Rule: If there is a degeneracy between total $\mathrm{S}=0$ vs total $S=1$, the triplet has lower energy due to e-e repulsion.

But how do we order states if the degeneracy is between say $\ell=0$ vs $\ell=1$ for the same $n$ ? Example:

Rule: e-p attraction favors the lowest total " $\ell$ " between subshells (like $s$ vs $p$ ) due to "screening" of $p$ :

"Green 20" electron is close to nucleus i.e. lower energy. See wave function.

"Green 21" electron is further from nucleus than green 20 electron (screening), see wave function.


Then, because of the effect in the previous page that distinguishes between cases $\mathrm{I}=0, \mathrm{I}=1, \mathrm{l}=2$, etc. there is a split of accidentally "degenerate" orbitals:


This is the energy order we have to use in order to construct the periodic table from now on.


### 5.2.2 Periodic table (ground states only)





Think for a few seconds what we achieved: the periodic table of
Mendeleev


| $Z$ |  | Element |  | Configuration |  |
| ---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: |
| 1 | H | $(1 s)$ | $1 / 2^{2} S_{1 / 2}$ |  |  |
| 2 | He | $(1 s)^{2}$ | 0 | ${ }^{1} S_{0}$ |  |
| 3 | Li | $(\mathrm{He})(2 s)$ | $1 / 2^{2} S_{1 / 2}$ |  |  |
| 4 | Be | $(\mathrm{He})(2 s)^{2}$ | 0 | ${ }^{1} S_{0}$ |  |
| 5 | B | $(\mathrm{He})(2 s)^{2}(2 p)$ | $1 / 2^{2} P_{1 / 2}$ |  |  |
| 6 | C | $(\mathrm{He})(2 s)^{2}(2 p)^{2}$ | 1 | ${ }^{3} P_{0}$ |  |
| 7 | N | $(\mathrm{He})(2 s)^{2}(2 p)^{3}$ | $3 / 2^{4} S_{3 / 2}$ |  |  |
| 8 | O | $(\mathrm{He})(2 s)^{2}(2 p)^{4}$ | 1 | ${ }^{3} P_{2}$ |  |
| 9 | F | $(\mathrm{He})(2 s)^{2}(2 p)^{5}$ | $1 / 2{ }^{2} P_{3 / 2}$ |  |  |
| 10 | Ne | $(\mathrm{He})(2 s)^{2}(2 p)^{6}$ | 0 | ${ }^{1} S_{0}$ |  |
| 11 | Na | $(\mathrm{Ne})(3 s)$ | $1 / 2^{2} S_{1 / 2}$ |  |  |
| 12 | Mg | $(\mathrm{Ne})(3 s)^{2}$ | 0 | ${ }^{1} S_{0}$ |  |
| 13 | Al | $(\mathrm{Ne})(3 s)^{2}(3 p)$ | $1 / 2^{2} P_{1 / 2}$ |  |  |
| 14 | Si | $(\mathrm{Ne})(3 s)^{2}(3 p)^{2}$ | 1 | ${ }^{3} P_{0}$ |  |
| 15 | P | $(\mathrm{Ne})(3 s)^{2}(3 p)^{3}$ | $3 / 2^{4} S_{3 / 2}$ |  |  |
| 16 | S | $(\mathrm{Ne})(3 s)^{2}(3 p)^{4}$ | 1 | ${ }^{3} P_{2}$ |  |
| 17 | Cl | $(\mathrm{Ne})(3 s)^{2}(3 p)^{5}$ | $1 / 2^{2} P_{3 / 2}$ |  |  |
| 18 | Ar | $(\mathrm{Ne})(3 s)^{2}(3 p)^{6}$ | 0 | ${ }^{1} S_{0}$ |  |

## ${ }^{2 S+1} L_{J}$

All 3 numbers are TOTAL In each subsell, like 2p, the state with max $S$ total, wins. Example: $N$ has $2 S+1=4$ i.e. $S=3 / 2$ due to $e-$ e repulsion.

About L: "S" means L=0, "P" means $L=1$, " $D$ " means $L=2, \ldots$, but now $L$ is "total $L$ ".
$J$, the total angular momentum, could be $L+S, \ldots . .|L-S|$ depending on small energy differences.

The "Hund's rules" for $L$ and J are more chaotic, with many exceptions. Just read about them in the book if you like ...

Up to this point is what you need to know for Test 1.

One problem will surely involve the diagonalization of a $2 \times 2$ matrix in the context of a spin in a magnetic field.

