Orbital and Charge Excitations as Fingerprints of an Orbital-Selective Mott Phase in the Block Magnetic State of BaFe₂Se₃
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Resonant inelastic x-ray scattering (RIXS) applied to the ladder BaFe₂Se₃ unveiled an exotic two-peak structure [Phys. Rev. B 88, 165103 (2013)], associated with local orbital (dd) excitations in a block-type antiferromagnetic phase. A mixed character between band and correlated materials was reported. Here, using the density matrix renormalization group method, we calculate the momentum-resolved charge- and orbital-dynamical response functions of a multi-orbital Hubbard model. Remarkably, our results qualitatively resemble the BaFe₂Se₃ RIXS data only when the studied model is in an orbital-selective Mott phase (OSMP). The zero-momentum transfer RIXS peaks correspond to excitations between itinerant and Mott insulating orbitals. Our predicted momentum-resolved charge and orbital dynamical structure factors can provide further insight in the predicted OSMP regime of BaFe₂Se₃.

Introduction — The discovery of superconductivity in the two-leg ladder compounds BaFe₂Se₃ [1, 2] and BaFe₂Se₃ [3] opened a new branch of research: these are the first members of the iron superconductors family [4–6] without iron layers, the parent compounds are insulators [1, 2], and low-dimensionality allows for accurate theoretical treatment [7–11]. For this reason, iron-ladder compounds have been the focus of many theoretical and experimental studies [1–3, 12–21]. In particular, inelastic neutron scattering data [19] is compatible with the exciting idea that ambient pressure BaFe₂Se₃ is in an orbital selective Mott phase (OSMP) [22–24] with an exotic 2×2 block antiferromagnetic (AFM) order, where one orbital is localized with a Mott gap while the others are gapless and itinerant. Resonant inelastic x-ray scattering (RIXS) and x-ray photoemission (XPS) experiments report the presence of both localized and itinerant carriers in BaFe₂Se₃, also suggesting OSMP physics [20, 21]. However, at present there is no sufficient theoretical evidence supporting these (indirect) claims of an OSMP ground state in BaFe₂Se₃.

The first step towards understanding the characteristic excitations of an OSMP is to calculate its single particle spectra $A(k, \omega)$ and its intra- and inter-orbital dynamical spin $S(q, \omega)$, charge $N(q, \omega)$, and orbital $L(q, \omega)$ structure factors. Recently, the theoretical predictions for $A(k, \omega)$ and $S(q, \omega)$ were presented [25, 26] in a block AFM OSMP. However, $N(q, \omega)$ and $L(q, \omega)$, crucial for RIXS experiments, have not been addressed yet. Here, we use density matrix renormalization group (DMRG) to compute the momentum-resolved charge and orbital response functions for the magnetic block OSMP of a multi-orbital Hubbard chain for the first time. We compare our results against RIXS data for BaFe₂Se₃ [20], which measures charge, orbital, and magnetic excitations simultaneously [27–31]. By calculating the orbital response functions of competing paramagnetic metal (PM) and ferromagnetic (FM) insulator states, we show that block OSMP has a characteristic two-peak structure that is distinct and in striking agreement with RIXS results on BaFe₂Se₃ [20]. Moreover, we identify the observed $dd$ peaks as orbital excitations between localized and itinerant orbitals within the OSMP. Our results strongly suggest that the ground state of BaFe₂Se₃ is indeed an OSMP with block magnetic order.

Model — We use a multi-orbital Hubbard model composed of kinetic energy and interaction terms as $H = H_K + H_I$. The kinetic energy part of the Hamiltonian is

$H_K = \sum_{i, \sigma, \gamma, \gamma'} t\gamma'(c_{i\gamma\sigma}^\dagger c_{i+1\gamma'\sigma} + H.c.) + \sum_{i, \sigma, \gamma} \epsilon_{\gamma} n_{i\sigma\gamma},$

where $c_{i\gamma\sigma}^\dagger$ ($c_{i\gamma\sigma}$) creates (destroys) an electron at site $i$, orbital $\gamma$, and spin $\sigma$. The first term represents nearest-neighbor electron hopping from orbital $\gamma'$ to $\gamma$ with a hopping amplitude $t\gamma'\gamma$. We denote the relevant orbitals $d_{xz}$, $d_{yz}$, and $d_{xy}$ as $a$, $b$, and $c$, respectively. The second term contains the orbital-dependent crystal field splitting. The parameters are (eV units) $\epsilon_a = -0.1, \epsilon_b = 0.0, \epsilon_c = 0.8, t^{aa} = t^{bb} = 0.5, t^{cc} = 0.15, t^{ac} = t^{bc} = t^{cb} = -0.10$. The non-interacting bandwidth is $W = 4.9t^{aa}$. This set of parameters is known [24] to produce bands that emulate iron-based superconductors, with hole pockets at $k = 0$ and an electron pocket at $k = \pm \pi$ [5]. Our reported results are all at zero temperature.

