

For the definition of Hermitian operators most books require using **two different functions** $f(x)$ and $g(x)$. Steps are the same as we did, no worries. This more general form is sometimes useful, as the practice exam will show.

$$\langle f | \hat{Q} g \rangle = \langle \hat{Q} f | g \rangle$$

If \hat{Q} is NOT Hermitian, like d/dx , then the **definition of Hermitian of an operator** (a.k.a. Hermitian conjugate, or adjoint) is the operator \hat{Q}^\dagger that satisfies:

$$\langle f | \hat{Q} g \rangle = \langle \hat{Q}^\dagger f | g \rangle$$

Examples: $(d/dx)^\dagger = -(d/dx)$, $(i)^\dagger = -i$ (for a complex number "dagger" is the same as "conjugation"), $(AB)^\dagger = B^\dagger A^\dagger$ see next.

PROBLEM 2, practice Test2, 2022: (a) Consider two arbitrary operators \hat{A} and \hat{B} in a Hilbert space. Consider now their product $\hat{A}\hat{B}$. Find the Hermitian of the product i.e. find $(\hat{A}\hat{B})^\dagger$, using twice the straightforward definition of Hermitian of an operator, discussed in lecture Oct. 26 i.e. $\langle f|\hat{Q}g\rangle = \langle \hat{Q}^\dagger f|g\rangle$

$$\langle f|\hat{A}\hat{B}g\rangle = \langle \hat{A}^\dagger f|\hat{B}g\rangle = \langle \hat{B}^\dagger \hat{A}^\dagger f|g\rangle$$

Thus, based on $\langle f|\hat{Q}g\rangle = \langle \hat{Q}^\dagger f|g\rangle$ we conclude $(\hat{A}\hat{B})^\dagger = \hat{B}^\dagger \hat{A}^\dagger$

(b) Consider the operator $\hat{O}=i\hat{p}$, where i is the imaginary unit and \hat{p} the standard momentum operator which you already know is Hermitian. Is the operator \hat{O} Hermitian in a Hilbert space? Solve this problem by any procedure you wish. But you cannot answer tersely just “yes” or “no”: need to justify in some logical manner your answer.

$$(i\hat{p})^\dagger = \hat{p}^\dagger i^\dagger = \hat{p} (-i) = -i\hat{p}$$

No, the operator is not Hermitian due to the extra sign

Alternative solution of (b): What is the Hermitian of $i\hat{p}$ i.e. $(i\hat{p})^\dagger$?

$$i\hat{p} = +\hbar \frac{d}{dx}$$

$$\langle i\hat{p} \rangle = \underbrace{\int \Psi^* i\hat{p} \Psi dx}_{\langle \Psi | i\hat{p} \Psi \rangle} = +\hbar \int \Psi^* \frac{d}{dx} \Psi dx$$

Just using definition of operator $i\hat{p}$ and using $i \times (-i) = +1$.

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \Psi^* \frac{d}{dx} \Psi dx = \underbrace{\Psi^* \Psi \Big|_{-\infty}^{\infty}}_{=0 \text{ in the Hilbert space}} - \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left(\frac{d}{dx} \Psi^* \right) \Psi dx$$

By parts

This framed green formula is the same as used before to show the momentum is Hermitian.

Thus, so far $\langle \Psi | i\hat{p} \Psi \rangle = +\hbar \int \Psi^* \frac{d}{dx} \Psi dx$

$$= (+\hbar) \left(- \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left(\frac{d}{dx} \Psi^* \right) \Psi dx \right) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left(-\hbar \frac{d}{dx} \Psi \right)^* \Psi dx = \langle -i\hat{p} \Psi | \Psi \rangle$$

Then: $(i\hat{p})^\dagger = -i\hat{p}$ i.e. not Hermitian, but anti-Hermitian.

3.2.2 "Determinate" States

The "stationary states" of the \hat{H} Hamiltonian, Ψ_n , have a **sharp** energy E_n . Can we do the same for other operators \hat{Q} ?

