How do we find $c_{a}(\dagger)$ and $c_{b}(\dagger)$ ? Requiring that the time DEPENDENT Sch Eq be satisfied.

$$
H \Psi=i \hbar \frac{\partial \Psi}{\partial t} \quad H=H^{0}+H^{\prime}(t)
$$

Recalling $\Psi(t)=c_{a l}(t) \psi_{a} e^{-i E_{a l} t / \hbar}+c_{b}(t) \psi_{b} e^{-i E_{h} t / \hbar}$ we simply plug this state into the Sch. Eq. above:

Time independent Hamiltonian
$\checkmark$ like hydrogen atom.

Perturbation is time dependent.
$\checkmark$ It may be $\sim \sin (\omega t)$ for example. $c_{a}\left[H^{0} \psi_{a}\right] e^{-i E_{a l} t / \hbar}+c_{b}\left[H^{0} \psi_{b}\right] e^{-i E_{h} t / \hbar}+c_{a}\left[H^{\prime} \psi_{a l}\right] e^{-i E_{a} t / \hbar}+c_{b}\left[H^{\prime} \psi_{b}\right] e^{-i E_{h} t / \hbar}$
$\quad=i \hbar\left[\dot{c}_{a} \psi_{a} e^{-i E_{u} t / \hbar}+\dot{c}_{b} \psi_{b} e^{-i E_{l, t} / \hbar}\right.$
$\left.\underset{+c_{a} \psi_{a}\left(-\frac{i E_{a}}{\hbar}\right)}{ } \begin{array}{l}\text { The dot means }\end{array} e^{-i E_{a} t / \hbar}+c_{b} \psi_{b}\left(-\frac{i E_{b}}{\hbar}\right) e^{-i E_{b} t / \hbar}\right]$ $d c_{a \text { or } b}(t) / d t$.

Repeating the formula

we notice some cancellations.

$$
\left.+c_{a} \psi_{a}\left(\frac{i E_{a l}}{\hbar}\right) e^{-i E_{a} t / \hbar}+c_{b} \psi_{b}\left(\frac{i E_{b}}{\hbar}\right) e^{-i E_{b} t / \hbar}\right]
$$

Then the equation simplifies to:

$$
c_{a}\left[H^{\prime} \psi_{a}\right] e^{-i E_{a} t / \hbar}+c_{b}\left[H^{\prime} \psi_{b}\right] e^{-i E_{h} t / \hbar}=i \hbar\left[\dot{c}_{a} \psi_{a} e^{-i E_{a} t / \hbar}+\dot{c}_{b} \psi_{b} e^{-i E_{b} t / \hbar}\right]
$$

As often done, we will now exploit the orthogonality

$$
\left\langle\psi_{a} \mid \psi_{b}\right\rangle=\delta_{a b}
$$

## Consider the inner product with $<\psi_{a}$ l and then the inner product with $\left\langle\psi_{b}\right|$ :

Then we obtain two equations:
$c_{a}\left\langle\psi_{a}\right| H^{\prime}\left|\psi_{a}\right\rangle e^{-i E_{a} t / \hbar}+c_{b}\left\langle\psi_{a}\right| H^{\prime}\left|\psi_{b}\right\rangle e^{-i E_{b} t / \hbar}=i \hbar \dot{c}_{a} e^{-i E_{a} t / \hbar}$ $c_{a}\left\langle\psi_{b}\right| H^{\prime}\left|\psi_{a}\right\rangle e^{-i E_{l l} t / \hbar}+c_{b}\left\langle\psi_{b}\right| H^{\prime}\left|\psi_{b}\right\rangle e^{-i E_{b} t / \hbar}=i \hbar \dot{c}_{b} e^{-i E_{b} t / \hbar}$