The interaction term, in standard notation, is

$H_I = U \sum_{i, \gamma} n_{i\gamma\uparrow} n_{i\gamma\downarrow} + (U' - J_H/2) \sum_{i, \gamma < \gamma'} n_{i\gamma\uparrow} n_{i\gamma\downarrow} - 2J_H \sum_{i, \gamma < \gamma'} S_{i\gamma} \cdot S_{i\gamma'} + J_H \sum_{i, \gamma < \gamma'} (P_{i\gamma}^\dagger P_{i\gamma'} + H.c.).$
The first term is the intraorbital Hubbard repulsion $U$. The second is the interorbital repulsion between electrons at different orbitals, with $U' = U - 2J_H$. The third term is the Hund’s coupling $J_H$, and the last term represents the on-site interorbital hopping of electron pairs. Explicit definitions for all the operators in the model are in the supplementary material [32]. The rich physical properties realized by this model were discussed extensively in Ref. [24]. Most of the data presented here was gathered at $J_H/U = 0.25$ (as used extensively before [24, 33, 34]) and $U/W = 0.8$ where the block-type AFM OSMP is known to be stable [24]. However, we also show results for the paramagnetic metal (PM, small $U$) and ferromagnetic insulator (FM, large $U$) phases to highlight unique features of the block OSMP by contrast.

观音——To characterize the OSMP, we calculate the dynamical response functions

$$O(i, j, \omega) = \frac{1}{\pi} \text{Im} \left[ \langle \psi_0 | O_i^\dagger \psi_0 \right]$$

using DMRG within the correction-vector formulation in Krylov space [35, 36]. The single-particle spectral function is obtained using $O_i = c_{i\sigma\gamma}$. The intraorbital particle-hole (charge) excitations arise using $O_i = \sum_\sigma n_{i\sigma\gamma} - \langle n_{i\sigma\gamma} \rangle$, where we explicitly subtract the ground-state contribution to measure only the fluctuations. Finally, the orbital excitations are obtained using $O_i = \{ L_{\mathbf{x}}^\dagger, L_{\mathbf{y}}^\dagger, L_{\mathbf{z}}^\dagger \}$, where $L_{\mathbf{x}}^\dagger = i\sum_\sigma (c_{i\sigma\alpha}^\dagger c_{i\sigma\gamma} - c_{i\sigma\gamma}^\dagger c_{i\sigma\alpha})$, $L_{\mathbf{y}}^\dagger = i\sum_\sigma (c_{\mathbf{i}^{\mathbf{2}}\mathbf{b}_{\mathbf{a}}} c_{i\sigma\gamma} - c_{i\sigma\gamma}^\dagger c_{\mathbf{i}^{\mathbf{2}}\mathbf{b}_{\mathbf{a}}})$, and $L_{\mathbf{z}}^\dagger = i\sum_\sigma (c_{i\sigma\alpha}^\dagger c_{i\sigma\gamma} - c_{i\sigma\gamma}^\dagger c_{i\sigma\alpha})$. These operators are derived from the $L = 2$ angular momentum operators in the $t_{2g}$ orbital basis [32].

