

REVIEW

Superconductivity in Ruddlesden–Popper nickelates: a review of recent progress, focusing on thin films

To cite this article: Yang Zhang *et al* 2026 *Rep. Prog. Phys.* **89** 096501

View the [article online](#) for updates and enhancements.

You may also like

- [Signature of Superconductivity in Pressurized \$\text{La}_2\text{Ni}_2\text{O}_{4+x}\$](#)
Qing Li, , Ying-Jie Zhang *et al.*
- [Superconducting lanthanum nickel oxides with bilayered and trilayered crystal structures](#)
Hiroya Sakurai and Yoshihiko Takano
- [The Effect of Carrier Doping and Thickness on the Electronic Structures of \$\text{La}_2\text{Ni}_2\text{O}_7\$ Thin Films](#)
Haoliang Shi, , Zihao Huo *et al.*

Reports on Progress in Physics



REVIEW

Superconductivity in Ruddlesden–Popper nickelates: a review of recent progress, focusing on thin films

Yang Zhang^{1,*} , Fang-Ling Lin² , Thomas A Maier³ and Elbio Dagotto^{1,2,*}

¹ Materials Science and Technology Division, Oak Ridge National Laboratory, Oak Ridge, TN 37831, United States of America

² Department of Physics and Astronomy, University of Tennessee, Knoxville, TN 37996, United States of America

³ Computational Sciences and Engineering Division, Oak Ridge National Laboratory, Oak Ridge, TN 37831, United States of America

* Authors to whom any correspondence should be addressed.

E-mail: zhangy10@ornl.gov and edagotto@utk.edu

Keywords: superconductivity, Ruddlesden–Popper nickelates, strongly-correlated materials, pairing mechanisms, High- T_c superconductors

Corresponding editor: Dr Mei-Yin Chou

RECEIVED
20 April 2026

REVISED
10 July 2026

ACCEPTED FOR PUBLICATION
17 August 2026

PUBLISHED
9 September 2026

Abstract

The discovery of superconductivity with $T_c \sim 80$ K in the nickelate Ruddlesden–Popper (RP) bilayer $\text{La}_3\text{Ni}_2\text{O}_7$ at high pressure has opened a new platform for unconventional superconductivity, followed by the subsequent observation of superconductivity in trilayer $\text{La}_4\text{Ni}_3\text{O}_{10}$, also at high pressure. Remarkably, ambient-pressure superconductivity was also observed recently in $\text{La}_3\text{Ni}_2\text{O}_7$ ultra-thin films when grown on substrates that provide compressive strain. This discovery significantly extends the type of experimental techniques that can be used in nickelates, previously limited due to the high-pressure constraint. Discussing the similarities and differences among these nickel oxides will provide new insights into understanding the mechanism of high- T_c superconductivity in correlated electron systems. In this paper, we review the experimental and theoretical progress on RP nickelates, with emphasis on thin films, and discuss future perspectives and research directions.


1. Introduction

Since the initial discovery of superconductivity in cuprates in the mid-late 1980s [1], unconventional high critical temperature (‘high T_c ’) superconductivity in strongly correlated electron systems has attracted considerable sustained attention and remains a central topic in condensed matter physics. As the CuO_2 layers are the crucial ingredients for high T_c superconductivity in those Cu-based oxides [2, 3], the search for other layered oxides exhibiting electronic structures similar to those of the cuprates has been a long-standing strategy and formidable challenge.

After decades of studies, a new transition metal nickel oxide has recently emerged in the challenging field of high T_c unconventional superconductivity after the extremely successful discovery of high T_c in the Cu oxides. In between these developments, high T_c was also reported in iron-based materials, though primarily in pnictides and chalcogenides rather than oxides [4–8]. Thus, currently there are three families of compounds where robust T_c has been observed, well above the limit expected for conventional phonon-mediated Bardeen–Cooper–Schrieffer (BCS) superconductors: the Cu-, Fe-, and Ni-based superconductors. Current efforts in the community focus on clarifying whether (1) the pairing mechanism is indeed magnetic, as widely assumed, and (2) which pairing channel gives rise to superconductivity.

In this review, we focus on recent advances in the emerging field of Ruddlesden–Popper (RP) nickelate superconductors, with particular emphasis on *ultra-thin film systems*. The structure of the review is as follows.

