Notice: This manuscript has been authored by UT-Battelle, LLC, under contract DE-AC05-00OR22725 with the US Department of Energy (DOE). The US government retains and the publisher, by accepting the article for publication, acknowledges that the US government retains a nonexclusive, paid-up, irrevocable, worldwide license to publish or reproduce the published form of this manuscript, or allow others to do so, for US government purposes. DOE will provide public access to these results of federally sponsored research in accordance with the DOE Public Access Plan (https://www.energy.gov/doe-public-access-plan).

General trends of electronic structures, superconducting pairing, and magnetic correlations in the Ruddlesden-Popper nickelate *m*-layered superconductors $La_{m+1}Ni_mO_{3m+1}$

Yang Zhang,¹ Ling-Fang Lin,^{1,*} Adriana Moreo,^{1,2} Satoshi Okamoto,² Thomas A. Maier,^{3,†} and Elbio Dagotto^{1,2,‡}

¹Department of Physics and Astronomy, University of Tennessee, Knoxville, Tennessee 37996, USA

²Materials Science and Technology Division, Oak Ridge National Laboratory, Oak Ridge, Tennessee 37831, USA

³Computational Sciences and Engineering Division,

Oak Ridge National Laboratory, Oak Ridge, Tennessee 37831, USA

(Dated: April 22, 2025)

We report a comprehensive theoretical analysis of the Ruddlesden-Popper layered nickelates $La_{m+1}Ni_mO_{3m+1}$ (m = 1 to 6) under pressure. These materials have recently received significant attention due to the discovery of superconductivity in some nickelates under pressure. Our results suggest that, while these Ruddlesden-Popper layered nickelates display many similarities, they also show noticeable differences. One of the common features of $La_{m+1}Ni_mO_{3m+1}$ is that the electronic states near the Fermi level are mainly contributed by Ni 3d orbitals, slightly hybridized with O 2porbitals. The Ni $d_{3z^2-r^2}$ orbitals display bonding-antibonding, or bonding-antibonding-nonbonding, characteristic splittings, depending on the even or odd number of stacking layers m. In addition, the ratio of the in-plane interorbital hopping between $d_{3z^2-r^2}$ and $d_{x^2-y^2}$ orbitals and in-plane intraorbital hopping between $d_{x^2-y^2}$ orbitals was found to be large in La_{m+1}Ni_mO_{3m+1} (m = 1 to 6), and this ratio increases from m = 1 to m = 6, suggesting that the in-plane hybridization will increase as the layer number m increases. In contrast to the dominant s^{\pm} -wave state driven by spin fluctuations in the bilayer La₃Ni₂O₇ and trilayer La₄Ni₃O₁₀, two nearly degenerate $d_{x^2-y^2}$ -wave and s^{\pm} -wave leading states were obtained in the four-layer stacking La₅Ni₄O₁₃ and five-layer stacking $La_6Ni_5O_{16}$. The leading s^{\pm} -wave state was recovered in the six-layer material $La_7Ni_6O_{19}$. In general, at the level of the random phase approximation treatment, the superconducting transition temperature T_c decreases in stoichiometric bulk systems from the bilayer La₃Ni₂O₇ to the six-layer $La_7Ni_6O_{19}$, despite the *m* dependent dominant pairing. Both in-plane and out-of-plane magnetic correlations are found to be quite complex. Within the in-plane direction, we obtained the peak of the magnetic susceptibility at $\mathbf{q} = (0.6\pi, 0.6\pi)$ for La₅Ni₄O₁₃ (m = 4) and La₇Ni₆O₁₉ (m = 6), and at $\mathbf{q} = (0.7\pi, 0.7\pi)$ for La₆Ni₅O₁₆ (m = 5). Along the out-of-plane direction, four layers are coupled as $\downarrow -\uparrow -\uparrow -\downarrow$ in La₅Ni₄O₁₃, five layers are coupled as $\uparrow -\uparrow -\downarrow -\uparrow -\uparrow$ in La₆Ni₅O₁₆, and six layers are coupled as $\uparrow - \downarrow - \downarrow - \uparrow - \uparrow - \downarrow$ in La₇Ni₆O₁₉.

I. INTRODUCTION

The discovery of superconductivity in infinite-layer Sr-doped NdNiO₂ (d^9 configuration) films with a T_c of ~ 15 K [1] started the nickel era of unconventional high-temperature superconductivity [2–9], which are reminiscent of the successful stories of the cuprates [10, 11] and iron-based superconductors [13, 14]. Following the study of infinite-layer nickelates, other NiO₂ layered materials were found to superconduct, such as quintuple square-planar layered Nd₆Ni₅O₁₂ ($d^{8.8}$ configuration) with T_c ~ 13 K [15]. Because their electron configuration is close to d^9 , isoelectronic with Cu²⁺, these nickelates are expected to have a $d_{x^2-y^2}$ -wave superconducting instability [16, 17] as in the cuprates [11, 12]. However, many theoretical and experimental efforts revealed fundamental differences between square-planar layered nickelates and cuprates [6, 18–23]. Very recently, pressure studies found superconductivity in bilayer $La_3Ni_2O_7$ [24] and trilayer $La_4Ni_3O_{10}$ [25, 26] nickelates with corner-shared NiO₆ octahedra layers. This rapidly developing new avenue for the study of nickelate superconductors has already attracted much attention in Condensed Matter Physics and Material Sciences [27–44].

La₃Ni₂O₇ and La₄Ni₃O₁₀ belong to the Ruddlesden-Popper (RP) perovskite family La_{m+1}Ni_mO_{3m+1} (m = 1 to ∞) involving bilayer and trilayer blocks stacking structures, respectively, as displayed in Fig. 1. In contrast to the square-planar layered nickelates La_{m+1}Ni_mO_{2m+2} (m = 1 to



FIG. 1. Schematic crystal structures of the RP perovskite family $La_{m+1}Ni_mO_{3m+1}$ (green = La; blue = Ni; red = O). The visualization code VESTA is used [110].

 ∞) [45–47], there are apical oxygens connecting the Ni layers in the RP nickelate family $La_{m+1}Ni_mO_{3m+1}$ $(m = 1 \text{ to } \infty)$. At ambient pressure, bilayer La₃Ni₂O₇ has an Amam (No. (63) structure [48], and thus, the NiO_6 octahedra are distorted. By applying hydrostatic pressure, the distortion of NiO₆ octahedra is suppressed around 14 GPa [28], accompanied by a first-order structural phase transition, resulting in an Fmmm (No. 69) phase without a tilting distortion of the NiO_6 octahedra [24]. By further increasing pressure, a superconducting state appears in a very broad pressure regime [24, 49]. The initial claim of superconductivity in the La₃Ni₂O₇ system was based on measurements of the resistance by a four-terminal device, where the presence of zero resistance and the Meissner effect [24] was deduced by observing a sharp transition and a flat stage in resistance when using potassium bromide as the pressure-transmitting medium and a diamagnetic response in the susceptibility. Later, actual zero resistance [28, 29, 50] and Meissner effect [49] were observed by several groups, establishing the superconducting state in bilayer $La_3Ni_2O_7$. The superconducting volume fraction was reported to be around 48% in their high-quality superconducting samples by using the ac magnetic susceptibility [49]. Very recently, a tetragonal I4/mmm (No. 139) phase was proposed to be the correct high-pressure structure of $La_3Ni_2O_7$ from both theory [51] and experiment [52], instead of the Fmmm symmetry. The tilting distortion of the NiO_6 octahedra is suppressed in both phases. Due to the very small distortion from I4/mmm (No. 139) to Fmmm (No. 69) in $La_3Ni_2O_7$ [53], those two phases

are expected to display no fundamental differences, providing the same physics [54].

As in the bilayer $La_3Ni_2O_7$, the NiO₆ octahedra are also distorted in the trilayer nickelate $La_4Ni_3O_{10}$ with a P21/c (No. 14) phase [55–59] at ambient pressure. Under hydrostatic pressure, the distortion of the NiO_6 octahedra is suppressed, and a high-symmetry I4/mmm phase appears at around 15 GPa [25, 26, 60], once again without the tilting of oxygen octahedra. Following this structural transition, the superconducting state was observed in a broad pressure range with a T_c of about 20-30 K [25, 26, 33, 61], which is lower than in the bilayer $La_3Ni_2O_7$ [24]. The Meissner effect was also observed in *ac* magnetic susceptibility experiments with superconducting volume fraction around ~ 80% [26]. However, the single-layer RP nickelate La_2NiO_4 (see Fig. 1) has never been reported to superconduct at both ambient conditions and high pressure [50].

Theoretical studies have played a crucial role in providing insights into the nature of superconducting states in nickelates [62–94]. Density functional theory (DFT) calculations suggest that both La₃Ni₂O₇ and La₄Ni₃O₁₀ nickelates systems can be described using a two- e_g -orbital model in a bilayer or in a trilayer Ni lattice [33, 95–97]. It is also indicated that the Fermi surfaces are contributed by Ni $d_{x^2-y^2}$ and $d_{3z^2-r^2}$ orbitals [56, 95–98], with two-electron sheets with mixed e_g orbitals and a small hole pocket from the Ni $d_{3z^2-r^2}$ orbital at high pressure which is absent at ambient pressure. Angle-resolved photoemission spectroscopy experiments confirmed the Fermi surface obtained by DFT calculations for both La₃Ni₂O₇ and La₄Ni₃O₁₀ at ambient pressure [30, 56].