观音——Figure 1a demonstrates that the OSMP is robust against hole and electron doping [37]. The local charge occupation (panel a) and fluctuations (panel b) of each orbital vs. the total electronic density are shown. First, we focus on the results for 4 electrons per site ($n = 4$), shown by the vertical dashed line in Fig. 1 (note that $n = 4$ in a three-orbital model is the analog of $n = 6$ in a five-orbital model). At this density, orbital $c$ has one electron per site while the other two orbitals remain fractionally occupied. The corresponding intra-orbital charge fluctuations $\langle \delta N_{\gamma}^c \rangle = \langle n_{\gamma}^c \rangle - \langle n_{\gamma} \rangle^2$ in orbital $c$ are significantly suppressed, but charge fluctuations in orbitals $a$ and $b$ remain finite at $n = 4$. Additionally, the inset of Fig. 1a contains the spin structure factor at $n = 4$, displaying the characteristic peak at $k = \pi/2$ in agreement with the experimentally observed non-trivial block-type AFM order. It is important to note that orbital $c$ ($d_{xy}$) has the standard characteristics of a Mott phase at $n = 4$, where charge degrees of freedom are “frozen” (localized) accompanied by well-formed local magnetic moments, $m_c \sim 0.98\mu_B$. However, large local charge fluctuations of the other orbitals suggests a metallic behavior typical of itinerant electrons. The total on-site local moment at $n = 4$ is $m_{tot} \simeq 1.97\mu_B$, a robust value slightly larger than in experiments [13, 15, 19].

观音——The coexistence of localized and itinerant carriers is also evident when examining the electronic density of each orbital vs. global filling (Fig. 1a). The linear behavior of $n_a$ and $n_b$ suggests a band-like picture, while the plateau in $n_c$ over a range of doping ($n = [3 : 4.5]$) indicates the presence of a Mott gap in the single-particle spectrum of orbital $c$. Since we correctly capture the magnetic properties of BaFe$_2$Se$_2$ at $n = 4$, henceforth we fix the filling $n = 4$ to probe block OSMP excitations.

观音——Figures 2a and 2b show the orbital-resolved single-particle spectra. Orbitals $a$ and $b$ have a finite quasi-particle weight at $E_F$, as also shown in the density-of-states in Figure 3a, confirming the itinerant nature of electrons in these orbitals. Moreover, we find that orbital $c$ has a gap of $\Delta_c \sim 1.5$ eV [38] in $A^c(k, \omega)$ (Figs. 2b and 3a), compatible with our analysis in Figs. 1a and 1b. The single-particle spectra clearly demonstrate the coexistence of gapped Mott (localized) and gapless itinerant carriers and agree with earlier studies of a similar model [25]. Note also the presence of a pseudogap in the itinerant $d_{zx/yz}$ orbitals, resulting from proximity to the PM Hund metal phase [39] (Fig. 3a).

观音——To arrive to our main conclusions, we now calculate the charge (Figs. 2c and 2d) and orbital (Figs. 2c and 2f) dynamics of the block-AFM OSMP. Similarly as in $A^c(k, \omega)$, the charge excitations of the Mott orbital $c$ display a gap $\Delta_N^c \sim 1.5$ eV (Fig. 2d). The excitations of the itinerant orbitals display instead a gapless continuum (Fig. 2e) because charge fluctuations can propagate freely in a metallic system. Additionally, $N^c(k, \omega)$ is dispersion-less, indicating that these high-energy states are localized, compatible with doubly occupied orbitals $d_{xy}$ with an energy proportional to the Mott gap. Contrast our results with the one-orbital two-leg ladder Hubbard model where charge excitations are gapped in the half-filled Mott phase, but display a gapless continuum in the metallic phase away from half-filling [40]. Our results show the simultaneous presence of localized and itinerant fermions in our multi-orbital model.
Consider now the $k$-resolved orbital excitations in the block OSMP. Figure 2e ($L^{x/y}(k, \omega)$) shows the excitations from (to) itinerant orbitals $a/b$ to (from) localized orbital $c$. Figure 2f displays a gapless continuum in orbital excitations, $L^{z}(k, \omega)$, between the itinerant orbitals $a$ and $b$. These dynamical spectra can be understood using the density-of-states (Fig. 3a), and poles of the single-particle states of the OSMP (Fig. 3b). Figure 3a shows that electron scattering from $P_2 \rightarrow P_4$ requires an energy transfer $\sim 0.9$ eV, as also shown by the vertical green arrow in Figure 3b. This scattering creates a gapped response peak in the orbital excitations at $k \simeq 0$ and $\omega \simeq 0.9$ eV (Fig. 2e and 4a). The charge gap of orbital $c$ in $N^{c}(k, \omega)$ can also be understood via the scattering between points $P_1$ and $P_4$ of the density-of-states, also shown by vertical green arrows in Fig. 3b. Additionally, the gapless continuum in $L^{z}(k, \omega)$ is very similar to the itinerant charge excitations, $N^{a/b}(k, \omega)$, because only the (almost degenerate) itinerant orbitals are involved in the calculations of $L^{z}(k, \omega)$.