Reorder and use the following compact notation:

$$
H_{i j}^{\prime} \equiv\left\langle\psi_{i}\right| H^{\prime}\left|\psi_{j}\right\rangle
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \dot{c}_{a}=-\frac{i}{\hbar}\left[c_{a} H_{a a}^{\prime}+c_{b} H_{a b}^{\prime} e^{-i\left(E_{b}-E_{a}\right) t / \hbar}\right] \\
& \dot{c}_{b}=-\frac{i}{\hbar}\left[c_{b} H_{b b}^{\prime}+c_{a} H_{b a}^{\prime} e^{i\left(E_{b}-E_{a l}\right) t / \hbar}\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

This system of two coupled equations for the coefficients $c_{a}(\dagger)$ and $c_{b}(t)$ is totally equivalent to solving the time dependent Sch Eq for a two states system, even if $H^{\prime}$ is not small. If you wish to include more states, then more equations are generated, as many as coefficients i.e. as many as states.
Moreover, as you will see, often the diagonal matrix elements $H_{a a}^{\prime}$ and $H_{b b}^{\prime}$ are 0. E.g. an electric field arises from a potential that is "odd": $H^{\prime}=-q E_{0} z \cos (\omega t)$. Then a "diagonal" matrix element involving odd or even functions will cancel.

Then, in practice the system of equations simplifies further to:

$$
\dot{c}_{a}=-\frac{i}{\hbar} H_{a b}^{\prime} e^{-i \omega_{0} t} c_{b}, \quad \dot{c}_{b}=-\frac{i}{\hbar} H_{b a}^{\prime} e^{i \omega_{1} t} c_{a}
$$

where $\quad \omega_{0} \equiv \frac{E_{b}-E_{a}}{\hbar}$ with $E_{b} \geq E_{a}$, so $\omega_{0} \geq 0$

### 9.1.2: Time Dependent Perturbation Theory

It is only now that we will assume $H^{\prime}$ is "small". We will use an iterative process ...

Start with: $\quad c_{a}(0)=1, \quad c_{b}(0)=0$

If $H^{\prime}$ is zero, then all the matrix elements are zero, and this is the solution forever. The particle remains at state " $a$ ".

$$
c_{a}^{(0)}(t)=1, \quad c_{b}^{(0)}(t)=0
$$

In HW22 you will address a problem with different initial conditions.

Now insert the 0-order values into the right of the pair of

$$
\dot{c}_{a}=-\frac{i}{\hbar} H_{a b}^{\prime} e^{-i \omega_{n} t} c_{b}, \quad \dot{c}_{b}=-\frac{i}{\hbar} H_{b_{b}}^{\prime} e^{i \omega_{b} t} c_{a}
$$ differential eqs. of previous page:

For " $a$ " nothing changes: $\quad \frac{d c_{a}^{(1)}}{d t}=0 \Rightarrow c_{a}^{(1)}(t)=1$

For "b", we find a nontrivial result:

$$
\frac{d c_{b}^{(1)}}{d t}=-\frac{i}{\hbar} H_{b a}^{\prime} e^{i \omega_{0} t} \Rightarrow c_{b}^{(1)}=-\frac{i}{\hbar} \int_{0}^{t} H_{b a}^{\prime}\left(t^{\prime}\right) e^{i \omega_{0} t^{\prime}} d t^{\prime}
$$

To obtain something nontrivial for the "a" state, we need another iteration in $\mathrm{H}^{\prime}$ :

$$
\begin{gathered}
\frac{d c_{a}^{(2)}}{d t}=-\frac{i}{\hbar} H_{a b}^{\prime} e^{-i \omega_{01}}\left(-\frac{i}{\hbar}\right) \int_{0}^{t} H_{b a}^{\prime}\left(t^{\prime}\right) e^{i \omega_{0} \prime^{\prime}} d t^{\prime} \Rightarrow \\
c_{a}^{(2)}(t)=1-\frac{1}{\hbar^{2}} \int_{0}^{t} H_{a l \prime}^{\prime}\left(t^{\prime}\right) e^{-i \omega_{0} t^{\prime}}\left[\int_{0}^{t^{\prime}} H_{b a}^{\prime}\left(t^{\prime \prime}\right) e^{i \omega_{0} \prime^{\prime}} d t^{\prime \prime}\right] d t^{\prime}
\end{gathered}
$$

We could continue the process, but this is sufficient. Please read in book the discussion about the normalization and the apparent problem that the normalization to 1 is not respected. But it is respected at the order of $\mathrm{H}^{\prime}$ you are keeping.