Based on the two- e_q -orbitals model, theoretical studies suggested that s_+ -wave pairing is dominant in bilayer $La_3Ni_2O_7$, induced by spin fluctuations due to the partial nesting of the Fermi surfaces with wave vectors approximately $(\pi, 0)$ or $(0, \pi)$ [62, 64, 66, 69, 99–101]. The s_{\pm} -wave pairing channel is believed to be driven mainly by strong interlayer coupling via the $d_{3z^2-r^2}$ orbital, but the $d_{x^2-y^2}$ orbital also contributes robustly to the superconducting gap functions, comparable in some cases to the contributions of the $d_{3z^2-r^2}$ orbital [75, 101]. Alternatively, there also appeared other theoretical studies, suggesting a dominant $d_{x^2-y^2}$ -wave or d_{xy} -wave superconducting pairing channel driven by the intralayer coupling [71, 73, 102, 103]. In fact, the interplay between the intralayer and the interlayer pairing tendency was discussed many years ago by using t - J models, where a prevailing interlayer coupling leads to s-wave pairing while a prevailing stronger intralayer coupling results in d-wave pairing [104].

Considering these established results for bilayer $La_3Ni_2O_7$ and trilayer $La_4Ni_3O_{10}$, it is natural to wonder about the properties of other members of the RP nickelates family $La_{m+1}Ni_mO_{3m+1}$: Could the RP higher-order m = 4 - 6 stacking nickelate be superconducting under pressure? In this case. what trends of superconductivity dominate in What is the dependence of the $\operatorname{La}_{m+1}\operatorname{Ni}_m\operatorname{O}_{3m+1}?$ pairing channel under pressure in $La_{m+1}Ni_mO_{3m+1}$? What are the magnetic correlations in $La_{m+1}Ni_mO_{3m+1}$? Could we establish a general intuitive picture of the RP nickelates systems?

To address these questions, here we theoretically study the high-symmetry I4/mmm phase of RP nickelates $La_{m+1}Ni_mO_{3m+1}$ (m = 1 to 6) without the tilting of the NiO₆ octahedra under pressure by using first-principles DFT and random phase approximation (RPA) calculations. Our studies find many similarities but also some differences in the RP nickelates with different m-layer blocks. The $d_{3z^2-r^2}$ orbital displays the bonding-antibonding, or the bonding-antibonding-nonbonding splitting character, depending on the even or odd number of stacking layers m. In addition, the in-plane ratio of the interorbital hopping between the e_g orbitals and intraorbital hopping between $d_{x^2-y^2}$ orbitals was found to be large in $La_{m+1}Ni_mO_{3m+1}$, and this ratio increases from m =1 to m = 6. In contrast to the s^{\pm} -wave pairing driven by spin fluctuations in the bilayer $La_3Ni_2O_7$ and trilayer La₄Ni₃O₁₀, two nearly degenerate $d_{x^2-y^2}$ -wave and s^{\pm} -wave instabilities are obtained in La₅Ni₄O₁₃ and $La_6Ni_5O_{16}$, while a leading s^{\pm} -wave state was found in La₇Ni₆O₁₉. In general, at the level of the RPA treatment, the superconducting transition temperature T_c was expected to decrease in stoichiometric bulk systems from the bilayer $La_3Ni_2O_7$ to the six-layer $La_7Ni_6O_{19}$.

Moreover, in the Ni planes, we obtained the peak of the magnetic susceptibility at $\mathbf{q} = (0.6\pi, 0.6\pi)$ for La₅Ni₄O₁₃ and La₇Ni₆O₁₉, and at $\mathbf{q} = (0.7\pi, 0.7\pi)$ for La₆Ni₅O₁₆. Furthermore, the out-of-plane magnetic correlations are complex in La_{m+1}Ni_mO_{3m+1}, with details depending on the stacking layer number m.

The scope of this work is to explore and understand the trends in the RP nickelates family $La_{m+1}Ni_mO_{3m+1}$ with different *m*-layer stacking. Considering previous studies of superconductivity in the bilayer $La_3Ni_2O_7$ and trilayer $La_4Ni_3O_{10}$, here we use the high-symmetry I4/mmm structure (see Fig. 1) without the tilting of NiO₆ octahedra to perform our study. The paper is organized as follows: in Section II the methods used are described, the results are presented in Section III, and Section IV is devoted to the conclusions and discussions.

II. METHOD

In this work, first-principles DFT calculations were performed employing the Vienna *ab initio* simulation package (VASP) code by using the projector augmented wave method [105–107] with the generalized gradient approximation and the Perdew-Burke-Ernzerhof (PBE) exchange potential [108]. The plane-wave cutoff energy was set as 550 eV. Both lattice constants and atomic positions were fully relaxed until the Hellman-Feynman force on each atom was smaller than 0.01 eV/Å. In addition, the **k**-point mesh used was $20 \times 20 \times 2$ for the I4/mmm phase of La_{m+1}Ni_mO_{3m+1} at 30 GPa.

To carry out further theoretical analyses, we derive maximally localized Wannier functions (MLWFs) projected on Ni 3d states using the WANNIER90 package [109] and construct low-energy e_g -orbital tight-binding models, consisting of orbital-dependent hopping matrices and crystal-field splitting. The resulting tight-binding Hamiltonian is expressed as

$$H_{k} = \sum_{\substack{i\gamma\gamma'\\\vec{\alpha}\sigma}} t^{\vec{\alpha}}_{\gamma\gamma'} \left(c^{\dagger}_{i\gamma\sigma} c_{i+\vec{\alpha}\gamma'\sigma} + H.c. \right) + \sum_{i\gamma\sigma} \Delta_{\gamma} n_{i\gamma\sigma} \,. \tag{1}$$

The first term represents the hopping of an electron from orbital γ' at site $i + \vec{\alpha}$ to orbital γ at site i. $c_{i\gamma\sigma}^{\dagger}$ ($c_{i\gamma\sigma}$) is the creation (annihilation) operator of an electron at site i, orbital γ with spin σ . Δ_{γ} represents the crystal field of orbital γ with $n_{i\gamma\sigma} = c_{i\gamma\sigma}^{\dagger}c_{i\gamma\sigma}$. The vectors $\vec{\alpha}$ are along the three directions, defining different hopping neighbors. In addition, the detailed input files of hopping matrices and crystal-field splittings can be found in a separate attachment in the Supplemental Materials [111]. Based on the obtained Fermi energy for the stoichiometric ratio filling of $\text{La}_{m+1}\text{Ni}_m\text{O}_{3m+1}$ (m = 1 to 6) in our tight-binding model calculations, a 4001 × 4001 **k**-mesh was used to calculate the Fermi surface. To discuss the superconducting pairing and magnetic correlations in different *m*-layer stacking nickelates under pressure, here we used the many-body RPA method based on a perturbative weak-coupling expansion in the Hubbard interaction, similar to our previous analysis [62, 97]. We considered a multiorbital Hubbard model, including the kinetic energy H_k and local interaction H_{int} terms. The model is written as $H = H_k + H_{int}$, where H_{int} includes the intraorbital Hubbard repulsion U, the interorbital Hubbard repulsion U', the Hund's coupling J, and the interorbital electron-pair hopping J', given by

$$H_{\text{int}} = U \sum_{i\gamma} n_{i\gamma\uparrow} n_{i\gamma\downarrow} + \left(U' - \frac{J}{2}\right) \sum_{\substack{i \\ \gamma < \gamma'}} n_{i\gamma} n_{i\gamma'}$$
$$-2J \sum_{\substack{i \\ \gamma < \gamma'}} \mathbf{S}_{i\gamma} \cdot \mathbf{S}_{i\gamma'} + J' \sum_{\substack{i \\ \gamma < \gamma'}} \left(P_{i\gamma}^{\dagger} P_{i\gamma'} + H.c.\right). \quad (2)$$

Here, the standard relations U' = U - 2J and J' = J are assumed, with $n_{i\gamma} = n_{i\gamma\uparrow} + n_{i\gamma\downarrow}$ and $P_{i\gamma} = c_{i\gamma\downarrow}c_{i\gamma\uparrow}$.

In the multi-orbital RPA approach [112–117], the spin susceptibility is obtained from the bare susceptibility (Lindhart function) $\chi_0(\mathbf{q})$ as $\chi(\mathbf{q}) = \chi_0(\mathbf{q})[1 - \mathcal{U}\chi_0(\mathbf{q})]^{-1}$. Here, $\chi_0(\mathbf{q})$ is an orbital-dependent susceptibility tensor and \mathcal{U} is a tensor that contains the intra-orbital U and inter-orbital U' density-density interactions, the Hund's rule coupling J, and the pair-hopping J' term. The pairing strength λ_{α} for channel α and the corresponding gap structure $g_{\alpha}(\mathbf{k})$ are obtained from solving an eigenvalue problem of the form

$$\int_{FS} d\mathbf{k}' \, \Gamma(\mathbf{k} - \mathbf{k}') g_{\alpha}(\mathbf{k}') = \lambda_{\alpha} g_{\alpha}(\mathbf{k}) \,, \tag{3}$$

where the momenta \mathbf{k} and \mathbf{k}' are on the Fermi Surface FS and $\Gamma(\mathbf{k} - \mathbf{k}')$ contains the irreducible particle-particle vertex. While both spin and charge susceptibilities contribute to the pairing interaction, the dominant contribution comes from the RPA spin susceptibility tensor $\chi^s_{\ell_1 \ell_2 \ell_3 \ell_4}(\mathbf{k} - \mathbf{k}')$, where $\{\ell_i\}$ denote the different e_g orbitals of the RP nickelates, as shown in Fig. 1. For the electronic densities, we use the stoichiometric cases (i.e. 5 electrons for m = 4, corresponding to 1.25 electrons per site for the two e_g orbitals).