**FM insulator** — To identify features of orbital excitations in the block OSMP that are unique, we also show $k$-resolved orbital excitations in the FM insulator known to exist at $U/W = 4$ [24], see Figs. 2g and 2h. Both $L^{x/y}(k, \omega)$ and $L^{z}(k, \omega)$ display sharper excitations in the FM phase. Additionally, $L^{z}(k, \omega)$ is gapless with $\omega \simeq 0$ peak at $k = \pi$, implying quasi-long-range antiferro-orbital order in the ground state, as discussed before [25]. In fact, the $L^{z}(k, \omega)$ of the FM phase resembles the $S(k, \omega)$ of the spin-1/2 Heisenberg chain with a two-spinon continuum. By analogy, we conjecture that the $L^{z}(k, \omega)$ of the FM phase may denote the existence of fractionalized orbital excitations [41].

**Discussion** — Our main result is in Fig. 4, where we compare the calculated orbital dynamical response vs. RIXS Fe-3d edge experimental data for BaFe$_2$Se$_3$ at zero-momentum transfer [20]. Relating the full RIXS intensity to dynamical structure factors is not easy [30, 42]: for example, for the single-band Hubbard model the RIXS intensity can be directly related to dynamical structure factors only in limiting cases. However, the dynamical response functions can still provide insights into the dominant features and energy scales of RIXS experiments.

At zero energy transfer, there is an “elastic” peak commonly observed in RIXS experiments. To identify the unique features of orbital excitations in the Block OSMP ($U/W = 0.8$), we also present results for the PM ($U/W = 0.04$) and FM ($U/W = 4$) phases. Over-
all, we find a close agreement between our dynamical spectra in the block OSMP at $k \approx 0$ with the two-peak structure observed in experiments, involving localized $dd$ excitations of the iron 3$d$ orbitals. Moreover, the two-peak structure is clearly present only in the block OSMP (Fig. 4a) and not in the PM or FM phases (Figs. 4b or 4c), although there is a precursor in the PM phase. Our model indicates that the experimentally observed peaks represent excitations between localized $d_{xy}$ and itinerant $d_{xz/yz}$ orbitals. They are gapped with an activation energy $0.7 - 0.9$ eV in the calculations, and $\sim 0.35 - 0.45$ eV in the experiments (transitions involving $e_g$ orbitals, outside our model, should appear at higher energies). This gap difference in absolute numbers could be fixed by small changes in our model parameters (or by using ladders instead of chains). In addition in the real samples there is broadening due to phonons and non-crystalinity effects that make the gap difficult to define accurately. Our results suggests that the experimentally observed gap is not a conventional semi-conducting gap, but instead originates from the inter-orbital excitations of an OSMP. Figure 4a shows that peak $A$ occurs at approximately 0.9 eV, for the zero momentum transfer, as a result of vertical ($2k = 0$) scattering across $E_F$ from the itinerant $d_{xz/yz}$ orbitals to the $d_{xy}$ Mott orbital (Fig. 3b, $P_2 \rightarrow P_4$). The shoulder/peak labeled as $B$ represents scattering from the localized $d_{xy}$ band below $E_F$ to the itinerant hole pocket bands $d_{xz/yz}$ above $E_F$, with zero momentum transfer $k/\pi = 0$ (Fig. 3b, $P_1 \rightarrow P_3$). These peaks cannot be characterized within a simple weak-coupling framework. In fact, measuring real-space orbital correlations at various energy transfers [32] we also find that the peak $A$ of the block OSMP represents a final state with ferro-orbital ordering, while $B$ represents a final state with short-range anti-ferro orbital ordering.

The orbital $d_{xy}$ charge excitations in our calculations generate a response at $\omega = 1.4$ eV of intensity $\sim 100$ times smaller than the orbital excitations (Figs. 2c-2f). This is because local charge fluctuations are suppressed significantly in the Mott orbital. Additionally, the features in $N_{a/b}(k, \omega)$ and $L^z(k, \omega)$ originate from itinerant carriers that are sensitive to the incident x-ray energy $(h\omega_{in})$ of the RIXS experiments. It is known that localized excitations do not shift with $h\omega_{in}$, while itinerant carriers produce a response that shifts linearly with $h\omega_{in}$, becoming part of the fluorescence at large $h\omega_{in}$ [43]. Therefore, the $L^z(k, \omega)$ peak at the low-energy transfer $\omega$ (Fig. 4a, dashed) will shift with the incident energy. In fact, RIXS experiment on BaFe$_2$Se$_3$ also find (fluorescence) peaks that shift with incident energy and merge with the localized excitations (A and B of Fig. 4a), suggesting the existence of both localized and itinerant degrees of freedom at the experimental low temperature, as also found for the OSMP regime in our calculations.

