents $\langle j_i \rangle$ at the same times as in panels [(a)–(c)].}
\end{figure}
steady-state regime for this quantity is not reached in our simulations, even if the system is in the steady-state regime for the tunneling current. A similar observation has been made in the dynamical mean-field theory (DMFT) study by Eckstein et al., who also report a monotonically increasing double occupancy \(d_{\text{av}}(t)\) in the steady-current regime. They ascribe this to the fact that the work done by the field is proportional to \(jE\), which in a regime of constant currents is a constant. Hence this increase in energy has to go into the internal energy of the MI, in the absence of any dissipation or leads.

We shall here elaborate in more detail on this reasoning, adopting it to our setup that includes the leads. To explain the time dependence of \(d_{\text{av}}\) of Eq. (13) we exploit the fact that the equation of motion for the average double occupancy operator \(d_{\text{av}} = \frac{1}{L} \sum_{i=L+1}^{L-1} n_i \overline{n}_i\) is the same as the one for the interaction energy. After some straightforward algebra, one gets

\[
\frac{d}{dt} d_{\text{av}} = \frac{1}{U_{\text{int}}} \left( \frac{d \hat{T}}{dt} + E \sum_{i=L+1} L_{\mu i} \overline{a}_{\mu i}^\dagger \right),
\]

where \(\hat{T} = \hat{T}_{\text{int}} + \hat{T}_{\text{int- leads}}\) is the kinetic energy operator involving sites at the interacting region and \(E\) is the constant electric field. For times in the steady-current regime, the time integration of the second term on the right-hand side (RHS) gives a linear dependence on time, as the current is approximately constant. Assuming that \(d_{\text{av}}\) is small, as Fig. 7 suggests, we can expand the quantum mechanical average of the kinetic energy operator in the interacting region as \(\langle \hat{T}_{\text{int}} \rangle \approx T_0 + \varepsilon_d d_{\text{av}} + O(d_{\text{av}}^2)\), where \(T_0\) is the kinetic energy of the filled lower Hubbard band and \(\varepsilon_d\) is the kinetic energy of a doublon. As a filled band cannot increase its kinetic energy, the time derivative approximates as \(d \langle \hat{T}_{\text{int}} \rangle / dt = \varepsilon_d d_{\text{av}} / dt\). With this assumption one can move the contribution from \(\hat{T}_{\text{int}}\) to the left-hand side of Eq. (14) and conclude that the time derivative of the average double occupancy is

\[
\frac{d}{dt} d_{\text{av}}(t) \approx \frac{d}{dt} \hat{T}_{\text{int- leads}} + E \sum_{i=L+1} L_{\mu i} \overline{a}_{\mu i}^\dagger + O(d_{\text{av}}(t)^2),
\]

where all operators have been substituted by their quantum mechanical averages, and we have changed the equality in Eq. (15) to a proportionality to accommodate the term stemming from the kinetic energy of the doublons. The first term in the RHS is the energy flowing out of the interacting region carried away by the particles transferred to the leads. If the interacting part is an isolated system as in Ref. 39, this term is absent. The interpretation of Eq. (15) is that although the establishment of the steady-current regime implies a linear increase in the double occupancy and therefore of the interaction energy, part of this energy is transferred to the leads when accelerated particles leave the interacting region. This reduces the rate at which the double occupancy increases, allowing the system to stay in the steady-current regime for a longer time. The increase in the double occupancy implies that the system is not in a true steady state in the sense that there are observables that depend on time.

