Magnetic switching in Weyl semimetal-superconductor heterostructures
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We present a switching mechanism that utilizes the proximity coupling between the surface spin texture of a Weyl semimetal and a superconductor in a Weyl semimetal-superconductor Weyl semimetal trilayer heterostructure. We show that the superconductivity in the middle layer can be fully suppressed by the surface spin texture of the Weyl semimetals in the presence of an external magnetic field, but it can be recovered again by only changing the field direction. The restoration of the middle-layer superconductivity indicates a sharp transition to a low-resistance state. This sharp switching effect, realizable using a Weyl semimetal because of its strong spin-momentum locking and surface spin polarization, is a promising avenue for novel superconducting spin-valve applications.
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I. INTRODUCTION

A three-dimensional Weyl semimetal (WSM) is strikingly different from other classes of materials with nontrivial band topology because of the presence of surface Fermi arcs [1,2], which prompted the observation of a plethora of intriguing properties, such as quantum oscillations in magnetoresistance [3–5] and chiral magnetic effect [6–18]. The Fermi arcs exhibit a spin texture with a strong spin-momentum locking which leads to a spin polarization (up to 80% for TaAs) on the surface of the WSMs [19–21]. By introducing a superconducting gap in the WSM, the superconductor (SC) inherits the nontrivial topology of the electronic structure of the WSM, giving rise to unconventional properties such as finite-momentum pairing and Majorana zero modes [22–34]. At a WSM/SC interface, superconductivity is proposed to be induced by the proximity effect inside the WSM near the interface [35]. Despite a few studies on the proximity effect of the superconductivity inside the WSM in a WSM/SC interface, the inverse proximity effect of the WSM surface magnetization on the superconductivity remains unexplored.

In this paper, we demonstrate a switching effect induced by the interplay between superconductivity and magnetization at a WSM/SC interface, in the presence of an external magnetic field. We consider a trilayer heterostructure, with an $s$-wave SC sandwiched between two WSM slabs in such a way that the top and bottom WSMs have opposite alignments of the Fermi arcs. In this geometry, the WSM surfaces, on both sides of the SC, have a net spin polarization in the same direction. The superconductivity in the middle SC layer is suppressed completely by the joint pair-breaking effect of the WSM surface magnetization and the magnetic field. However, by rotating the field, the net magnetization of the WSM surfaces drops to a smaller value and the fully suppressed superconductivity restores again, indicating a sharp transition to the zero-resistance state in the middle SC layer. Such a magnetic switching has the potential to advance the spin-valve applications that at present employ trilayer heterostructures of ferromagnets (FMs) and SCs [36–52].

In the state-of-the-art spin-valve switching devices, involving a FM(hard)/SC/SC FM(soft) trilayer, as shown in Fig. 1(a), the resistance $R_{\text{pr}}$, in the parallel polarization of the top and bottom FMs near the superconducting transition temperature $T_{c}$, is larger than the resistance $R_{\text{ap}}$ in the antiparallel case. The magnetic field flips the polarization in the soft FM and produces a transition to a low-resistance state. In our proposed WSM-based geometry, shown in Figs. 1(b) and 1(c), the net magnetization of the WSM surfaces on both sides of the SC drops to a smaller value when the magnetic field is applied opposite to the spin polarization direction. The field rotation drives the middle SC to the zero-resistance state, implying a low resistance in the WSM/SC/WSM trilayer.