First, we briefly review the groundbreaking discovery of superconductivity in the NdNiO_2 thin films in section 2. Second, in section 3, we discuss the exciting discoveries and growing interest in bilayer and trilayer nickelates since 2023, following reports of superconductivity at approximately ~ 80 K under high



pressure in bulk crystals. In section 4, turning to the main focus of this review article, we will address the key experimental results in the subfield of ultra-thin films within the RP bilayer family of compounds. This subfield started in 2025 when superconductivity in Ni-oxide films of the $\text{La}_3\text{Ni}_2\text{O}_7$ material was reported. The major advance in this context was the observation of superconductivity in ambient-pressure samples, enabling a broader range of experimental investigations that were previously impossible under high-pressure (HP) conditions, such as those involving angle-resolved photoemission (ARPES) techniques in the superconducting state. Section 5 of the review presents theoretical developments in the ultra-thin film context. Then, we also discuss recent progress on hybrid stacking RP nickelates in section 6. Finally, section 7 provides an overview, summarizes the main conclusions, and outlines directions for future research. For other reviews on RP nickelates, see [9–14].

2. Synthesis of superconducting NdNiO₂ thin films

The recent surge of interest in Ni-oxide high- T_c superconductivity was sparked by a landmark study in 2019 [15], where superconductivity was reported with a critical temperature of $T_c \sim 15$ K in NdNiO₂ thin films after hole doping through partial substitution of Nd³⁺ with Sr²⁺. As shown in figure 1(a), the preparation of the thin films involves a multi-step process. The first step is the growth of NdNiO₃ thin films on commonly used oxide substrates, such as SrTiO₃ (STO), employing canonical layer-by-layer growth techniques, including pulsed laser deposition or molecular beam epitaxy. In the NdNiO₃ perovskite phase, each Ni ion is coordinated by six oxygen atoms in a characteristic octahedral geometry. NdNiO₃ itself is not superconducting; instead, it is an antiferromagnetic insulator with spin canting [16].

As illustrated in figure 1(a), after undergoing an oxygen reduction process, where a fraction of the oxygen is removed chemically (reduction), the original NdNiO₃ thin film is transformed into NdNiO₂. Of the six oxygen ions originally coordinating each Ni ion, only four in-plane oxygens remain after the reduction process. As a result, the perovskite structure transforms into the so-called infinite-layer

phase, which cannot be directly grown as a single crystal due to structural instabilities. Therefore, an oxygen-reduction process is necessary to obtain this phase in thin-film form. Remarkably, this oxygen-reduced ‘112’ compound becomes superconducting upon approximately 20% hole doping, by partial replacement of Nd^{3+} with Sr^{2+} . This process transforms a weakly insulating NdNiO_2 into a $\text{Nd}_{0.8}\text{Sr}_{0.2}\text{NiO}_2$ superconductor at low temperature, as evidenced by the resistivity–temperature curves shown in figure 1(b).

Although Ni^{1+} (d^9) is isoelectronic with Cu^{2+} (d^9), many studies have revealed fundamental differences between individual infinite-layer nickelates and cuprates (see e.g. [17–27]). This ‘112’ nickelate belongs to the simplest $m = \infty$ system of the reduced RP perovskite $R_{m+1}\text{Ni}_m\text{O}_{2m+2}$ family. Interestingly, the high-order layer stacking ($m = 4\text{--}7$) reduced RP nickelates also show superconductivity, such as $\text{Nd}_6\text{Ni}_5\text{O}_{12}$ ($d^{8.8}$) with $T_c \sim 13$ K [28]. The whole superconducting phase diagram of multi-layer structures has recently been reported [29]. Here, we do not attempt to review the extensive body of work on these ‘112’ and other reduced RP nickelates, but for proper context, note that, until recently, the record critical temperature of ambient-pressure superconductivity in the ‘112’ family is approximately 40 K, recently achieved in hole-doped SmNiO_2 [30]. Notably, Chow *et al* [30] reports resistivity vs temperature curves exhibiting nearly linear behavior for the ‘112’ compound studied there, resembling results discussed later in this review for ultra-thin films of bilayer nickel oxides.

Very recently, $\text{Nd}_{0.85}\text{Sr}_{0.15}\text{NiO}_2$ optimally-doped thin films (~ 6.7 nm) were synthesized via pulsed laser deposition and topotactic reduction [31]. The film structure involves a polymer-supported STO (10 u.c.)/ $\text{Nd}_{0.85}\text{Sr}_{0.15}\text{NiO}_2$ (20 u.c.)/STO(10 u.c.)/ $\text{Sr}_2\text{CaAl}_2\text{O}_6$ heterostructure grown on the STO substrate, followed by water etching of the sacrificial layer, as displayed in figure 1(c). By increasing the pressure, as displayed in figure 1(d), a record critical temperature of HP superconductivity with $T_c \sim 74.2$ K [31] was reported in these thin films at 91.5 GPa (the maximum pressure allowed by their equipment). Figure 1(f) summarizes the pressure dependence $T_c(P)$ for several samples, comparing with other families of compounds, from [31]. Interestingly, a simple linear enhancement of T_c with a slope of 0.65 K GPa^{-1} , without signs of saturation, was observed.