III. RESULTS

A. Model systems

RP nickelates $\text{La}_{m+1}\text{Ni}_m\text{O}_{3m+1}$ (*m* from 1 to ∞) have *m*-layer blocks of corner-shared NiO₆ octahedra. Considering the formal valence of La^{3+} and O^{2-} , the average valence of Ni in RP nickelates is given by 3-1/mas a function of *m*, leading to the 3*d* electron density *n* varying from 8 at m = 1 to 7 at $m = \infty$ as shown in Fig. 2(a). Since Ni t_{2g} orbitals are fully occupied, these nickelates could be regarded as "effective" two- e_g -orbital systems.

An important consequence of m-layer stacking in RP nickelates is the bonding-antibonding splitting of Ni $d_{3z^2-r^2}$ orbitals. Due to the single-layer $d_{3z^2-r^2}$ orbitals do not have blocks stacking, bonding-antibonding splitting in La_2NiO_4 (m = 1 case), as shown in Fig. 2(b). For the multiple layer stacking geometries in $La_{m+1}Ni_mO_{3m+1}$, the $d_{3z^2-r^2}$ orbital shows the bonding-antibonding, or the bonding-antibonding-nonbonding splitting, depending on the even or odd number of stacking layers. Similar to the "dimer" physics in the m = 2 RP nickelate, the m = 4 and m = 6 compounds show an orbital-selective behavior [118, 119], where the $d_{3z^2-r^2}$ orbital splits into bonding and antibonding states, while the $d_{x^2-y^2}$ orbital remains decoupled among planes, as shown in Fig. 2 (c). Similar to the "trimer" physics in the m = 3 RP nickelate, the $d_{3z^2-r^2}$ orbitals have bonding-antibonding and nonbonding splitting for $La_6Ni_5O_{16}$ (m = 5 case), as displayed in Fig. 2 (d). At the other limit $(m = \infty)$, i.e. the cubic perovskite LaNiO₃, the $d_{3z^2-r^2}$ orbital does not have bonding-antibonding splitting as well (see Fig. 2(e)). However, due to the strong in-plane hybridization between the e_q orbitals, both $d_{3z^2-r^2}$ and $d_{x^2-y^2}$ orbitals are not integer-filled, leading to a "self-doping" effect in those two orbitals. Thus, this effect is also expected in all other m-layer stackings $\operatorname{La}_{m+1}\operatorname{Ni}_m\operatorname{O}_{3m+1}$.

Note that the scope of this work is to explore and understand the trends in the RP nickelates family $La_{m+1}Ni_mO_{3m+1}$ with different *m*-layer stacking. Considering previous studies on the bilayer and trilayer nickelate bulk superconductors where superconductivity was found in the high-symmetry phase under pressure where the tilting of NiO₆ octahedra is absent, here we use the high-symmetry I4/mmm structure [47] (see Fig. 1) to mimic the uniform lattice structure expected for RP nickelates at 30 GPa. Since the electronic states near the Fermi level of RP nickelates $La_{m+1}Ni_mO_{3m+1}$ (m = 1to 6) are mainly contributed by Ni 3d orbitals while the O 2p orbitals are located deeper in energy, a large charge-transfer gap between Ni 3d and O 2p states is expected as a common feature in these systems.

B. Tight-binding band structures and Fermi surfaces

To explore and understand the trends in the RP nickelates family with different *m*-layer stacking, we considered two e_g -orbitals for each *m*-layer system, i.e., the model has 2m bands. Based on the optimized crystal structures at 30 GPa, we obtained hoppings and crystal-field splittings by using the MLWFs method in the WANNIER90 packages [109], and constructed an



FIG. 2. (a) Schematic electronic densities of 3*d*-electrons per Ni for different *m*-layer stacking RP nickelates $La_{m+1}Ni_mO_{3m+1}$. (b-e) Sketches of electronic states for two "active" e_g orbitals in $La_{m+1}Ni_mO_{3m+1}$ with layers (b) m = 1, (c) m = 2, 4, 6, and (d) m = 3, 5 and (e) ∞ , respectively. The light blue and pink horizontal lines represent $d_{3z^2-r^2}$ and $d_{x^2-y^2}$ states, respectively. The solid circles indicate the occupied electrons of e_g orbitals in the stoichiometric ratio $La_{m+1}Ni_mO_{3m+1}$.



FIG. 3. Band structures of the tight-binding models for $\text{La}_{m+1}\text{Ni}_m\text{O}_{3m+1}$ (m = 1 to 6) at 30 GPa. The coordinates of the high symmetry points in the Brillouin zone are $\Gamma = (0, 0, 0)$, X = (0, 0.5, 0), and M = (0.5, 0.5, 0). Here, two e_g orbitals were considered in the tight-binding models with an overall filling of n = 2 to 7 for m = 1 to 6 (e.g., 1.2 electrons per site for m = 6).

 e_g -orbital tight-binding model for $\operatorname{La}_{m+1}\operatorname{Ni}_m\operatorname{O}_{3m+1}(m = 1 \text{ to } 6)$.

In Fig. 3, we plot the tight-binding band structures of the I4/mmm phase for different *m*-layer stacking at 30 GPa. By increasing *m* from 1 to 6, the bandwidth of e_g orbitals is slightly increased by about 3%. In bilayer La₃Ni₂O₇ and trilayer La₄Ni₃O₁₀, a small hole pocket was found at the M point due to the bonding $d_{3z^2-r^2}$ orbitals. Such a small hole pocket is absent in La_{m+1}Ni_mO_{3m+1} with m = 4 to 6, because the lowest bonding $d_{3z^2-r^2}$ orbital does not touch the Fermi level.

Figure 4 summarizes the Fermi surfaces of RP nickelates. As shown in Fig. 4(a), there are two bands crossing the Fermi level in the single-layer La_2NiO_4 at 30 GPa, leading to an electronic sheet made of the mixed $d_{3z^2-r^2}$ and $d_{x^2-y^2}$ orbitals and a hole sheet originating from the $d_{3z^2-r^2}$ orbital. As mentioned above, La₃Ni₂O₇ and $La_4Ni_3O_{10}$ have small hole pockets around the M point (see Figs.4(b) and (c)), and such a hole pocket is missing in $La_{m+1}Ni_mO_{3m+1}$ (m = 4 to 6) at 30 GPa as displayed in Figs. 4 (d-f). In addition, a hole sheet from the $d_{3z^2-r^2}$ orbital was found near the M point in $La_6Ni_5O_{16}$ (see γ_5 in Fig.4(e)) and $La_7Ni_6O_{19}$ (see γ_6 in Fig.4(f)). Similar to the trilayer $La_4Ni_3O_{10}$ case [97], an electron σ pocket made up of a $d_{3z^2-r^2}$ orbital was also also obtained in $La_7Ni_6O_{19}$, as in Fig.4(f). The tight-binding Fermi surfaces of the m = 4 to m = 6cases discussed here are also in agreement with previous DFT calculations in the tetragonal I4/mmm phase of high-order RP nickelates [47].

We also notice the characteristic trend of hopping intensities. In the single-layer La₂NiO₄ (~ 0.333) at 30 GPa, the in-plane hybridization $(t_{x/y}^{12}/t_{x/y}^{22})$ between the $d_{3z^2-r^2}$ and $d_{x^2-y^2}$ orbitals is significantly small, compared to the bilayer and trilayer nickelates (~ 0.475



FIG. 4. Fermi surfaces of the tight-binding models for $\text{La}_{m+1}\text{Ni}_m\text{O}_{3m+1}$ (m = 1 to 6) at 30 GPa. Here, two e_g orbitals were considered in the tight-binding models with an overall filling of n = 2 to 7 for m = 1 to 6 (e.g., 1.2 electrons per site for m = 6).

for La₃Ni₂O₇ and ~ 0.532/0.543 for La₄Ni₃O₁₀) [62, 97]. This value $t_{x/y}^{12}/t_{x/y}^{22}$ continues to increase with the increase of high-order layer stacking at 30 GPa: ~ 0.543/0.564 for La₅Ni₄O₁₃, ~ 0.545/0.573/0.580 for La₆Ni₅O₁₆, and ~ 0.544/0.568/0.584 for La₇Ni₆O₁₉, suggesting that the in-plane hybridization between the $d_{3z^2-r^2}$ and $d_{x^2-y^2}$ orbitals and the layer number *m* has a positive correlation.

C. Superconducting pairing tendency

Next, we study the superconducting pairing tendencies in the RP nickelates. Here, we carry out the RPA technique to investigate the pairing instability of the multi-orbital Hubbard model, $H = H_k + H_{int}$, where H_k is the single-particle part of the Hamiltonian with hopping matrix and crystal-field splittings from the I4/mmm phase of La_{m+1}Ni_mO_{3m+1} at 30 GPa, given by Eq. (1). The RPA calculations of the pairing vertex are based on a perturbative weak-coupling expansion with respect to the electron-electron interaction part of the Hamiltonian (see Method section), H_{int} , given by Eq. (2), where the local Coulomb interaction matrix involves the intra-orbital (U), inter-orbital (U'), Hund's rule coupling (J), and pair-hopping (J') terms [112–115].

As discussed in previous work, the bilayer $La_3Ni_2O_7$ [62] and trilayer $La_4Ni_3O_{10}$ [97] have leading s^{\pm} -wave pairing instabilities driven by spin-fluctuations with different nesting vectors: $\mathbf{q} = (\pi, 0)$ or $(0, \pi)$ for $La_3Ni_2O_7$ and $\mathbf{q} = (\pi, \pi)$ for $La_4Ni_3O_{10}$. For the high-order nickelates (m = 4 - 6), starting from the high-symmetry I4/mmm phase obtained from the previous study [47], we fully relaxed the atomic positions and lattice constants at 30 GPa. In the following, we focus on $La_{m+1}Ni_mO_{3m+1}$ with m = 4 to 6 and explore their superconducting pairing tendencies.