II. MODEL AND METHOD

We consider a type-I WSM with broken time-reversal symmetry and two Weyl points, described by the following Hamiltonian [53]:

$$
\mathcal{H}_w = \sum_k -[m(2-\cos k_y-\cos k_z) + 2t_x(\cos k_x-\cos k_0)]\sigma_z - (2t \sin k_y)\sigma_x - (2t \sin k_z)\sigma_x - \mu_w I,
$$

(1)

written in the basis $(c_{k,\uparrow}, c_{k,\downarrow})^T$, $m$, $t_x$, and $t$ describe the bulk band dispersion, $\mu_w$ is the chemical potential, $\sigma = (\sigma_x, \sigma_y, \sigma_z)$ are the Pauli matrices acting on the pseudospins, $I$ is the $2 \times 2$ identity matrix, and $k \equiv (k_x, k_y, k_z)$ denotes the momentum inside the WSM. The Weyl nodes are located at $(\pm k_0, 0, 0)$ as depicted in Fig. 1(d). In Figs. 1(e) and 1(f), we show the Fermi
isolated WSM slab of thickness and spin textures (white arrows) on the opposite surfaces of an
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slab, expressed by the following Hamiltonian [54]:
the pairwise attractive interaction strength, and
arcs and the spin texture on the opposite surfaces of an isolated
WSM slab [54]. The parameters $t=1$, $m=2t$, $k_0=\pi/2$, $t_s=t$, used in Ref. [53], are kept fixed, with no qualitative change in
our conclusions for other choices.
We consider BCS-type electron pairing in the middle SC
slab, expressed by the following Hamiltonian [54]:
\begin{equation}
\mathcal{H}_s = -\sum_{\mathbf{k},\sigma} [2t_s (\cos k_x + \cos k_y + \cos k_z) + \mu_s] d_{\mathbf{k},\sigma}^{\dagger} d_{\mathbf{k},\sigma} + \sum_{\mathbf{k}} (U_0 \Delta_s d_{\mathbf{k},\uparrow}^{\dagger} d_{\mathbf{k},\downarrow}^{\dagger} + \text{H.c.}) + NU_0 \Delta_s^2, \tag{2}
\end{equation}
where $t_s$ is the hopping amplitude, $\mu_s$ the chemical potential, $\Delta_s = (d_{\mathbf{k},\uparrow} d_{\mathbf{k},\downarrow})$ the s-wave pairing amplitude in the SC, $U_0$ the pairwise attractive interaction strength, and $N$ is the total number of momenta in the Brillouin zone. We set $t_s=1.5t$ and $U_0=-t$, everywhere, without losing generality.

The Hamiltonian for the heterostructure is constructed by transforming the Hamiltonians $\mathcal{H}_w$ and $\mathcal{H}_s$ into the slab geometry [54,55], with $N_s$ layers in the SC slab and $N_w$ layers in both (top and bottom) WSM slabs. Open boundary conditions are imposed only along the stacking direction (the z axis), so $k_x$ and $k_y$ are good quantum numbers for the description in each layer.

The coupling between the WSM and the SC slabs at the WSM/SC interfaces is described by the following tunneling Hamiltonian:
\begin{equation}
\mathcal{H}_{\text{tun}} = -\sum_{\mathbf{k}l,\sigma,\sigma'} (t_{\text{tun}} c_{\mathbf{k}l,\sigma,\uparrow}^{\dagger} d_{\mathbf{k}l,\sigma',\downarrow}^{\dagger} + \text{H.c.}), \tag{3}
\end{equation}
where $l_z$ is the vertical layer index at the interface, $t_{\text{tun}}$ is the tunneling amplitude between the WSMs and the SC and $\mathbf{k}l = (k_x, k_y)$ is the momentum in the $k_x,k_y$ plane.

The external magnetic field, applied uniformly in all the layers of the heterostructure, is included as a Zeeman exchange coupling to the pseudospins,
\begin{equation}
\mathcal{H}_c = \sum_{\mathbf{k}l,\sigma,\sigma'} (B \cdot \mathbf{\sigma}) c_{\mathbf{k}l,\sigma,\uparrow}^{\dagger} c_{\mathbf{k}l,\sigma',\downarrow}, \tag{4}
\end{equation}
where $B=\frac{B_1}{\cos \theta', B_1 \sin \theta', 0}$, $B_1$ is the strength of the magnetic field, $\theta' = 3\pi/2 + \theta$, and $\theta$ is the field angle as shown in Fig. 2(a). Henceforth, all energies are expressed in units of the parameter $r$ defined in Eq. (1).