\[\text{FIG. 8. Average double occupancy } d_{\text{av}}(t) \text{ in the interacting region as a function of time for } U/t''=5, V/t_{\text{leads}}=0.5, 1, 1.4, 2, \text{ and } t'=t''=0.2t_{\text{leads}}.\]
As for the existence and the nature of a true steady state, two scenarios are conceivable. Usually, due to the bounded spectrum, the increase in the double occupancy cannot go on forever, so eventually it has to saturate. An extreme case would be that $d_{xx}$ takes its maximum value $d_{\text{max}}=0.5$ compatible with the system being at half filling on average. Consequently, the current would vanish in this case. Alternatively, the internal energy could saturate at some time, reflected in $d_{xx}=\text{const}<0.5$ (where the RHS is the maximum possible value assuming an average half filling of the interacting region). In that case, a finite current flow would be possible and the energy gain due to particles getting accelerated by the electric field would have to be balanced by an equal energy flow into the leads. In either case, the reorganization of doublons may take longer than the time needed to reach the steady-state regime for the current. In particular, it is well known that the dynamics of doublons in one-dimensional systems with $U>W$, where $W$ is the bandwidth can be slow, if not even delayed by metastable regimes (see, e.g., Refs. 70–72 for 1D systems and Ref. 73 for higher dimensions). This aspect has also been touched upon in Ref. 39. Unfortunately, our simulations are restricted in the accessible times, and we can thus not clarify this point, leaving it as an open question for future research.

3. Spin-spin correlations

The (longitudinal) spin structure factor can be computed from the spin-spin correlations by taking a Fourier transform ($i,j \in [L_t+1, L_t+L_{\text{int}}]$),

$$S_k = \frac{1}{L_{\text{int}} \sum_{m=1}^{L_t}} \sum_{m=1}^{L_t} e^{-i(|m-j|)k} \langle S_i^z S_j^z \rangle. \quad (16)$$

Figure 9(a) shows the spin structure factor at different times for $U/t''=5.0$ and $V=2t_{\text{leads}}$. The main feature is the survival of antiferromagnetic correlations in the current-carrying state: the shape of the spin structure factor remains qualitatively the same, yet the weight of the $k=\pi$ instability decreases steadily with time. We therefore show $S_{k=\pi}(t)$ for several bias values $V$ in Fig. 9(b) as a function of time. The figure unveils that, similar to the case of the average double occupancy, a steady-state regime for this observable is not reached in our simulations, i.e., on the longest times reached and for the system sizes considered here. Similar to the linear increase in the average double occupancy, the $S_{k=\pi}(t)$ decreases linearly in time.

D. Entanglement entropy

The entanglement entropy is defined as

$$S_{N_x} = -\text{tr}[\rho_x \ln(\rho_x)], \quad (17)$$

where $\rho_x$ is the reduced density matrix of a block of the length $x$ (counting from the left end of the chain). The reduced density matrix and its spectrum of eigenvalues is a key object in DMRG and the entanglement entropy is thus one of the easiest accessible quantities.

Let us begin by recalling some established analytical results on the entanglement growth in quantum quenches in systems with conformal invariance: in a global quench (i.e., the change in a parameter on all sites), $S_{N_x} \propto t$ (Ref. 47) whereas in a local quench, $S_{N_x} \propto \ln(t/t_0)$ (Ref. 48). For the case of a global quench, this has been confirmed in numerous numerical calculations, mostly using DMRG (see, e.g., Refs. 75 and 76).

Our situation is different since a parameter—the bias voltage—is changed on all sites but with an explicit site dependence. Our results for $S_{N_x} = S_{N_x}(t)$ are displayed in Fig. 10. Panel (a) shows $S_{N_x} = S_{N_x}(t)$ for several bias voltages. The dependence of the prefactor $c$ on bias voltage $V$ is shown in the inset of Fig. 10(b): its dependence on $V$ can be described by the same functional form as the tunnel current, namely,

$$c \propto V \exp(-V_{c,\text{in}}/V). \quad (18)$$

In particular, we find that $V_{c,\text{in}} \approx V_c$ within the accuracy of our numerical simulations, where $V_c$ is the threshold voltage extracted from Fig. 3. This is consistent with the picture that entanglement is predominantly induced by propagating particles, in contrast to global quenches, in which $\langle n_i(t) \rangle = \text{const}$.

While the observation of $S_{N_x} \propto t$ implies that the simulations carried out here become exponentially costly at long times, we note that in similar setups, namely, the case in which a confining potential of a linear form is present in the initial state and its removal at $t=0$ is used to drive the time evolution, a weaker logarithmic increase is found. Specifi-
is a monotonically increasing function in the inverse tunneling rate $1/\Gamma$ and the threshold, on finite systems, also exhibits a weak dependence on the contacts.

