## Example 7.2:

Consider now the attractive delta function. Here we also know the exact result from QM 411:  $E_{gs} = -m\alpha^2/2\hbar^2$ 

$$H = -\frac{\hbar^2}{2m} \frac{d^2}{dx^2} - \alpha \delta(x)$$

Here we will use again the Gaussian trial wave function. We know already that this is not the exact ground state.

$$\psi(x) = Ae^{-bx^2}$$

The normalization is independent of the Hamiltonian, thus same as  $1 = |A|^2 \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-2bx^2} dx = |A|^2 \sqrt{\frac{\pi}{2b}} \Rightarrow A = \left(\frac{2b}{\pi}\right)^{1/4}$ Example 7.1:

**<T>** is the same:  $\langle T \rangle = -\frac{\hbar^2}{2m} |A|^2 \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-bx^2} \frac{d^2}{dx^2} \left(e^{-bx^2}\right) dx = \frac{\hbar^2 b}{2m}$ 

The only difference between Examples 7.1 and 7.2 arises from <V>:

$$\langle V \rangle = -\alpha |A|^2 \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-2bx^2} \delta(x) \, dx = -\alpha \sqrt{\frac{2b}{\pi}}$$

Adding kinetic and potential:  $\langle H \rangle = \frac{\hbar^2 b}{2m} - \alpha \sqrt{\frac{2b}{\pi}}$ 

Optimizing b: 
$$\frac{d}{db}\langle H \rangle = \frac{\hbar^2}{2m} - \frac{\alpha}{\sqrt{2\pi b}} = 0 \Rightarrow b = \frac{2m^2\alpha^2}{\pi\hbar^4}$$
  
we arrive to  $\langle H \rangle_{\min} = -\frac{m\alpha^2}{\pi\hbar^2}$  while exact is  $E_{gs} = -m\alpha^2/2\hbar^2$   
Not perfect but close!

Note: If variational state is known to be orthogonal to ground state (e.g. even vs odd), then the upper bound found is for the **first excited state** (see Problem 7.4).

Example 7.3:

As a wave function you can use anything, including a function with discontinuous first derivatives:

Consider as potential the infinite square well between 0 and a.

 $\psi(x) = \begin{cases} Ax, & \text{if } 0 \le x \le a/2, \\ A(a-x), & \text{if } a/2 \le x \le a, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$ 



$$1 = |A|^2 \left[ \int_0^{a/2} x^2 \, dx + \int_{a/2}^a (a-x)^2 \, dx \right] = |A|^2 \frac{a^3}{12} \implies A = \frac{2}{a} \sqrt{\frac{3}{a}}$$

The challenge is how to handle <T> that contains a second derivative!

$$\frac{d\psi}{dx} = \begin{cases} A, & \text{if } 0 < x < a/2, \\ -A, & \text{if } a/2 < x < a, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

The derivative of a step function is a Dirac delta. Number in front is the jump:



$$\frac{d^2\psi}{dx^2} = A\delta(x) - 2A\delta(x - a/2) + A\delta(x - a)$$

$$\langle H \rangle = -\frac{\hbar^2 A}{2m} \int [\delta(x) - 2\delta(x - a/2) + \delta(x - a)] \psi(x) \, dx$$
  
=  $-\frac{\hbar^2 A}{2m} [\psi(0) - 2\psi(a/2) + \psi(a)] = \frac{\hbar^2 A^2 a}{2m} = \frac{12\hbar^2}{2ma^2}$ 

The exact result is:

$$E_{\rm gs} = \pi^2 \hbar^2 / 2ma^2$$

Variational theorem holds because  $12 > \pi^2$ 





7.2 The Ground State of Helium

 $E_{\rm gs} = -78.975 \, {\rm eV}$  (experimental)



If we neglect the e-e repulsion, the problem can be solved but energy is  $8\times(13.6 \text{ eV}) = -109 \text{ eV}$ , far from the exact result: Qualitatively ok, quantitatively not enough.