The pairing amplitude in each layer $l_z$ inside the SC slab is obtained self-consistently using $\Delta_{\mathbf{k}l} = \langle d_{\mathbf{k}l,\uparrow} d_{-\mathbf{k}l,\downarrow} \rangle$ [54]. The proximity-induced s-wave pairing amplitude inside the WSM slab is calculated via $\Delta_{\mathbf{k}l} = \langle c_{\mathbf{k}l,\uparrow} c_{-\mathbf{k}l,\downarrow} \rangle$. Although a small finite-momentum pairing and a triplet pairing could also be induced in the WSM, we focus only on singlet pairing at zero center-of-mass momentum which is the dominant pairing channel and relevant to the present paper. The average pairing amplitude for the heterostructure is then obtained.
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via $\Delta = \left[1/\left(N_s + 2N_w\right)\right] \sum_{l_z} (\Delta_{s,l_z} + \Delta_{w,l_z})$. The spin texture at a layer $l_z$ ($m_{kl,x}$, $m_{kl,y}$, $m_{kl,z}$) is obtained by computing the spin-expectation values at each momentum $k^0$ and the average magnetization components ($m_x$, $m_y$, $m_z$) are obtained by taking the average in the two-dimensional Brillouin zone [54].

III. ORIGIN OF THE MAGNETIC SWITCHING

The main concept introduced here, the magnetic switching at the WSM/SC interfaces, involves the strong interplay between superconductivity and the WSM surface magnetization; the latter competes against the electron pairing near the interfaces. The magnetic field $B_1=0.1t (< B_0^c \approx 0.3t$, the critical field for the SC), applied along $\theta=0^\circ$, cooperates with the WSM surface magnetization to completely suppress superconductivity. Remarkably, by changing the field direction, the average pairing amplitude $\Delta$ re-emerges and jumps from nearly zero to a finite value at $\theta \approx 100^\circ$. The order parameter $\Delta$ remains almost constant within a range $100^\circ \lesssim \theta \lesssim 260^\circ$, as shown in Fig. 2(a). This sudden restoration of the superconductivity, only by changing the field angle, implies a switching from a finite-resistance to a low-resistance state. This magnetic field-driven “switching” is the main finding of this paper.

To understand the origin of this switching effect, we explore the $\theta$ dependence of the magnetization components. Interestingly, we find similar discontinuous jumps in the magnetization components at the WSM terminating layers, adjacent to the SC slab, as shown in Figs. 2(b)–2(d). The out-of-plane component $m_z$, drop to relatively smaller values within the same range $100^\circ \lesssim \theta \lesssim 260^\circ$. The out-of-plane component $m_z$ also undergoes sharp transitions at the critical field angles $\theta_{c1} \approx 100^\circ$ and $\theta_{c2} \approx 260^\circ$, as shown in Fig. 2(d). This finding underscores the strong interplay between the magnetism in the WSM surface and the superconductivity near the WSM/SC interfaces. The $\theta$ variation of $\Delta$ with the field amplitude $B_1$, in Fig. 2(e), suggests that there is a lower and upper critical field and the switching occurs in between. The lower critical field is the required field to fully suppress the superconductivity at certain ranges of $\theta$ values, while the upper critical field is the largest field above which the reappearance of superconductivity is unanticipated. Temperature variation of $\Delta$, in Fig. 2(f), suggests that the switching effect appears predominantly at low temperatures, below the superconducting $T_c$ of the middle SC.