Figure 5 shows our numerical results for the leading pairing instability in the high-order stacking $\text{La}_{m+1}\text{Ni}_m\text{O}_{3m+1}$ (m = 4 to 6), obtained by solving the eigenvalue problem in Eq. (3). Here, we used Coulomb parameters U = 1.4 eV, U' = U/2, and J = J' = U/4, and the calculation was performed at a temperature T = 0.01 eV.

For the four-layer m = 4 case, we find nearly degenerate $d_{x^2-y^2}$ -wave and s^{\pm} -wave leading states with almost identical eigenvalues $\lambda_d = 0.1114$ and $\lambda_s = 0.1113$, respectively. For both the $d_{x^2-y^2}$ -wave and the s^{\pm} states, the gap is largest on the inner δ pocket with mainly $d_{3z^2-r^2}$ character and the β sheets with mixed e_g character (see Fig. 5(a)).

For the s^{\pm} -wave state, the phase of the gap changes signs between these two sheets. The five-layer m = 5case also has nearly degenerate $d_{x^2-y^2}$ -wave and s^{\pm} -wave leading solutions with similar pairing strengths , $\lambda_d =$ 0.1089 and $\lambda_s = 0.101$, respectively. In contrast to the 4-layer case, here the gap is largest on the inner α sheet with mixed e_g character and the γ_5 sheet with $d_{3z^2-r^2}$ character. The sign changes in or between these two sheets, as displayed in Fig. 5(b). The six-layer m = 6 case shown in Fig. 5(c) has a slightly reduced λ for the leading s^{\pm} -wave state and an even lower λ for the $d_{x^2-y^2}$ -wave channel. For both these states, the gap is largest on the inner δ pocket and the inner γ_6 sheet.

We note that the leading pairing strength $\lambda_d = 0.1114$ for four-layer stacking La₅Ni₄O₁₃ at U = 1.4 eV is already much smaller than the pairing strength $\lambda_s =$ 0.202 we obtained for the trilayer system La₄Ni₃O₁₀ at 30 GPa but with a smaller interaction strength U = 0.95eV [62], suggesting that the pairing correlations in the trilayer stacking system are much stronger. Moreover, we found previously that the trilayer has smaller pairing strength than the bilayer 327-LNO at the same U = 0.95 $(\lambda_s \sim 0.39)$. Since in our RPA treatment, the pairing strength λ enters exponentially in the equation for T_c , i.e. $T_c = \omega_0 e^{-1/\lambda}$ with a spin-fluctuation cut-off frequency ω_0 , this, and the new results in Fig. 5 suggests that T_c is expected to decrease in stoichiometric bulk systems as



FIG. 5. The RPA calculated leading superconducting singlet gap structures $g_{\alpha}(\mathbf{k})$ for momenta \mathbf{k} on the Fermi surfaces for (a) La₅Ni₄O₁₃, (b) La₆Ni₅O₁₆, and (c) La₇Ni₆O₁₉ with corresponding pairing strengths λ at 30 GPa. The sign of $g_{\alpha}(\mathbf{k})$ is indicated by the color (red = positive, blue = negative), and its amplitude by the color darkness. We used Coulomb parameters U = 1.4 eV, U' = U/2, and J = J' = U/4. The calculation was performed at T = 0.01eV.

the layer stacking m increases.

D. Magnetic correlations

Finally, we discuss the magnetic correlations in the RP nickelates $\text{La}_{m+1}\text{Ni}_m\text{O}_{3m+1}$ with different layer blocks m. To this end, we analyze the RPA enhanced spin susceptibility tensor $\chi(\mathbf{q}, \omega = 0)$ that is obtained from the Lindhart function tensor $\chi_0(\mathbf{q})$ as

$$\chi(\mathbf{q}) = \chi_0(\mathbf{q})[1 - \mathcal{U}\chi_0(\mathbf{q})]^{-1}, \qquad (4)$$



FIG. 6. The RPA calculated leading eigenvector $\lambda_0^S(q)$ of the spin-susceptibility matrix $\chi_{\ell_1\ell_1\ell_2\ell_2}$ for La₅Ni₄O₁₃ (m = 4), La₆Ni₅O₁₆ (m = 5), and La₇Ni₆O₁₉ (m = 6) for the I4/mmm phase at 30 GPa. The Coulomb parameters U = 1.4eV, U' = U/2, and J = J' = U/4, and the calculation was performed for a temperature of T = 0.01 eV.

where all the quantities are rank-four tensors in the orbital indices $\ell_1, \ell_2, \ell_3, \ell_4$ and \mathcal{U} is a tensor involving the interaction parameters [112]. The physical spin susceptibility is obtained by summing the pairwise diagonal tensor $\chi_{\ell_1 \ell_1 \ell_2 \ell_2}(\mathbf{q})$ over ℓ_1, ℓ_2 .

As displayed in Fig. 6, the leading eigenvalue $\lambda_0^s(\mathbf{q})$ of $\chi_{\ell_1\ell_1\ell_2\ell_2}(\mathbf{q})$ for momenta \mathbf{q} along a high-symmetry path in the Brillouin zone has the strongest in-plane peak at $\mathbf{q} = (0.6\pi, 0.6\pi)$, $\mathbf{q} = (0.7\pi, 0.7\pi)$, and $\mathbf{q} = (0.6\pi, 0.6\pi)$ for m = 4, 5, and 6, respectively. For the single-layer La₂NiO₄ case, the leading eigenvalue $\lambda_0^s(\mathbf{q})$ has a maximum at $(\pi, 0)$ or $(0, \pi)$. This is different from the bilayer and trilayer systems [62, 97], for which we previously found that the in-plane peak is near $(\pi, 0)$ or $(0, \pi)$ and (π, π) , respectively. In the RPA treatment, this difference arises from differences in the Fermi surface and the respective nesting wavevectors. This may be a consequence of the intraorbital and interorbital hopping mechanisms with different electronic densities, as discussed in previous studies [120–122].

Figure 7 shows the corresponding eigenvector $\varphi_0^s(\mathbf{q})$ at the in-plane \mathbf{q} for which its eigenvalue $\lambda_0^S(\mathbf{q})$ has a maximum. One sees that the main contributions to the magnetic correlations come from the $d_{3z^2-r^2}$ orbitals from outer Ni layers. In addition, the out-of-plane, inter-layer magnetic correlations determined by the relative sign of the eigenvector contributions also strongly depend on the number of layers m. In the 4-and 5-layer systems, the outer Ni layers are coupled ferromagnetically, but in the 6-layer system, they have antiferromagnetic coupling. For La₅Ni₄O₁₃, the four layers are coupled as $\downarrow -\uparrow -\uparrow -\downarrow$ and the five layers



FIG. 7. Leading eigenvector of the susceptibility matrix $\chi_{\ell_1\ell_1,\ell_2\ell_2}(q,\omega=0)$ at the in-plane q-vector for which its leading eigenvalue $\lambda_0^S(q)$ has a maximum, for (a) La₅Ni₄O₁₃, (b) La₆Ni₅O₁₆, and (c) La₇Ni₆O₁₉. The contributions of $d_{3z^2-r^2}$ and $d_{x^2-y^2}$ orbitals are shown by blue and red colors. The Coulomb parameters are U = 1.4 eV, U' = U/2, and J = J' = U/4, and the calculation was performed for temperature T = 0.01 eV.

are coupled as $\uparrow -\uparrow -\downarrow -\uparrow -\uparrow$ along the out-of-plane directions, while the La₇Ni₆O₁₉ has an $\uparrow -\downarrow -\downarrow -\uparrow -\uparrow -\downarrow$ coupling. In contrast to the trilayer case, we do not find a spin-zero Ni layer in high-order La_{m+1}Ni_mO_{3m+1} with m = 4 to 6.

IV. CONCLUSIONS AND DISCUSSIONS

In this work, studying the high-symmetry I4/mmm phase under pressure, we systematically explored physical trends in the RP *m*-layer stacking nickelates $La_{m+1}Ni_mO_{3m+1}$. Near the Fermi surface, the states are mainly contributed by Ni 3d orbitals, because O 2p orbitals located deeper in are energy, suggesting a common picture in nickelate superconductors involving a large charge-transfer gap between 3d and 2p states. Furthermore, the $d_{3z^2-r^2}$ orbital exhibits the bonding-antibonding or the bonding-antibonding-nonbonding splitting characters, depending on whether m is even or odd. Due to the in-plane interorbital hopping between the $d_{3z^2-r^2}$ and $d_{x^2-y^2}$ orbitals, the electronic occupations of both orbitals is not an integer, leading to a "self-doping" situation, as observed in other RP nickelate superconductors. Furthermore, the ratio of the in-plane interorbital hopping between the e_q orbitals and in-plane intraorbital hopping between $d_{x^2-y^2}$ orbitals is found to increase with m.

For the single-layer m = 1 case, we found a strong spin-density-wave instability around $(\pi, 0)$ or $(0, \pi)$ due to the perfect nesting between two nearly flat γ_1 pockets. For the bilayer m = 2 and trilayer m = 3 cases [62, 97], the spin-fluctuation-driven s^{\pm} -wave state is dominant in both cases. For m = 4 and m = 5 cases, we find two nearly degenerate $d_{x^2-y^2}$ -wave and s^{\pm} -wave leading states. However, in La₇Ni₆O₁₉, this six-layer stacking system has a slightly reduced λ for the leading s^{\pm} -wave state and an even lower λ for the $d_{x^2-y^2}$ -wave channel. In general, at the level of the RPA treatment, the superconducting transition temperature T_c decreases as the layer stacking number m increases in stoichiometric bulk systems.