Similarly as when we used to write $\hat{H}\Psi_n(x) = E_n\Psi_n(x)$, we define **eigenfunctions** of hermitian \hat{Q} , $f_q(x)$, such that

$$\hat{Q} f_q(x) = q f_q(x) [q = q_1, q_2, q_3, \dots]$$

" q " is just a **number**, called the **eigenvalue** of the operator \hat{Q} . The reason for the language is the similarity to a matrix operation $Ta = \lambda a$. (see Appendix A.5). There are many $\lambda = \lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots$

Example: in Chapter 4 we will discuss eigenfunctions of the angular momentum operator \hat{L} , the "spin" \hat{S} , etc.

Example: the momentum operator \hat{p} .

First we need an eigenfunction $f_p(x)$ such that

$$\hat{p} \text{ operator} \rightarrow \left(\frac{\hbar}{i} \frac{d}{dx} \right) f_p(x) = p f_p(x)$$

Check for Test2!

Solution is very easy (but normalization is unusual because they are not normalizable -> [page 100](#)):

$$f_p(x) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\hbar}} e^{ipx/\hbar}$$

$\langle f_p(x) | f_{p'}(x) \rangle = \delta(p-p')$

Given an arbitrary wave function $\Psi(x,t)$, if we would time we would discuss the analog of $c_n = \langle f_n | f \rangle$

$$c(p) = \langle f_p | \Psi \rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\hbar}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-ipx/\hbar} \Psi(x,t) dx$$

$f_p^*(x)$

For continuous eigenvalues, $c(p)$ no longer "a number from 0 to 1".

PROBLEM 4, practice Test2, 2022: Consider the wave function

$$\psi(x) = \sin(\pi x/a) - i \cos(\pi x/a)$$

(a) Prove that $\psi(x)$ is an **eigenfunction** of the linear momentum operator.

(b) Provide the associated eigenvalue.

(a) The operator is $-i\hbar \frac{d}{dx} = \hat{p}$, then

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{p}\psi &= (-i\hbar) \frac{d}{dx} \left[\sin\left(\frac{\pi x}{a}\right) - i \cos\left(\frac{\pi x}{a}\right) \right] = \\ &= (-i\hbar) \left[\frac{\pi}{a} \cos\left(\frac{\pi x}{a}\right) - i \frac{\pi}{a} (-\sin\left(\frac{\pi x}{a}\right)) \right] = \left(\frac{-i\hbar\pi}{a} \right) \times \\ &\quad \times \left[\cos\left(\frac{\pi x}{a}\right) + i \sin\left(\frac{\pi x}{a}\right) \right] = \left(\frac{\hbar\pi}{a} \right) \left[\sin\left(\frac{\pi x}{a}\right) - i \cos\left(\frac{\pi x}{a}\right) \right]\end{aligned}$$

Yes, it is eigenfunction.

(b) Eigenvalue is $\left(\frac{\hbar\pi}{a} \right)$.

There are many theorems for Hermitian operators, **the operators that matter for observables**, that **I will NOT prove**:

(1) The eigenvalues q are **real**, like the energies E_n were (see page 98 for a 100% math proof). If you measure \hat{Q} in any $\Psi(x,t)$, you will get one of the q 's.

(2) The eigenfunctions $f_q(x)$ for different q 's are **orthonormal** -- sometimes using Kronecker delta, sometimes Dirac delta -- like $\Psi_n(x)$ for energies were.

(3) The eigenfunctions are **complete**, like $\Psi_n(x)$ for energies were.

Caveat: careful with **degenerate** states i.e. those with the same eigenvalue q .

Generalized uncertainty principle. Proof in pages at the end of Ch3 if you are interested in.

$$\sigma_A^2 \sigma_B^2 \geq \left(\frac{1}{2i} \langle [\hat{A}, \hat{B}] \rangle \right)^2$$

Assumes $\langle \dots \rangle$ is in a normalized to 1 state, and both operators must be Hermitian.

Example, if $\hat{A} = \hat{x}$ and $\hat{B} = \hat{p}$, then $[\hat{x}, \hat{p}] = i\hbar$

$$\sigma_x^2 \sigma_p^2 \geq \left(\frac{1}{2i} i\hbar \right)^2 = \left(\frac{\hbar}{2} \right)^2 \quad \sigma_x \sigma_p \geq \frac{\hbar}{2}$$

It can also be trivial: if $\hat{A} = \hat{x}$ and $\hat{B} = \hat{x}^2$, then $\sigma_x \sigma_{x^2} = 0$ because they commute