To explore the interplay between the WSM surface magnetization and the superconductivity, we plot the layer-resolved pairing amplitude $\Delta_{l_z} (= \Delta_{s,l_z}$ or $\Delta_{w,l_z})$, and the dominant magnetization component $m_z$, in Figs. 3(a), 3(c) and 3(e), at different field scenarios and the corresponding spectrum in Figs. 3(b), 3(d) and 3(f). At $B_1=0$, a small pairing amplitude is induced inside the WSMs, by proximity effect, but this induced superconductivity is limited to the near vicinity of the interfaces [35]. Conversely, the pairing amplitude inside the SC is also suppressed near the interfaces since the WSM surface magnetism penetrates inside the SC and affects the superconductivity. At a finite magnetic field $B_1=0.1t$, applied along $\theta=0^\circ$, the superconductivity is completely suppressed, as shown in Fig. 3(c), by the combined pair-breaking effect of the magnetic field and the WSM surface magnetization. However, when the field is applied along $\theta=200^\circ$, i.e., opposite to the WSM surface magnetization, the net magnetization of the WSM surface drops drastically, which enables the reappearance of the superconductivity inside the SC, as depicted in Fig. 3(e). Similar reappearance of the superconductivity takes place within the angle range $100^\circ \lesssim \theta \lesssim 260^\circ$. The profile of $\Delta_{l_z}$ remains nearly unchanged within this range of $\theta$ [54]. The sharp transitions, at the critical angles, is possible in a WSM because of its strong spin-momentum locking which makes the spin texture of the Fermi arcs quite robust against the external magnetic field, applied at an angle outside this angle range. Within this range, the magnetic field overcomes the spin-momentum locking and significantly reduces the in-plane magnetization components [54].

The energy spectrum of the heterostructure at $B_1=0$, in Fig. 3(b), shows that two pairs of energy levels (green and red) exhibit flat zero-energy states within the Fermi-arc region ($|k_1| \lesssim k_0$) while two other pairs (magenta and yellow) are gapped out at finite energies. These low-energy bands originate from the hybridization between the surface states in

FIG. 3. (a), (c), (e) Variation of the superconducting pairing amplitude $\Delta_{l_z}$ (left vertical axis) and $y$ component of magnetization $m_y$ (right vertical axis) with the layer index $l_z$ at (a) magnetic field $B_1=0$, (c) $B_1=0.1t$, $\theta=0^\circ$, and (e) $B_1=0.1t$, $\theta=200^\circ$. (b), (d), and (f) show the corresponding energy spectrum of the WSM/SC/WSM heterostructure at $k_z = 0$. The energy levels in red, green, yellow, and magenta originate primarily from the surfaces of the WSMs. Parameters are the same as in Fig. 2.
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the WSMs and the SC slabs. The spectrum changes when a magnetic field \( B_z = 0.1t \) is applied along \( \theta = 0^\circ \), as shown in Fig. 3(d). Particularly, outside the flat-band region (\( |k_x| > k_0 \)), the red and the green bands do not have an energy gap at \( \theta = 0^\circ \) [Fig. 3(d)] and a finite energy gap at \( \theta = 200^\circ \) [Fig. 3(f)]. These two angles belong to the two different states viz. the suppressed and recovered superconducting states, shown before in Fig. 2(a). Evidently, the electronic spectra also reveals a signature of these different states.

To gain insight on the critical field angles \( \theta_{c1} \) and \( \theta_{c2} \), we calculated the spin texture and the momentum-resolved density of states \( A(\mathbf{k},E) \) at energy \( E = -0.3t \) at zero magnetic field and different layers of the WSM/SC/WSM heterostructure. (a) \( l_z = 1 \) (top layer of the top WSM), (b) \( l_z = 15 \) (bottom layer of the top WSM), (c) \( l_z = 16 \) (top layer of the SC), (d) \( l_z = 18 \) (inside the SC but close to the top WSM/SC interface). Parameters are the same as in Fig. 2.

The spin texture at the top WSM/SC interface (both \( l_z = 15 \) and \( l_z = 16 \)) results in a net spin polarization, as shown in Figs. 4(b) and 4(c). This spin polarization suppresses the superconductivity near the WSM/SC interfaces and drives the switching transitions.