**Conclusions** — We calculated the momentum-resolved orbital and charge dynamics of an orbital-selective Mott phase using the three $t_{2g}$ orbitals, and compared our results to the available RIXS data for BaFe$_2$Se$_3$ at zero-momentum transfer [20]. We find localized $dd$ excitations that produce particular peaks $A$ and $B$ very similar to those observed experimentally, providing theoretical support for the notion that BaFe$_2$Se$_3$ is an orbital-selective Mott insulator. Moreover, we predict the $k$-resolved charge and orbital dynamical spectra that can be measured by RIXS in the future. Recent RIXS experiments display a very similar response for BaFe$_2$Se$_3$ and BaFe$_2$S$_3$, implying that an orbital-selective phase is present in the BaFe$_2$S$_3$ high-pressure superconductor as well [1, 44]. We encourage the measurement of the band structure and density-of-states of these materials using angle-resolved photoemission and scanning tunneling microscopy, to confirm our predicted band(s) crossing of the Fermi surface and Mott like band(s) below the Fermi surface. We also encourage future $k$-resolved RIXS measurements for BaFe$_2$Se$_3$ and BaFe$_2$S$_3$ compounds.
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[32] The supplementary material at [URL will be inserted by publisher] contains details of the definition of operators, observables, additional numerical results, and input files.


[38] Note that the finite weight at μ in the c orbital is due to broadening.


Supplemental: Orbital and Charge Excitations as Fingerprints of an Orbital-Selective Mott Phase in the Block Magnetic State of BaFe₂Se₃

OPERATORS AND OBSERVABLES

In this section, we define all the operators that are used in the main text:

\[ n_{i\gamma}\sigma = c_{i\gamma\sigma}^\dagger c_{i\gamma\sigma}, \]
\[ n_{i\gamma} = n_{i\gamma\uparrow} + n_{i\gamma\downarrow}, \]
\[ S_{i\gamma}^\pm = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\sigma,\sigma'} c_{i\gamma\sigma}^\dagger \sigma_\sigma' c_{i\gamma\sigma'}, \]
\[ P_{i\gamma} = c_{i\gamma\uparrow} c_{i\gamma\downarrow}, \]

where \( \gamma \) is the orbital index, \( \sigma \) and \( \sigma' \) are the spin index, and \( \sigma_k \) are the Pauli matrices with \( k = \{ x, y, z \} \) being Cartesian components. The average occupation and charge fluctuations (Fig.1 of main text) are defined as

\[ \langle n_{\gamma}\rangle = \frac{1}{L} \sum_{i,\sigma} n_{i\gamma\sigma}, \]
\[ \langle \delta N_{\gamma}^2 \rangle = \frac{1}{L} \sum_{i} \langle n_{i\gamma} n_{i\gamma} \rangle - \langle n_{i\gamma} \rangle \langle n_{i\gamma} \rangle, \]

where \( L \) is the number of sites in the lattice. The static spin-spin correlations (inset of Fig. 1) is calculated using

\[ S(k) = \frac{1}{L^2} \sum_{i,j} e^{-iK(i-j)} \langle S_i \cdot S_j \rangle, \]

where \( S_i = \sum_{\gamma} S_{i\gamma} \). In this supplemental, we also show results for \( n_k^\gamma \) defined as

\[ c_{i\gamma\sigma} = c_{i\gamma\sigma} \uparrow + c_{i\gamma\sigma} \downarrow, \]
\[ n_{\gamma}(k) = n_k^\gamma = \frac{1}{L^2} \sum_{i,j} e^{-iK(i-j)} \langle c_{i\gamma\sigma}^\dagger c_{i\gamma\sigma} \rangle. \]