$$\psi_0(\mathbf{r}_1, \mathbf{r}_2) \equiv \psi_{100}(\mathbf{r}_1)\psi_{100}(\mathbf{r}_2) = \frac{8}{\pi a^3} e^{-2(r_1 + r_2)/a}$$

To improve on the discrepancy between -109 eV and -78.975 eV, we will use a variational method, employing the same wave function  $\psi_0(\mathbf{r}_1,\mathbf{r}_2)$  that solves the problem exactly when e-e neglected.

$$\psi_{0}(\mathbf{r}_{1}, \mathbf{r}_{2}) \equiv \psi_{100}(\mathbf{r}_{1})\psi_{100}(\mathbf{r}_{2}) = \frac{8}{\pi a^{3}}e^{-2(r_{1}+r_{2})/a}$$
Note we have NO variational  
parameter here, yet it is still  
variational problem. We will still  
get an upper bound on the energy  

$$H\psi_{0} = (8E_{1} + V_{ee})\psi_{0} \quad \text{with} \quad V_{ee} = \frac{e^{2}}{4\pi\epsilon_{0}}\frac{1}{|\mathbf{r}_{1} - \mathbf{r}_{2}|}$$

$$\langle H \rangle = 8E_{1} + \langle V_{ee} \rangle$$
where  $\langle V_{ee} \rangle = \left(\frac{e^{2}}{4\pi\epsilon_{0}}\right)\left(\frac{8}{\pi a^{3}}\right)^{2}\int \frac{e^{-4(r_{1}+r_{2})/a}}{|\mathbf{r}_{1} - \mathbf{r}_{2}|}d^{3}\mathbf{r}_{1}d^{3}\mathbf{r}_{2}$ 
The double integral

can be done, see  
book. The result is: 
$$\langle V_{ee} \rangle = \frac{5}{4a} \left( \frac{e^2}{4\pi\epsilon_0} \right) = -\frac{5}{2} E_1$$

= 34 eV

$$\langle H \rangle = -109 \text{ eV} + 34 \text{ eV} = -75 \text{ eV}$$

Great quantitative improvement!

Note that -109 eV was the result of neglecting e-e, i.e. a different Hamiltonian. Not surprising -109 eV is below -79 eV. Note also that when the complete problem --- with e-e repulsion included --- is treated variationally, then the result is ABOVE -79 eV as it must.

We can do even better, by introducing a variational parameter Z that mimics "screening" effects: each electron should see a reduced nuclear charge because sometimes the other electron is in the way. Then, let us now try:

$$\psi_1(\mathbf{r}_1, \mathbf{r}_2) \equiv \frac{Z^3}{\pi a^3} e^{-Z(r_1+r_2)/a}$$

and optimize Z after calculating <H>. Note we never "play" with H, that is fixed. We "play" with the trial wavefunction.

How do we do the calculation of <H>? First rewrite exactly the Hamiltonian, without modifying it:

No Z dependence in Vee, but wave function has Z. Repeat calculation and you get  $-5ZE_1/4$ .

$$\langle H \rangle = \left[ 2Z^2 - 4Z(Z-2) - (5/4)Z \right] E_1 = \left[ -2Z^2 + (27/4)Z \right] E_1$$

We finally find:

Now we must optimize with respect to Z:

$$\frac{d}{dZ}\langle H \rangle = [-4Z + (27/4)]E_1 = 0$$

$$Z = \frac{27}{16} = 1.69$$

The optimal Z being less than 2 makes sense. It is like an effective "screened" charge: one electron often sees the nucleus and the other electron in between.

The final answer is then:

$$\langle H \rangle = \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{3}{2}\right)^6 E_1 = -77.5 \text{ eV}$$

In summary, once the full H is considered then our approx. must be an upper bound:

-75 eV 
$$\psi_0(\mathbf{r}_1, \mathbf{r}_2) = \frac{8}{\pi a^3} e^{-2(r_1 + r_2)/a}$$
  
-77.5 eV  $\psi_1(\mathbf{r}_1, \mathbf{r}_2) \equiv \frac{Z^3}{\pi a^3} e^{-Z(r_1 + r_2)/a}$   
-78.975 eV Experimental