Similar to the pairing instability, magnetic correlations are found to be quite complex in the RP nickelates, depending on the stacking layer number m. As mentioned above, single-layer La₂NiO₄ has a strong stripe instability characterized by $\mathbf{q} = (\pi, 0)$ or $(0, \pi)$, similar to our previous finding on bilayer La₃Ni₂O₇ [62]. For the trilayer La₄Ni₃O₁₀ [97], the peak of the magnetic susceptibility was found at $\mathbf{q} = (\pi, \pi)$. For La₅Ni₄O₁₃ (m = 4) and La₇Ni₆O₁₉ (m = 6), the peak position is shifted to $\mathbf{q} \approx (0.6\pi, 0.6\pi)$, and for La₆Ni₅O₁₆ (m = 5)the peak position is at $\mathbf{q} \approx (0.7\pi, 0.7\pi)$. Moreover, the out-of-plane magnetic correlations strongly depend on the number of layers m.

Figure 8 summarizes our findings. We hope that our comprehensive study of the m dependence of magnetic and pairing properties will stimulate experimental efforts to verify our theoretical predictions. The thin film of high-order $La_{m+1}Ni_mO_{3m+1}$ (m = 4 and m = 5) [123] and $\operatorname{Nd}_{m+1}\operatorname{Ni}_m\operatorname{O}_{3m+1}$ (m = 4 and m = 5) [124] has been stabilized in experiments using reactive molecular-beam epitaxy. Very recently, Y.-F. Zhao et. al. [125] found that tensile strain can induce a small γ pocket from the lowest bonding state of $d_{3z^2-r^2}$ orbitals near M points in the I4/mmm phase of four-layer stacking $La_5Ni_4O_{13}$ and five-layer stacking $La_6Ni_5O_{16}$, while a compressive strain can not induce this pocket for both cases, suggesting that the pressure may not induce the γ pocket as well. In the previous context of bilayer and trilayer nickelates, this pocket is believed to be crucial for superconductivity. Thus, the next step is to investigate in the high-order stacking nickelates $La_{m+1}Ni_mO_{3m+1}$ (m = 4 to 6) the connection between superconductivity and the hole pocket from the lowest bonding state of $d_{3z^2-r^2}$ orbitals.

$$m = 1 (d^8)$$
 $m = 2 (d^{7.5})$ $m = 3 (d^{7.333})$ $m = 4 (d^{7.25})$ $m = 5 (d^{7.2})$ $m = 6 (d^{7.1667})$



FIG. 8. Summary of our main findings for the I4/mmm phase of the $La_{m+1}Ni_mO_{3m+1}$ family with different layer stacking number m under pressure.

Especially, to explore if the superconductivity can be enhanced in those high-order La-based systems or in other rare-earth RP nickelates (m = 4-6 case) when the small γ pocket from the lowest bonding state of $d_{3z^2-r^2}$ orbitals exists near the M point.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This work was supported by the U.S. Department of Energy, Office of Science, Basic Energy Sciences, Materials Sciences and Engineering Division.

DATA AVAILABILITY

The input parameters for the kinetic energy term in our calculations are available for reproduction of our results in a separate file in the Supplemental Materials. Any additional data are also available from the authors upon reasonable request.

- [1] D. Li, K. Lee, B. Y. Wang, M. Osada, S. Crossley, H. R. Lee, Y. Cui, Yi, Y. Hikita, and H. Y. Hwang, Superconductivity in an infinite-layer nickelate Nature 572, 624 (2019).
- [2] Y. Nomura, M. Hirayama, T. Tadano, Y. Yoshimoto, K. Nakamura, and R. Arita, Formation of a two-dimensional single-component correlated electron system and band engineering in the nickelate

superconductor NdNiO₂ Phys. Rev. B **100**, 205138 (2019).

- [3] A. S. Botana and M. R. Norman, Similarities and Differences between LaNiO₂ and CaCuO₂ and Implications for Superconductivity Phys. Rev. X 10, 011024 (2020).
- [4] D. Li, B. Y. Wang, K. Lee, S. P. Harvey, M. Osada, B. H. Goodge, L. F. Kourkoutis and H. Y. Hwang, Superconducting Dome in $Nd_{1-x}Sr_xNiO_2$ Infinite Layer Films Phys. Rev. Lett. **125**, 027001 (2020).
- [5] Y. Nomura and R. Arita, Superconductivity in infinite-layer nickelates Rep. Prog. Phys. 85, 052501 (2022).
- [6] Y. Zhang, L.-F. Lin, W. Hu, A. Moreo, S. Dong, and E. Dagotto, Similarities and differences between nickelate and cuprate films grown on a SrTiO₃ substrate Phys. Rev. B **102**, 195117 (2020).
- [7] S. W. Zeng, X. M. Yin, C. J. Li, L. E. Chow, C. S. Tang, K. Han, Z. Huang, Y. Cao, D. Y. Wan, Z. T. Zhang, Z. S. Lim, C. Z. Diao, P. Yang, A. T. S. Wee, S. J. Pennycook and A. Ariando, Observation of perfect diamagnetism and interfacial effect on the electronic structures in infinite layer Nd_{0.8}Sr_{0.2}NiO₂ superconductors Nat. Commun. 13, 743 (2022).
- [8] C. Yang, R. A. Ortiz, Y. Wang, W. Sigle, H. Wang, E. Benckiser, B. Keimer, and P. A. v. Aken, Thickness-Dependent Interface Polarity in Infinite-Layer Nickelate Superlattices Nano Lett. 23, 3291 (2023).
- [9] Q. Gu and W.-H. Wen, Superconductivity in nickel-based 112 systems Innovation 3, 100202 (2022).
- [10] J. G. Bednorz and K. A. Müller, Possible high-T_c superconductivity in the Ba-La-Cu-O system Z. Phys. B: Condens. Matter 64, 189 (1986).
- [11] E. Dagotto, Correlated electrons in high-temperature superconductors Rev. Mod. Phys. 66, 763 (1994).
- [12] J. Chaloupka and G. Khaliullin, Orbital order and possible superconductivity in LaNiO₃/LaMO₃ superlattices. Phys. Rev. Lett. **100**, 016404 (2008).

^{*} lflin@utk.edu

[†] maierta@ornl.gov

[‡] edagotto@utk.edu

- [13] Y. Kamihara, T. Watanabe, M. Hirano, and H. Hosono, Iron-Based Layered Superconductor $La[O_{1-x}F_x]FeAs$ (x = 0.05 - 0.12) with $T_c = 26$ K J. Am. Chem. Soc. **130**, 3296 (2008).
- [14] E. Dagotto, Colloquium: The unexpected properties of alkali metal iron selenide superconductors Rev. Mod. Phys. 85, 849 (2013).
- [15] G. A. Pan, D. F. Segedin, H. LaBollita, Q. Song, E. M. Nica, B. H. Goodge, A. T. Pierce, S. Doyle, S. Novakov, D. C. Carrizales, A. T. N'Diaye, P. Shafer, H. Paik, J. T. Heron, J. A. Mason, A. Yacoby, L. F. Kourkoutis, O. Erten, C. M. Brooks, A. S. Botana and J. A. Mundy, Superconductivity in a quintuple-layer square-planar nickelate Nat. Mater. **21**, 160 (2022).
- [16] X. Wu, D. DiSante, T. Schwemmer, W. Hanke, H. Y. Hwang, S. Raghu, and R. Thomale, Robust $d_{x^2-y^2}$ -wave superconductivity of infinite-layer nickelates Phys. Rev. B **101**, 060504(R) (2020).
- [17] H. Sakakibara, H. Usui, K. Suzuki, T. Kotani, H. Aoki and K. Kuroki, Model Construction and a Possibility of Cupratelike Pairing in a New d⁹ Nickelate Superconductor (Nd,Sr)NiO₂ Phys. Rev. Lett. **125**, 077003 (2020).
- [18] M. Jiang, M. Berciu, and G. A. Sawatzky, Critical Nature of the Ni Spin State in Doped NdNiO₂ Phys. Rev. Lett. **124**, 207004 (2020).
- [19] P. Werner and S. Hoshino, Nickelate superconductors: Multiorbital nature and spin freezing Phys. Rev. B 101, 041104(R) (2020).
- [20] Y. Gu, S. Zhu, X. Wang, J. Hu, and H. Chen, A substantial hybridization between correlated Ni-d orbital and itinerant electrons in infinite-layer nickelates Commun. Phys. 3, 84 (2020).
- [21] J. Karp, A. S. Botana, M. R. Norman, H. Park, M. Zingl, and A. Millis, Many-Body Electronic Structure of NdNiO₂ and CaCuO₂ Phys. Rev. X 10, 021061 (2020).
- [22] J. Fowlie, M. Hadjimichael, M. M. Martins, D. Li, M. Osada, B. Y. Wang, K. Lee, Y. Lee, Z. Salman, T. Prokscha, J.-M. Triscone, H. Y. Hwang, and A. Suter, Intrinsic magnetism in superconducting infinite-layer nickelates Nat. Phys. 18, 1043 (2022).
- [23] M. Rossi, M. O., J. Choi, S. Agrestini, D. Jost, Y. Lee, H. Lu, B. Y. Wang, K. Lee, A. Nag, Y.-D. Chuang, C.-T. Kuo, S.-J. Lee, B. Moritz, T. P. Devereaux, Z.-X. Shen, J.-S. Lee, K.-J. Zhou, H. Y. Hwang and W.-S. Lee, A broken translational symmetry state in an infinite-layer nickelate Nat. Phys. 18, 869 (2022).
- [24] H. Sun, M. Huo, X. Hu, J. Li, Y. Han, L. Tang, Z. Mao, P. Yang, B. Wang, J. Cheng, D.-X. Yao, G.-M. Zhang, and M. Wang, Signatures of superconductivity near 80 K in a nickelate under high pressure Nature 621 493 (2023).
- [25] Y. Zhu, E. Zhang, B. Pan, X. Chen, D. Peng, L. Chen, H. Ren, F. Liu, N. Li, Z. Xing, J. Han, J. Wang, D. Jia, H. Wo, Y. Gu, Y. Gu, L. Ji, W. Wang, H. Gou, Y. Shen, T. Ying, X. Chen, W. Yang, C. Zheng, Q. Zeng, J.-G. Guo, and J. Zhao, Superconductivity in pressurized trilayer La₄Ni₃O_{10- δ} single crystals Nature **631** 531 (2024).
- [26] Q. Li, Y.-J. Zhang, Z.-N. Xiang, Y. Zhang, X. Zhu and H.-H. Wen, Signature of Superconductivity in Pressurized La₄Ni₃O₁₀ Chinese Phys. Lett. **41**, 017401 (2024).