Spectral functions and sum rules

To characterize the OSMP, the dynamical response functions (shown below) are calculated using DMRG

\[ O(i, j, \omega) = \frac{-1}{\pi} \text{Im} \left\{ \langle \psi_0 | O | \psi_0 \rangle \right\} \frac{1}{\omega - H + E_g + i\eta} O_j | \psi_0 \rangle, \]

where the local operator \( O \) can represent any degree of freedom of the model. In general, these functions are Fourier transformed into the crystal momentum domain to calculate the momentum-energy resolved spectra that is relevant to experiments:

\[ O(k, \omega) = \frac{1}{L^2} \sum_{i,j} e^{-iK(i-j)} O(i, j, \omega). \]

Note that within DMRG, the site \( j \) is fixed to the center of the lattice \( (d = L/2 - 1) \) to reduce the edge effects and computational cost, and therefore the modified Fourier transform becomes

\[ O(k, \omega) = \frac{1}{L} \sum_{i} e^{-iK(i-d)} O(i, d, \omega). \]

Additionally, we use open boundary conditions in the DMRG simulation and therefore the quasi crystal-momenta are defined as

\[ k = \frac{\pi n}{L+1} \quad \text{where} \quad n = 1, 2, \ldots, L. \]

ORBital OPERATORS

The dominant orbitals of an iron atom in iron-based superconductors are the five 3d orbitals, corresponding to well-known \( L = 2 \) orbital angular momenta. The corresponding operators \( \{ L_x, L_y, L_z \} \) are written in the basis of \( L_z = \{-2, -1, 0, 1, 2\} \), forming \( 5 \times 5 \) matrices:

\[ L_x = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 2 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 2 & 0 & \sqrt{6} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \sqrt{6} & 0 & 2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 2 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \]
\[ L_y = -\frac{i}{2} \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 2 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 2 & 0 & \sqrt{6} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \sqrt{6} & 0 & 2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 2 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \]
\[ L_z = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -2 \end{bmatrix}. \]

DMRG is a real-space algorithm, and therefore we must write these matrices in the orbital basis using the transformation

\[ | -2 \rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left( |x^2 - y^2\rangle - i |xy\rangle \right), \]
\[ | -1 \rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left( |xz\rangle - i |yz\rangle \right), \]
\[ | 0 \rangle = |z^2\rangle, \]
\[ | 1 \rangle = -\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left( |xz\rangle + i |yz\rangle \right), \]
\[ | 2 \rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left( |x^2 - y^2\rangle + i |xy\rangle \right). \]
where \{ |x^2-y^2\rangle, |z^2\rangle, |xz\rangle, |yz\rangle, |xy\rangle \} are the five iron 3d orbitals. The operators \{L_x, L_y, L_z\} represented in the orbital basis are:

\[
L_x = \frac{i}{2} \begin{bmatrix}
0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & \sqrt{3} & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\
-1 & -\sqrt{3} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & -1 & 0 & 0
\end{bmatrix}
\]

\[
L_y = \frac{i}{2} \begin{bmatrix}
0 & 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & \sqrt{3} & 0 & 0 \\
1 & -\sqrt{3} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 0
\end{bmatrix}
\]

\[
L_z = i \begin{bmatrix}
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 2 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 \\
-2 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0
\end{bmatrix}
\]

In the main text, we employ the iron t_{2g} orbitals, i.e., we drop the contribution from \{ |x^2-y^2\rangle, |z^2\rangle \} orbitals. After this approximation, we obtain the local orbital angular momentum operators used in the main text (site index understood)

\[
L_x = i(c_x^\dagger c_{xy} - c_{xy}^\dagger c_x), \\
L_y = i(c_y^\dagger c_{xy} - c_{xy}^\dagger c_y), \\
L_z = i(c_z^\dagger c_{yz} - c_{yz}^\dagger c_z).
\]

(S10)

**ADDITIONAL RESULTS**

In this section, we show tests performed to ensure the quality of the presented results. We first show \( n^c(k) \) that is in agreement with previous studies with a similar model [25]. A significant change in \( n^{a/b}(k) \) indicates metallic behavior of these orbitals. On the contrary, \( n^c(k) \) shows little variation in \( k \) that is associated with a gapped orbital \( c \).