The dielectric breakdown of the one-dimensional Hubbard model was studied under dissipative tunneling into the environment introduced by a imaginary gauge potential in Ref. 77, and upon the application of a strong electric field introduced by a gauge potential in a ring geometry in Refs. 32–34 and 37. The main conclusion of the latter papers is that the dielectric breakdown of the Mott insulator can be understood in the same terms as the one in band insulators, with the only change that the band gap has to be substituted for with the Mott gap in the calculation of the Landau-Zener parameter (i.e., the threshold field). The time-averaged current in small Hubbard rings shows a collapse of the currents to a universal curve when the currents are plotted as a function of the Landau-Zener parameter, sharing the same qualitative traits as our Fig. 3, with a negligible current before the breakdown and a linear $I$-$V$ characteristics at biases larger than the threshold. An important conclusion of our work is the confirmation that the mechanism of the dielectric breakdown corresponds to the Landau-Zener tunneling mechanism and this mechanism survives upon coupling the interacting region to leads.

It should be noted that another very recent tDMRG study by Kirino and Ueda has addressed the destruction of the MI state upon application of a strong voltage as well. There are important differences with our work, though. In Ref. 38, no leads are included, and the bias is applied as a step function to a homogeneous MI, measuring the local current on the central link. While the $I$-$V$ curve also shows an activated behavior, it is not clear whether the MI is also destroyed through a Landau-Zener mechanism in the setup of Kirino and Ueda. In particular, they report $V_c \propto \Delta_c$, in contrast to the results by Oka et al. and ours (compare Fig. 4). This illustrates the rich and various physical scenarios that can underlie the breakdown of an insulating state, depending on the way the bias is applied.

We have also studied the conducting state that is reached after the breakdown. The spin-spin correlations remain antiferromagnetic in the steady state. A decrease in the amplitude of the correlations is observed as the bias exceeds the threshold value. The conducting state can also be distinguished from the initial insulator by an increase in the double occupation. In other words, the electric field creates excitations as pairs of doublons and holons that can carry the current. The production rate of these excitations should be reflected in the production rate of doubly occupied sites. Quite notably, the time dependence of both the double occupancy and the spin-spin correlations implies that the interacting region is not in a true steady state yet in which these quantities would become stationary as well.

Finally, we have also computed the time dependence of the entanglement entropy. This quantity increases linearly with time in the conducting regime, implying that tDMRG simulations become exponentially expensive at long times. On the positive side, studying transport through single quantum dots or extended structures has qualitatively the same computational complexity since in both cases, $S_{N,s} \propto t$ (unpublished results for one quantum dot, see Ref. 14).
fore, going from single to many quantum dots is equally feasible with this method, in contrast to other state-of-the-art techniques such as time-dependent numerical renormalization group (NRG)\textsuperscript{16} or real-time quantum Monte Carlo (QMC).\textsuperscript{16} In the former, the complexity scales with the dimension of the interacting region and in the latter approach, the dynamical sign problem is expected to become more severe for structures more complex than a single quantum dot. We have here demonstrated that tDMRG can successfully be applied to compute I-V curves of extended systems, complementing our earlier work on nonequilibrium transport in the single-impurity problem.\textsuperscript{14,30,61}

While our numerical analysis of several properties of the current-carrying state should be helpful in better understanding its properties, we acknowledge that a more intuitive picture of the nonequilibrium steady state is still desirable. For instance, one would like to contrast the current-carrying steady state against effective ground-state reference systems, an approach which in certain nonequilibrium cases works quite well.\textsuperscript{79} Moreover, the interesting concept of an effective temperature, often used in studies of quantum quenches with a relaxation into a thermalized state (see Ref. 80 and references therein), should be further explored for current-carrying states.

In conclusion, we have shown that the dielectric breakdown of the Mott insulator can be understood in terms of the Landau-Zener mechanism using a realistic setup that matches the experiment since we include the leads. Furthermore, we have been able to fully characterize the steady-state currents as a function of the bias voltage with a simple form, covering the whole range of voltages and microscopic parameters, that can be experimentally tested.
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