### 9.1.3: Sinusoidal Perturbation

Consider, very common, perturbations where the space and time component are separated in factors:

$$
H^{\prime}(\mathbf{r}, t)=V(\mathbf{r}) \cos (\omega t)
$$

$$
H_{a b}^{\prime}=V_{a b} \cos (\omega t) \quad V_{a b} \equiv\left\langle\psi_{a}\right| V\left|\psi_{b}\right\rangle
$$

Then in this case, we find exactly at order 1 for the $b$ coefficient:

$$
\begin{aligned}
c_{b}(t) & \cong-\frac{i}{\hbar} V_{b a} \int_{0}^{t} \cos \left(\omega t^{\prime}\right) e^{i\left(\omega_{01} t^{\prime}\right.} d t^{\prime}=-\frac{i V_{b a}}{2 \hbar} \int_{0}^{t}\left[e^{i\left(\omega_{0}+\omega\right) t^{\prime}}+e^{i\left(\omega_{0}-\omega\right) t^{\prime}}\right] d t^{\prime} \\
& =-\frac{V_{b a}}{2 \hbar}\left[\frac{e^{i\left(\omega_{1}+\omega\right) t}}{\left.\frac{\omega_{0}+\omega}{}+\frac{e^{i\left(\omega_{0}-\omega\right) t}-1}{\omega_{0}-\omega}\right]}\right.
\end{aligned}
$$

Another simplification. Work near resonance and drop the first term.

$$
\begin{aligned}
c_{b}(t) & \cong-\frac{V_{b a t}}{2 \hbar} \frac{e^{i\left(\omega_{0}-\omega\right) t / 2}}{\omega_{0}-\omega}\left[e^{i\left(\omega_{0}-\omega\right) t / 2}-e^{-i\left(\omega_{0}-\omega\right) t / 2}\right] \\
& =-i \frac{V_{b a}}{\hbar} \frac{\sin \left[\left(\omega_{0}-\omega\right) t / 2\right]}{\omega_{0}-\omega} e^{i\left(\omega_{0}-\omega\right) t / 2}
\end{aligned}
$$

The transition probability for the transition from "a" to " $b$ " is a sinusoidal function of time that can be large near resonance, even with a small perturbation $V_{b a}$.

$$
P_{a \rightarrow b}(t)=\left|c_{b}(t)\right|^{2} \cong \frac{\left|V_{a b}\right|^{2}}{\hbar^{2}} \frac{\sin ^{2}\left[\left(\omega_{0}-\omega\right) t / 2\right]}{\left(\omega_{0}-\omega\right)^{2}}
$$



The amplitude, meaning how likely is the transition from "a" to " $b$ ", is regulated by both the perturbation strength hidden in $V_{b a}$ and also by how close to resonance we are. If the amplitude exceeds 1, then the formula is too crude and needs to be improved ...

Moreover, the probability is sinusoidal. The particle can absorb energy and go up, or release energy and come down.

At times $t_{n}=2 n \pi /\left|\omega_{0}-\omega\right|$ where $n=1,2,3, \ldots$ the electron is back in the lower state " $a$ " with $100 \%$ chance. Thus, often it is better to turn off the external field, after a time sufficient to excite the electron, if you wish to have the electron in the upper state.

Plotting results at a fixed time, as a function of frequency, make more clear that near resonance the probability is maximized.


Near resonance, $\sin ^{2}\left[\left(\omega_{0}-\omega\right) t / 2\right] \sim\left[\left(\omega_{0}-\omega\right) t / 2\right]^{2}$
At resonance, amplitude grows like $\dagger^{2}$ so eventually the transition will occur.