3. Bulk superconductivity in the new RP Nickel Oxides

3.1. Superconductivity in bilayer $\text{La}_3\text{Ni}_2\text{O}_7$ bulk under pressure


Before turning to our primary focus on thin films of $\text{La}_3\text{Ni}_2\text{O}_7$ at ambient pressure, we briefly highlight the recent exciting developments in *bilayer* RP perovskite nickelates at high pressure, which triggered the recent frenzy in the field of Ni-based high- T_c superconductors.

In 2023, a remarkable breakthrough for nickel oxides was reported by the group of Prof. Meng Wang at Sun Yat-sen University, China, where they found that $\text{La}_3\text{Ni}_2\text{O}_7$ [32], a bilayer RP perovskite nickel oxide, exhibits superconductivity above the boiling point of liquid nitrogen (~ 77 K). The critical temperature T_c reaches approximately 80 K at 14 GPa [32]. In this compound, nickel has an average valence of $+2.5$, which differs significantly from the Ni^{1+} state in infinite-layer nickelates [33–36]. This non-integer valence implies that the Ni lattice is intrinsically *self-doped* with holes.

We now discuss the phase diagram of $\text{La}_3\text{Ni}_2\text{O}_7$ as a function of pressure. Here, hydrostatic pressure is applied such that all three lattice parameters (a , b , and c) are reduced simultaneously. This should be contrasted with compressive strain, to be discussed later in the review, in which only the in-plane lattice constants (a and b) are reduced, while the out-of-plane c axis expands. As shown in figure 2(a), the superconducting phase of $\text{La}_3\text{Ni}_2\text{O}_7$ extends over a wide pressure range, from about 14 to 90 GPa, with an optimal pressure near 20 GPa [37]. Recently, the experimental efforts have continued to improve the sample quality and, consequently, a transition temperature T_c up to 100 K was obtained after partial replacement of La^{3+} with other rare-earth 3+ elements, under pressure [38, 39].

At ambient pressure (often associated with 0 GPa) and in the low-pressure (LP) region, superconductivity is absent; however, in this pressure range, anomalies in the resistivity vs. temperature curves indicate the formation of the spin-density wave (SDW) or charge-density wave [32, 40]. In particular, the SDW attracted considerable attention because it may be considered the parent compound of the superconducting state of $\text{La}_3\text{Ni}_2\text{O}_7$ [41–44]. The dominant magnetic features in the SDW phase will be briefly reviewed later in section 3.4. Because the low- and high-pressure regions are separated by a first-order phase transition, it is not clear that one state emerges from the other, as it occurs in cuprates when hole or electron doping of the parent antiferromagnetic compound, such as La_2CuO_4 [2], leads to superconductivity. Further work is needed to clarify this matter.

Furthermore, a crucial difference between the low- and HP regimes is the lattice distortion, as illustrated in figure 2(b). At low pressure, the lattice adopts an orthorhombic Amm structure with tilted




octahedra, reducing to approximately 168° the Ni–O–Ni bond angle [32]. At high pressure, the lattice becomes quasi-tetragonal, with untitled octahedra, restoring the Ni–O–Ni bond angle to 180° and adopting the $Fmmm$ structure. The transition between these phases corresponds to a first-order phase transition [32].

At this point, it is important to remark on a convention to be used for the rest of this review. In bilayer Ni-oxide superconductors, there are three important atomic crystallographic structures: (a) The $Amam$ phase, which occurs for single crystals grown at ambient pressure. This phase is orthorhombic because of tilts of the oxygen octahedra. In practice, the lattice spacings a and b are sufficiently different that we cannot make the simplifying assumption that $a \approx b$. (b) The $Fmmm$ phase, which occurs at high pressure in single crystals or under the influence of compressive strain in thin films. $Fmmm$ phases are also orthorhombic, strictly speaking, due to remaining small octahedra tilts, but the difference between the lattice constants a and b is frequently as small as a fraction of a percent. For this reason, to simplify theoretical calculations and simplify conceptual understanding, models usually assume the system is tetragonal, or sometimes we will include in the text the qualifier ‘quasi-tetragonal’. (c) The $I4/mmm$ phase, which occurs as we increase pressure further in bilayers $\text{La}_3\text{Ni}_2\text{O}_7$ beyond the $Fmmm$ phase, as well as in trilayers. This phase is tetragonal with no octahedral tilting.