Furthermore, all spectral quantities defined by equations S5 and S6 must satisfy a sum rule. In general, it can be shown that integrating the spectral function over momentum \( k \) and energy \( \omega \) gives a quantity related to a unique static observable. As an example, we use the single-particle spectral function \( A^c(k,\omega) \) of orbital \( c \) below (above) the Fermi energy (\( E_F \)) representing the filled (unfilled) electron (hole) states. The electron and hole components of \( A^c(k,\omega) \) are defined as

\[
A^e_c(k,\omega) = -\frac{1}{\pi L^2} \times \\
\sum_{i,j} \text{Im} \left[ \langle \psi_0 | c_i^\dagger c_j | \psi_0 \rangle \frac{1}{\omega - H + E_g + i\eta} c_{jc}^\dagger | \psi_0 \rangle \right] e^{-ik(i-j)},
\]

(S11)

\[
A^h_c(k,\omega) = -\frac{1}{\pi L^2} \times \\
\sum_{i,j} \text{Im} \left[ \langle \psi_0 | c_i c_j^\dagger | \psi_0 \rangle \frac{1}{\omega + H + E_g + i\eta} c_{jc}^\dagger | \psi_0 \rangle \right] e^{-ik(i-j)},
\]

where \( c \) is the orbital index and \( c_{jc} = c_{jc\dagger} + c_{jc\dagger} \). To obtain the sum rule of this quantity, we first sum over the momentum \( k \). This sum simply results in \( L \delta_{i,j} \), giving us the local response that is the single-particle density of states,

\[
A^e_c(\omega) = -\frac{1}{\pi L} \sum_i \text{Im} \left[ \langle \psi_0 | c_i^\dagger c_i | \psi_0 \rangle \frac{1}{\omega - H + E_g + i\eta} c_{ic}^\dagger | \psi_0 \rangle \right],
\]

(S12)

\[
A^h_c(\omega) = -\frac{1}{\pi L} \sum_i \text{Im} \left[ \langle \psi_0 | c_i c_i^\dagger | \psi_0 \rangle \frac{1}{\omega + H + E_g + i\eta} c_{ic}^\dagger | \psi_0 \rangle \right],
\]

shown in Figure S2. Further integration over \( \omega \) of the imaginary part (Lorentzian poles) of the electron (hole) part simply gives the total electron (hole) density of orbital \( c \):

\[
n^e_c = \frac{1}{L} \sum_i \langle \psi_0 | c_i^\dagger c_i | \psi_0 \rangle,
\]

\[
n^h_c = \frac{1}{L} \sum_i \langle \psi_0 | c_i c_i^\dagger | \psi_0 \rangle.
\]

(S13)
This is explicitly done within our calculations by integrating over the density of states (Fig. S2). The integration of the electron (blue curve) and hole (red curve) portions lead to approximately 1.0 that is consistent, within the accuracy of our results, with calculations of the local density from the ground-state. Note that a singly occupied orbital is one of the characteristics of a Mott insulator.

We also performed finite-size scaling on the density of states at the Fermi energy $E_F \approx 4.3$ eV. Figure S3 shows that the $L \to \infty$ extrapolated quasiparticle weight, $A(\omega = E_F)$, of orbital $c$ is an order of magnitude smaller than the almost degenerate orbitals $a$ and $b$. The near zero weight of orbital $c$ at the Fermi energy is consistent with a Mott phase, providing further evidence of the presence of an OSMP as the ground-state.

In Fig. 4 of the main text, we demonstrated that the peaks found in the orbital excitations of the OSMP are similar to the peaks found in the RIXS experiment on BaFe$_2$Se$_3$. We also provided a rationalization of the peak positions using single-particle spectra that is renormalized by the interactions. Here, we again emphasize the presence of a Mott insulating orbital imply that weak coupling arguments are not sufficient to understand the orbital excitations of an OSMP. To understand better the states at peak positions $A$ and $B$ (Fig. 4), we show real-space orbital correlations vs. energy transfer in Fig. S4. In the block OSMP, the $A$ peak presents positive $L_{x/y}$ correlations up to $4^{th}$ nearest neighbor in real space, while peak $B$ has negative $L_{x/y}$ correlations only up to the nearest neighbor. In Fig. S4a, we explicitly show that the peak $A$ of the block OSMP represents states with ferro-orbital ordering, while $B$ represents states with short-range anti-ferro orbital ordering. Similar features are also present for the FM case (Fig. S4b) but positive correlations (at $\omega \simeq 1.1$) persist only up to the $2^{nd}$ nearest neighbor, while anti-ferro-orbital tendencies (negative correlation - peak $B$) are significantly suppressed.

**REPRODUCING DATA USING DMRG++**

The full open source code, sample inputs, and corresponding computational details can be found at https://g1257.github.io/papers/86/.