- [27] Z. Liu, M. Huo, J. Li, Q. Li, Y. Liu, Y. Dai, X. Zhou, J. Hao, Y. Lu, M. Wang, and W.-H. Wen, Electronic correlations and partial gap in the bilayer nickelate La₃Ni₂O₇ Nat. Commun. **15** 7570 (2024).
- [28] Y. Zhang, D. Su, Y. Huang, H. Sun, M. Huo, Z. Shan, K. Ye, Z. Yang, R. Li, M. Smidman, M. Wang, L. Jiao, and H. Yuan, High-temperature superconductivity with zero resistance and strange-metal behaviour in La₃Ni₂O_{7-δ} Nat. Phys. **20** 1269 (2024).
- [29] J. Hou, P. T. Yang, Z. Y. Liu, J. Y. Li, P. F. Shan, L. Ma, G. Wang, N. N. Wang, H. Z. Guo, J. P. Sun, Y. Uwatoko, M. Wang, G.-M. Zhang, B. S. Wang, and J.-G. Cheng, Emergence of High-Temperature Superconducting Phase in Pressurized La₃Ni₂O₇ Crystals Chin. Phys. Lett. 40 117302 (2023).
- [30] J. Yang, H. Sun, X. Hu, Y. Xie, T. Miao, H. Luo, H. Chen, B. Liang, W. Zhu, G. Qu, C.-Q. Chen, M. Huo, Y. Huang, S. Zhang, F. Zhang, F. Yang, Z. Wang, Q. Peng, H. Mao, G. Liu, Z. Xu, T. Qian, D.-X. Yao, M. Wang, L. Zhao, and X. J. Zhou, Orbital-dependent electron correlation in double-layer nickelate La₃Ni₂O₇ Nat. Commun. 15 4373 (2024).
- [31] G. Wang, N. N. Wang, X. L. Shen, J. Hou, L. Ma, L. F. Shi, Z. A. Ren, Y. D. Gu, H. M. Ma, P. T. Yang, Z. Y. Liu, H. Z. Guo, J. P. Sun, G. M. Zhang, S. Calder, J.-Q. Yan, B. S. Wang, Y. Uwatoko, and J.-G. Cheng, Pressure-Induced Superconductivity In Polycrystalline La₃Ni₂O_{7-δ} Phys. Rev. X 14 011040 (2024).
- [32] Z. Dong, M. Huo, J. Li, J. Li, P. Li, H. Sun, Y. Lu, M. Wang, Y. Wang, and Z. Chen, Visualization of oxygen vacancies and self-doped ligand holes in $La_3Ni_2O_{7-\delta}$ Nature **630** 847 (2024).
- [33] H. Sakakibara, M. Ochi, H. Nagata, Y. Ueki, H. Sakurai, R. Matsumoto, K. Terashima, K. Hirose, H. Ohta, M. Kato, Y. Takano, and K. Kuroki, Theoretical analysis on the possibility of superconductivity in the trilayer Ruddlesden-Popper nickelate La₄Ni₃O₁₀ under pressure and its experimental examination: Comparison with La₃Ni₂O₇ Phys. Rev. B **109** 144511 (2024).
- [34] Y. Zhang, L.-F. Lin, A. Moreo, T. A. Maier, and E. Dagotto, Electronic structure, magnetic correlations, and superconducting pairing in the reduced Ruddlesden-Popper bilayer La₃Ni₂O₆ under pressure: Different role of $d_{3z^2-r^2}$ orbital compared with La₃Ni₂O₇ Phys. Rev. B **109**, 045151 (2024).
- [35] Y. Zhang, L.-F. Lin, A. Moreo, T. A. Maier, and E. Dagotto, Magnetic Correlations and Pairing Tendencies of the Hybrid Stacking Nickelate Superlattice La₇Ni₅O₁₇ (La₃Ni₂O₇/La₃Ni₄O₁₀)under Pressure arXiv 2408.07690 (2024).
- [36] Y. Zhang, L.-F. Lin, A. Moreo, S. Okamoto, T. A. Maier, and E. Dagotto, Electronic Structure, Magnetic and Pairing Tendencies of Alternating Single-layer Bilayer Stacking Nickelate La₅Ni₃O₁₁ Under Pressure arXiv 2503.05075 (2025).
- [37] J.-X. Zhang, H.-K. Zhang, Y.-Z. You, and Z.-Y. Weng, Strong Pairing Originated from an Emergent Z2 Berry Phase in La₃Ni₂O₇ Phys. Rev. Lett. **133**, 126501 (2024).
- [38] T. Xie, M. Huo, X. Ni, F. Shen, X. Huang, H. Sun, H. C. Walker, D. Adroja, D. Yu, B. Shen, L. He, K. Cao, and M. Wang, Strong interlayer magnetic exchange coupling in La₃Ni₂O_{7- δ} revealed by inelastic neutron scattering Science Bulletin (2024).

- [39] X. Chen, J. Choi, Z. Jiang, J. Mei, K. Jiang, J. Li, S. Agrestini, M. Garcia-Fernandez, X. Huang, H. Sun, D. Shen, M. Wang, J. Hu, Y. Lu, K.-J. Zhou, and D. Feng, Electronic and magnetic excitations in La₃Ni₂O₇ Nat Commun 15, 9597 (2024)
- [40] Z. Dan, Y. Zhou, M. Huo, Y. Wang, L. Nie, M. Wang, T. Wu, and X. Chen, Pressure-enhanced spin-density-wave transition in double-layer nickelate La₃Ni₂O_{7-δ} arXiv 2402.03952 (2024).
- [41] D. Takegami, K. Fujinuma, R. Nakamura, M. Yoshimura, K.-D. Tsuei, G. Wang, N. N. Wang, J.-G. Cheng, Y. Uwatoko, and T. Mizokawa, Absence of $\mathrm{Ni}^{2+}/\mathrm{Ni}^{3+}$ charge disproportionation and possible roles of O 2p holes in La₃Ni₂O_{7-\delta} revealed by hard x-ray photoemission spectroscopy Phys. Rev. B **109**, 125119 (2024).
- [42] S. Xu, C.-Q. Chen, M. Huo, D. Hu, H. Wang, Q. Wu, R. Li, D. Wu, M. Wang, D.-X. Yao, T. Dong, and N. Wang, Origin of the density wave instability in trilayer nickelate La₄Ni₃O₁₀ revealed by optical and ultrafast spectroscopy Phys. Rev. B **111**, 075140 (2025).
- [43] K. Chen, X. Liu, J. Jiao, M. Zou, C. Jiang, X. Li, Y. Luo, Q. Wu, N. Zhang, Y. Guo, and L. Shu, Evidence of Spin Density Waves in La₃Ni₂O_{7- δ} Phys. Rev. Lett. **132**, 256503 (2024).
- [44] N. Wang, G. Wang, X. Shen, J. Hou, J. Luo, X. Ma, H. Yang, L. Shi, J. Dou, J. Feng, J. Yang, Y. Shi, Z. Ren, H. Ma, P. Yang, Z. Liu, Y. Liu, H. Zhang, X. Dong, Y. Wang, K. Jiang, J. Hu, S. Nagasaki, K. Kitagawa, S. Calder, J. Yan, J. Sun, B. Wang, R. Zhou, Y. Uwatoko, and J. Cheng, Bulk high-temperature superconductivity in pressurized tetragonal La₂PrNi₂O₇ Nature **634**, 579 (2024).
- [45] P. Lacorre, Passage from T-type to T'-type arrangement by reducing $R_4 Ni_3 O_{10}$ to $R_4 Ni_3 O_8$ (R = La, Pr, Nd) J. Solid State Chem. **97** 495 (1992).
- [46] H. LaBollita, and A. S. Botana, Electronic structure and magnetic properties of higher-order layered nickelates: $La_{n+1}Ni_nO_{2n+2}$ (n = 4 6) Phys. Rev. B **104**, 035148 (2021).
- [47] M.-C. Jung, J. Kapeghian, C. Hanson, B. Pamuk, and A. S. Botana Electronic structure of higher-order Ruddlesden-Popper nickelates Phys. Rev. B 105, 085150 (2022).
- [48] C. D. Ling, D. N. Argyriou, G. Wu, and J. J. Neumeier, Neutron Diffraction Study of La₃Ni₂O₇: Structural Relationships Among n = 1, 2, and 3 Phases La_{n+1}Ni_nO_{3n+1} J. Solid State Chem. **517** (2000).
- [49] J. Li, P. Ma, H. Zhang, X. Huang, C. Huang, M. Huo, D. Hu, Z. Dong, C. He, J. Liao, X. Chen, T. Xie, H. Sun, M. Wang, Pressure-driven right-triangle shape superconductivity in bilayer nickelate La₃Ni₂O₇ arXiv 2404.11369 (2024).
- [50] M. Zhang, C. Pei, Q. Wang, Y. Zhao, C. Li, W. Cao, S. Zhu, J. Wu, and Y. Qi, Effects of pressure and doping on Ruddlesden-Popper phases La_{n+1}Ni_nO_{3n+1} J. Mater. Sci. Technol. **185** 147 (2024).
- [51] B. Geisler, J. J. Hamlin, G. R. Stewart, R. G. Hennig and P. J. Hirschfeld, S tructural transitions, octahedral rotations, and electronic properties of $A_3 Ni_2 O_7$ rare-earth nickelates under high pressure npj Quantum Mater. 9 38 (2024).
- [52] L. Wang, L. Wang, Y. Li, S.-Y. Xie, F. Liu, H. Sun, C. Huang, Y. Gao, T. Nakagawa, B. Fu, B. Dong,

Z. Cao, R. Yu, S. I. Kawaguchi, H. Kadobayashi, M. Wang, C. Jin, H.-k. Mao, and H. Liu, Structure Responsible for the Superconducting State in $La_3Ni_2O_7$ at High-Pressure and Low-Temperature Conditions J. Am. Chem. Soc. **1** 7506 (2024).