As already expressed, in practice, particularly in theoretical calculations employing models, the subtle small distinction between the $Fmmm$ and $I4/mmm$ phases for the nickelates of our focus is neglected, and in both cases, tetragonality is assumed to simplify calculations, because they provide the same physics [45]. For very instructive structural phase diagrams of $\text{La}_3\text{Ni}_2\text{O}_7$ bilayer nickelates, we refer the readers to figures contained in publications [46, 47]. Particularly, in [46], readers can observe that in the temperature-pressure phase diagram of bilayers $\text{La}_3\text{Ni}_2\text{O}_7$, the three phases $Amam$, $Fmmm$ and $I4/mmm$ are stabilized in different regions of that phase diagram.

The conventional cell of $\text{La}_3\text{Ni}_2\text{O}_7$ is shown in figure 2(c), where the bilayers are clearly visible, with each Ni atom coordinated by six oxygen atoms. Between the bilayers, there is a region populated by La and O ions, which can be regarded as a charge reservoir. Note that between adjacent bilayers, there is a shift by $1/2$ lattice spacings. Consequently, strictly speaking, two bilayers are needed per unit cell rather than just one, although the coupling between them is much smaller than the coupling between layers of the individual bilayers. This detail becomes particularly relevant when discussing thin films, as an ultra-thin film of one unit cell thickness will contain two bilayers, as will be addressed later in section 4.

As widely discussed in the literature on bilayer nickelates [48–52], a proper description requires two Ni $3d$ orbitals: $d_{x^2-y^2}$ and $d_{3z^2-r^2}$. In a perovskite geometry, they correspond to the two highest-energy $3d$ orbitals. Although there is crystal-field energy in the octahedral environment, this energy gap is not large enough to allow for the neglect of the $d_{3z^2-r^2}$ orbital, which has the lowest energy of the two active $3d$ orbitals for Ni. In addition, Hund’s coupling between these two orbitals often plays a significant role.



Note that it is widely believed that the three other $3d$ orbitals, namely d_{xy} , d_{yz} , and d_{xz} , are doubly occupied and, thus, not needed for modeling. Thus, in practice, the study of Ni in nickelates from the theoretical perspective requires a two-orbital formulation.

In addition, bilayer $\text{La}_3\text{Ni}_2\text{O}_7$ also shows a very important difference from the bilayer Cu oxides: the bond Ni–O–Ni appears equally robust both in-plane and in-between planes [53]. Therefore, studying a single Ni–oxide plane is *insufficient* to capture the full physics of this compound, because the two planes in each bilayer are strongly interlocked. This idea was proposed in early theoretical works, leading to the important concept of ‘dimers’ in bilayers [49, 53]. These dimers arise from the abstraction based on focusing on just two Ni atoms, one above the other, one in each plane. Even without any Hubbard or Hund interaction, because of the two-site nature of the problem, the $d_{3z^2-r^2}$ orbitals form bonding and antibonding states due to the strong interlayer hopping, as illustrated in figure 2(d).


Various theoretical studies have found that the electronic hopping between layers, as in the tight-binding sense, is robust, larger by about 30% than the electronic hopping in the plane [48, 49, 53–57]. This observation further supports the notion that dimers could be a foundational building block to start the understanding of these compounds. Notably, early theoretical work in the context of $t-J$ [58] or, in more detail, Hubbard [59, 60] models using one orbital already hinted at the notion that, as the interlayer hopping increases and the system is doped with holes, superconductivity could emerge from the theoretical perspective. Revisiting these foundational studies may provide valuable guidance for theoretical investigations of the current two-orbital Ni oxides, such as $\text{La}_3\text{Ni}_2\text{O}_7$.

As a partial summary, two factors are particularly important: (1) the theoretical description of $\text{La}_3\text{Ni}_2\text{O}_7$ involves two Ni orbitals, and (2) it requires two coupled Ni oxide planes, due to its robust bilayer nature. Colloquially, these two factors do not merely multiply by 4 the effort in theoretical approaches, but sometimes, as in computational work, the factor of 4 may ‘go to exponents’. Studying $\text{La}_3\text{Ni}_2\text{O}_7$ is truly a grand challenge for theorists.