### IV. Discussion

Here, we discuss briefly the robustness of the proposed switching effect against different parameter choices in our model, the most relevant one being the hopping energy \( t_{\text{un}} \) between the WSM and the SC. The results presented until now were obtained with \( t_{\text{un}} = 0.5t \) at SC-layer thickness \( N_z = 15 \). In Fig. 5, we show the layer-resolved pairing amplitude \( \Delta_{l_z} \) at different values of \( t_{\text{un}} \) and \( N_z \). Each plot shows the variation in \( \Delta_{l_z} \) with the magnetic field angle \( \theta \) and the layer index \( l_z \). These results support the main finding, i.e., there are two distinct ranges of \( \theta \) viz. the suppressed (off) and the enhanced (on) superconducting states. The profile of \( \Delta_{l_z} \) remains nearly unchanged within the angle range \( 100^\circ < \theta < 260^\circ \) (at \( N_z = 15 \) and \( t_{\text{un}} = 0.5t \)). Though there is a profile of the superconducting gap inside the SC slab, the entire SC slab is superconducting, implying a zero-resistance state inside it. The switching effect is, therefore, achievable at different \( t_{\text{un}} \), the smallest being \( t_{\text{un}} = 0.5t \) at which the switching in the superconducting state appears when \( N_z \) is equal to or below \( N_z = 9 \). We further assert that the switching effect at even smaller \( t_{\text{un}} \) than \( t_{\text{un}} = 0.5t \) can be achieved by concomitantly reducing the SC slab thickness \( N_z \) because \( t_{\text{un}} \) relates with the length scale of the proximity effect.

The coexistence of the spin polarization and the superconductivity is observed at metallic point contacts in TaAs [34]. Our analysis on the lattice matching at different WSM/SC interfaces and experimental findings of a strong interface coupling suggest that the switching effect can be tested at Nb/TaP, Nb/NbP, In/NbP, and In/TaP interfaces [33,54,56]. The strength of the external magnetic field is smaller than the critical field of the SC (\( \approx 0.4 \) T for Nb [57]). The switching effect can be further tuned by the chemical potential in the SC slab [54].

The potential advantages of the proposed WSM-based switching mechanism over the existing mechanisms are the following. In the existing FM-based switching devices, the presence of Néel domains leads to the coexistence of both standard (\( R_p > R_{ap} \)) and inverse (\( R_p < R_{ap} \)) switching effects [47]. In the proposed WSM-based switching mechanism, the unanticipated coexistence of the standard and the inverse switching effects is not possible because the spin textures on the Fermi arcs, which are fixed in a given WSM, are robust due to strong spin-momentum locking and, therefore, they are expected to be free from any domain structure. Also, the strong spin-momentum locking and the weak Coulomb interaction in WSMs such as TaAs or NbAs ensure a sharp switching, free from the Coulomb-drag or magneto-Coulomb effect that causes trouble in existing FM-based devices [58–60].

To conclude, we predicted a magnetic-switching mechanism in WSM/SC/WSM heterostructures, which employs the strong spin-momentum locking and the surface spin polarization of the WSMs. We showed that a sharp switching
FIG. 5. Profiles of the layer-resolved pairing amplitude $\Delta_I$ (in the $l_z-\theta$ plane) at different hopping energies $t_{\text{run}}$ and the SC layer thicknesses $N_s$. The applied magnetic field is $B = 0.1 t$ and other parameters are the same as in Fig. 2. The on (off) region in the top-left panel shows the magnetic-field angle range within which the middle SC region is superconducting (nonsuperconducting), implying a low-resistance state in the trilayer heterostructure.

phenomenon arises due to the interplay between the WSM surface magnetization, superconductivity, and the external magnetic field. The switching effect is testable using a WSM with either broken time-reversal symmetry or broken both inversion and time-reversal symmetries and has the potential for novel spin-valve applications.
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