- [53] Y. Zhang, L.-F. Lin, A. Moreo, T. A. Maier, and E. Dagotto, Electronic structure, self-doping, and superconducting instability in the alternating single-layer trilayer stacking nickelates La₃Ni₂O₇ Phys. Rev. B **110** L060510 (2024).
- [54] H. Sakakibara, N. Kitamine, M. Ochi, and K. Kuroki, Possible High T_c Superconductivity in La₃Ni₂O₇ under High Pressure through Manifestation of a Nearly Half-Filled Bilayer Hubbard Model Phys. Rev. Lett. **132** 106002 (2024).
- [55] J. Zhang, D. Phelan, A. S. Botana, Y.-S. Chen, H. Zheng, M. Krogstad, S. G. Wang, Y. Qiu, J. A. Rodriguez-Rivera, R. Osborn, S. Rosenkranz, M. R. Norman, and J. F. Mitchell, Intertwined density waves in a metallic nickelate Nat. Commun. 11, 6003 (2020).
- [56] H. Li, X. Zhou, T. Nummy, J. Zhang, V. Pardo, W. E. Pickett, J. F. Mitchell, and D. S. Dessau, Fermiology and electron dynamics of trilayer nickelate La₄Ni₃O₁₀ Nat. Commun. 8, 704 (2017).
- [57] D. Puggioni and J. M. Rondinelli, Crystal structure stability and electronic properties of the layered nickelate La₄Ni₃O₁₀ Phys. Rev. B **97**, 115116 (2018).
- [58] J. Zhang, H. Zheng, Y.-S. Chen, Y. Ren, M. Yonemura, A. Huq, and J. F. Mitchell, High oxygen pressure floating zone growth and crystal structure of the metallic nickelates $R_4 Ni_3 O_{10}$ (R = La, Pr) Phys. Rev. Mater. 4, 083402 (2020).
- [59] D. Rout, S. R. Mudi, M. Hoffmann, S. Spachmann, R. Klingeler, and S. Singh, Structural and physical properties of trilayer nickelates $R_4 Ni_3 O_{10}$ (R = La, Pr and Nd), Phys. Rev. B **102**, 195144 (2020).
- [60] I. V. Leonov, Electronic structure and magnetic correlations in the trilayer nickelate superconductor $La_4Ni_3O_{10}$ under pressure Phys. Rev. B **109**, 235123 (2024).
- [61] M. Zhang, C. Pei, X. Du, Y. Cao, Q. Wang, J. Wu, Y. Li, Y. Zhao, C. Li, W. Cao, S. Zhu, Q. Zhang, N. Yu, P. Cheng, J. Zhao, Y. Chen, H. Guo, L. Yang, and Y. Qi, Superconductivity in trilayer nickelate La₄Ni₃O₁₀ under pressure arXiv 2311.07423 (2023).
- [62] Y. Zhang, L.-F. Lin, A. Moreo, T. A. Maier, and E. Dagotto, Structural phase transition, s_{\pm} -wave pairing, and magnetic stripe order in bilayered superconductor La₃Ni₂O₇ under pressure Nat. Commun. **15**, 2470 (2024).
- [63] V. Christiansson, F. Petocchi and P. Werner, Correlated Electronic Structure of La₃Ni₂O₇ under Pressure Phys. Rev. Lett. **131**, 206501 (2023).
- [64] Q.-G. Yang, D. Wang, and Q.-H. Wang, Possible s^{\pm} -wave superconductivity in La₃Ni₂O₇ Phys. Rev. B **108**, L140505 (2023).
- [65] Y. Shen, M. Qin, and G.-M. Zhang, Effective Bi-Layer Model Hamiltonian and Density-Matrix Renormalization Group Study for the High- T_c Superconductivity in La₃Ni₂O₇ under High Pressure Chinese Phys. Lett. **40**, 127401 (2023).
- [66] Y.-B. Liu, J.-W. Mei, F. Ye, W.-Q. Chen, and F. Yang, s[±]-Wave Pairing and the Destructive Role of Apical-Oxygen Deficiencies in La₃Ni₂O₇ under Pressure

Phys. Rev. Lett. 131, 236002 (2023).

- [67] Y.-F. Yang, . G.-M. Zhang, and F.-C. Zhang, Interlayer valence bonds and two-component theory for high- T_c superconductivity of La₃Ni₂O₇ under pressure Phys. Rev. B **108**, L201108 (2023).
- [68] H. Oh and Y. H. Zhang, Type-II t J model and shared superexchange coupling from Hund's rule in superconducting La₃Ni₂O₇ Phys. Rev. B **108**, 174511 (2023).
- [69] Z. Liao, L. Chen, G. Duan, Y. Wang, C. Liu, R. Yu, and Q. Si, Electron correlations and superconductivity in La₃Ni₂O₇ under pressure tuning Phys. Rev. B 108, 214522 (2023).
- [70] Y. Cao, and Y.-F. Yang, Flat bands promoted by Hund's rule coupling in the candidate double-layer high-temperature superconductor La₃Ni₂O₇ Phys. Rev. B 109, L081105 (2024).
- [71] F. Lechermann, J. Gondolf, S. Bötzel, and I. M. Eremin, Electronic correlations and superconducting instability in La₃Ni₂O₇ under high pressure Phys. Rev. B 108, L201121 (2023).
- [72] D. A. Shilenko, and I. V. Leonov, Correlated electronic structure, orbital-selective behavior, and magnetic correlations in double-layer under pressure Phys. Rev. B 108, 125105 (2023).
- [73] K. Jiang, Z. Wang, and F. Zhang, High-Temperature Superconductivity in La₃Ni₂O₇ Chin. Phys. Lett. 41, 017402 (2024).
- [74] J. Huang, Z. D. Wang, and T. Zhou, Impurity and vortex states in the bilayer high-temperature superconductor $La_3Ni_2O_7$ Phys. Rev. B **108**, 174501 (2023).
- [75] Y. Zhang, L.-F. Lin, A. Moreo, T. A. Maier, and E. Dagotto, Trends in electronic structures and s^{\pm} -wave pairing for the rare-earth series in bilayer nickelate superconductors $R_3Ni_2O_7$ Phys. Rev. B **108**, 165141 (2023).
- [76] Q. Qin, and Y.-F. Yang, High- T_c superconductivity by mobilizing local spin singlets and possible route to higher T_c in pressurized La₃Ni₂O₇ Phys. Rev. B **108**, L140504 (2023).
- [77] J.-X. Wang, Z. Ouyang, R.-Q. He, and Z.-Y. Lu, Non-Fermi liquid and Hund correlation in $La_4Ni_3O_{10}$ under high pressure Phys. Rev. B **109**, 165140 (2024).
- [78] J. Li, C. Chen, C. Huang, Y. Han, M. Huo, X. Huang, P. Ma, Z. Qiu, J. Chen, X. Hu, L. Chen, T. Xie, B. Shen, H. Sun, D. Yao, and M. Wang, Sci. China Phys. Mech. Astron. 67, 117403 (2024).
- [79] Q.-G. Yang, K.-Y. Jiang, D. Wang, H.-Y. Lu, and Q.-H. Wang, Effective model and s^{\pm} -wave superconductivity in trilayer nickelate La₄Ni₃O₁₀ Phys. Rev. B **109**, 165140 (2024).
- [80] M. Zhang, H. Sun, Y.-B. Liu, Q. Liu, W.-Q. Chen, and F. Yang, s[±]-wave superconductivity in pressurized La₄Ni₃O₁₀ Phys. Rev. B **109**, 165140 (2024).
- [81] J. Huang, and T. Zhou, Interlayer pairing-induced partially gapped Fermi surface in trilayer La₄Ni₃O₁₀ superconductors Phys. Rev. B **110**, L060506 (2024).
- [82] L.-F. Lin, Y. Zhang, N. Kaushal, G. Alvarez, T. A. Maier, A. Moreo, and E. Dagotto, Magnetic phase diagram of a two-orbital model for bilayer nickelates with varying doping Phys. Rev. B **110**, 195135 (2024).
- [83] H. Oh, B. Zhou, and Y.-H. Zhang, Type-II t-J model in charge transfer regime in bilayer La₃Ni₂O₇ and trilayer

 $La_4Ni_3O_{10}$ Phys. Rev. B **110**, 195135 (2024).

- [84] M. Zhang, H. Sun, Y.-B. Liu, Q. Liu, W.-Q. Chen, and F. Yang, Spin-density wave and superconductivity in La₄Ni₃O₁₀ under ambient pressure Phys. Rev. B 111, 144502 (2025).
- [85] J. Chen, F. Yang, and W. Li, Spin-density wave and superconductivity in La₄Ni₃O₁₀ under ambient pressure Phys. Rev. B **110**, L041111 (2024).
- [86] S. Ryee, N. Witt, and T. O. Wehling, Quenched Pair Breaking by Interlayer Correlations as a Key to Superconductivity in La₃Ni₂O₇ Phys. Rev. Lett. 133, 096002 (2024).
- [87] G. Heier, K. Park, and S. Y. Savrasov, Competing d_{xy} and s^{\pm} pairing symmetries in superconducting La₃Ni₂O₇: LDA+FLEX calculations Phys. Rev. B **109**,1 04508 (2024).
- [88] J. Zhan, Y. Gu, X. Wu, and J. Hu, Cooperation between Electron-Phonon Coupling and Electronic Interaction in Bilayer Nickelates La₃Ni₂O₇ Phys. Rev. Lett. **134**, 136002 (2025).
- [89] B. Geisler, L. Fanfarillo, J. J. Hamlin, G. R. Stewart, R. G. Hennig, and P. J. Hirschfeld, Optical properties and electronic correlations in La₃Ni₂O₇ bilayer nickelates under high pressure npj Quantum Mater. 9, 89 (2024).
- [90] H. LaBollita, V. Pardo, M. R. Norman, and A. S. Botana, Assessing spin-density wave formation in La₃Ni₂O₇ from electronic structure calculations Phys. Rev. Mater. 8, L111801 (2025).
- [91] M. Lu, and T. Zhou, Assessing spin-density wave formation in La₃Ni₂O₇ from electronic structure calculations Phys. Rev. B **111**, 094504 (2025).
- [92] G. Duan, Z. Liao, L. Chen, Y. Wang, R. Yu, and Q. Si, Orbital-selective correlation effects and superconducting pairing symmetry in a multiorbital t - J model for bilayer nickelates arXiv 2502.09195 (2025).
- [93] L. B. Braz, G. B. Martins, and L. G. G. V. Dias da Silva, Interlayer interactions in La₃Ni₂O₇ under pressure: from s^{\pm} to d_{xy} -wave superconductivity arXiv 2502.08425 (2025).
- [94] Y.-f. Yang, Decomposition of multilayer superconductivity with interlayer pairing Phys. Rev. B 110, 104507 (2025).
- [95] Z. Luo, X. Hu, M. Wang, W. Wu, and D.-X. Yao, Bilayer Two-Orbital Model of La₃Ni₂O₇ under Pressure Phys. Rev. Lett. **131**, 126001 (2023).
- [96] Y. Zhang, L.-F. Lin, A. Moreo, and E. Dagotto, Electronic structure, dimer physics, orbital-selective behavior, and magnetic tendencies in the bilayer nickelate superconductor La₃Ni₂O₇ under pressure Phys. Rev. B **108**, L180510 (2023).
- [97] Y. Zhang, L.-F. Lin, A. Moreo, T. A. Maier, and E. Dagotto, Prediction of s[±]-wave superconductivity enhanced by electronic doping in trilayer nickelates La₄Ni₃O₁₀ under pressure Phys. Rev. Lett. **133**, 136001 (2024).
- [98] H. LaBollita, J. Kapeghian, M. R. Norman, and A. S. Botana, Electronic structure and magnetic tendencies of trilayer La₄Ni₃O₁₀ under pressure: Structural transition, molecular orbitals, and layer differentiation Phys. Rev. B **109**, 195151 (2024).
- [99] X.-Z. Qu, D.-W. Qu, J. Chen, C. Wu, F. Yang, W. Li, and G. Su, Bilayer t − J − J_⊥ Model and Magnetically Mediated Pairing in the Pressurized Nickelate La₃Ni₂O₇

Phys. Rev. Lett. 132, 036502 (2024).

- [100] C. Lu, Z. Pan, F. Yang, and C. Wu, Interlayer-Coupling-Driven High-Temperature Superconductivity in La₃Ni₂O₇ under Pressure Phys. Rev. Lett. **132**, 146002 (2024).
- [101] Y.-H. Tian, Y. Chen, J.-M. Wang, R.-Q. He, and Z.-Y. Lu, Correlation effects and concomitant two-orbital s_{\pm} -wave superconductivity in La₃Ni₂O₇ under high pressure Phys. Rev. B **109**, 165154 (2024).
- [102] H. Liu, C. Xia, S. Zhou, H. Chen, Sensitive dependence of pairing symmetry on Ni-eg crystal field splitting in the nickelate superconductor La₃Ni₂O₇ Nat. Commun. 16, 1054 (2025).
- [103] Z. Fan, J.-F. Zhang, B. Zhan, D. Lv, X.-Y. Jiang, B. Normand, and T. Xiang, Superconductivity in nickelate and cuprate superconductors with strong bilayer coupling Phys. Rev. B 110, 024514 (2024).
- [104] E. Dagotto, J. Riera, and D. Scalapino, Superconductivity in ladders and coupled planes Phys. Rev. B 45, 5744(R) (1992).
- [105] G. Kresse and J. Hafner, Ab initio molecular dynamics for liquid metals Phys. Rev. B 47, 558 (1993).
- [106] G. Kresse and J. Furthmüller, Generalized Gradient Approximation Made Simple Phys. Rev. B 54, 11169 (1996).
- [107] P. E. Blöchl, Projector augmented-wave method Phys. Rev. B 50, 17953 (1994).
- [108] J. P. Perdew, K. Burke, and M. Ernzerhof, Generalized Gradient Approximation Made Simple Phys. Rev. Lett. 77, 3865 (1996).
- [109] A. A. Mostofi, J. R. Yates, Y. S. Lee, I. Souza, D. Vanderbilt, and N. Marzari, wannier90: A tool for obtaining maximally-localised Wannier functions Comput. Phys. Commun. **178**, 685 (2007).
- [110] K. Momma and F. Izumi, VESTA 3 for three-dimensional visualization of crystal, volumetric and morphology data J. Appl. Crystallogr. 44, 1272 (2011).
- [111] See Supplemental Material for detailed hopping matrices and crystal-field splitting
- [112] S. Graser, T. A. Maier, P. J. Hirschfeld, and D. J. Scalapino, Near-degeneracy of several pairing channels in multiorbital models for the Fe pnictides New J. Phys. 11, 25016 (2009).
- [113] K. Kubo, Pairing symmetry in a two-orbital Hubbard model on a square lattice Phys. Rev. B 75, 224509 (2007).

- [114] A. T. Rømer, T. A. Maier, A. Kreisel, I. Eremin, P. J. Hirschfeld, and B. M. Andersen, Pairing in the two-dimensional Hubbard model from weak to strong coupling Phys. Rev. Res. 2, 013108 (2020).
- [115] M. Altmeyer, D. Guterding, P. J. Hirschfeld, T. A. Maier, R. Valentí, and D. J. Scalapino, Role of vertex corrections in the matrix formulation of the random phase approximation for the multiorbital Hubbard model Phys. Rev. B 94, 214515 (2016).
- [116] V. Mishra, T. A. Maier and D. J. Scalapino, s^{\pm} pairing near a Lifshitz transition Sci Rep. 6, 32078 (2016).
- [117] T. A. Maier, and Elbio Dagotto, Coupled Hubbard ladders at weak coupling: Pairing and spin excitations Phys. Rev. B 105, 054512 (2022).
- [118] S. V. Streltsov and D. I. Khomskii, Orbital-dependent singlet dimers and orbital-selective Peierls transitions in transition-metal compounds Phys. Rev. B 89, 161112(R) (2014).
- [119] Y. Zhang, L. F. Lin, A. Moreo, and E. Dagotto, Orbital-selective Peierls phase in the metallic dimerized chain MoOCl₂ Phys. Rev. B 104, L060102 (2021).
- [120] L. F. Lin, Y. Zhang, G. Alvarez, A. Moreo, and E. Dagotto, Origin of Insulating Ferromagnetism in Iron Oxychalcogenide Ce₂O₂FeSe₂, Phys. Rev. Lett. **127**, 077204 (2021).
- [121] L. F. Lin, Y. Zhang, G. Alvarez, M, A, McGuire, A. F. May, A. Moreo, and E. Dagotto, Stability of the interorbital-hopping mechanism for ferromagnetism in multi-orbital Hubbard models Commun. Phys. 6, 199 (2023).
- [122] L. F. Lin, Y. Zhang, G. Alvarez, A. Moreo, Jacek Herbrych, and E. Dagotto, Prediction of orbital-selective Mott phases and block magnetic states in the quasi-one-dimensional iron chain Ce₂O₂FeSe₂ under hole and electron doping Phys. Rev. B **105**, 075119 (2022).
- [123] Z. Li, W. Guo, T. T. Zhang, J. H. Song, T. Y. Gao, Z. B. Gu, and Y. F. Nie, Epitaxial growth and electronic structure of Ruddlesden Popper nickelates ($La_{n+1}Ni_nO_{3n+1}$ n = 1 5) APL Mater. 8, 091112 (2020).
- [124] W. Sun, Y. Li, X. Cai, J. Yang, W. Guo, Z. Gu, Y. Zhu, and Y. Nie $Nd_{n+1}Ni_nO_{3n+1}(n = 1 5)$ Phys. Rev. B **104**, 184518 (2021).
- [125] Y.-F. Zhao, and A. S. Botana, Electronic structure of Ruddlesden-Popper nickelates: Strain to mimic the effects of pressure Phys. Rev. B 111, 115154 (2025).