3.2. Strange-metal behavior in bilayer $\text{La}_3\text{Ni}_2\text{O}_7$

Another important issue is whether $\text{La}_3\text{Ni}_2\text{O}_7$ is, or is not, strongly electronically correlated, like the cuprates are widely believed to be. Recent optical spectroscopy measurements indicate that $\text{La}_3\text{Ni}_2\text{O}_7$ features strong electronic correlations, suggesting this system may be in the proximity of a Mott phase, as discussed in [61–63]. The presence of correlation manifests in cuprates via a variety of properties [2, 3], but two prominent issues are (i) the existence of robust antiferromagnetism very close to the superconducting phase and (ii) the ‘strange metal’ behavior of the resistivity at optimal doping, with a linear-in-temperature behavior. These two properties are not present in canonical phonon-driven BCS superconducting materials. We will later address the matter of magnetism, but now let us focus on ‘strange metallicity’ in nickelates.

Figure 3 contains the resistivity of $\text{La}_3\text{Ni}_2\text{O}_7$ at its optimal pressure [64]. The onset of the superconducting transition is at $T_c \sim 80$ K, while the true drop to zero of the resistance occurs at about 40 K. It is widely believed that as samples continue to improve, the onset temperature will eventually become the true T_c . At a lower temperature, a state of zero resistance is reached in this sample, as shown in the inset



of figure 3. Returning to our discussion of strong correlations, note the nearly perfect linear resistivity behavior in ρ vs T in figure 3, which is reminiscent of the cuprates at optimal hole doping. Because this behavior also appears in the cuprates, which are widely believed to be strongly correlated, then from this result, one may conclude that the bilayer nickelates are strongly correlated as well. Note, as a word of caution, that the reason for the linear resistivity in the cuprates remains controversial. The second aspect related to strong correlations, i.e., magnetism, is still under discussion in the nickelates, and it is likely that a substantial improvement in sample quality will be needed to close this discussion. More details on this point will be given in section 3.4. Furthermore, [64] is also the first observation of zero resistance in HP $\text{La}_3\text{Ni}_2\text{O}_7$, followed by the confirmed Meissner effect [37], establishing the true superconducting state in bilayer $\text{La}_3\text{Ni}_2\text{O}_7$.

3.3. Electronic structure of bulk $\text{La}_3\text{Ni}_2\text{O}_7$

Using ab initio density functional theory (DFT) methods, theoretical works by several groups provided results for the band structure of $\text{La}_3\text{Ni}_2\text{O}_7$ in the early stages of research on this system [48, 49, 53–57]. Based on the obtained hopping parameters from DFT bands under high pressure, the tight-binding band of the two e_g orbitals is displayed in figures 4(a) and (b), along with the corresponding Fermi surface [65]. This result is based on the simplifying assumption of a unit cell containing only two Ni atoms; given that each Ni contributes two relevant orbitals, a total of four bands is obtained.

Note the presence of a nearly fully occupied γ band in figure 4(a). The corresponding hole γ pocket around the M point is an important focus of attention in the theoretical studies of bilayer nickelates. Early calculations showed that, within the random phase approximation (RPA), its presence was correlated with pairing tendencies in the $s^\pm$ channel, the same channel as in iron-based high- T_c superconductors [5] (more details of $s^\pm$ pairing will be discussed in section 3.5). Conversely, the absence of the γ pocket was correlated with the suppression of pairing tendencies, as discussed in [66]. In particular, previous DFT calculations also found that the γ pockets are absent in the orthorhombic Amm phase at ambient conditions because the γ band is entirely below the Fermi energy [32, 49]. Consistently, reduced RP bilayer $\text{La}_3\text{Ni}_2\text{O}_6$, which does not exhibit superconductivity at both ambient and high pressures [40], also does not have the γ pockets [67].

The absence of the γ pocket was also confirmed by ARPES at ambient pressure [68], as shown in figure 4(c). While the α and β portions of the Fermi surface are clearly observed, the γ pocket is absent, consistent with theoretical predictions for the ambient pressure orthorhombic Amm phase.

3.4. Magnetism of bulk $\text{La}_3\text{Ni}_2\text{O}_7$

Within the RPA framework, magnetic tendencies in the HP phase of $\text{La}_3\text{Ni}_2\text{O}_7$ can be inferred by analyzing the magnetic susceptibility and the locations of its dominant peaks. Figure 5(a) shows results displaying the magnetic susceptibility from [53] for various pressures. Here, the calculations at 0, 25, and 50 GPa were performed for lattice parameters for the quasi-tetragonal $Fmmm$ phase using fully relaxed lattice spacings and atomic positions. Notably, at ambient pressure for the HP phase, a dominant sharp peak appears near $X = (\pi, 0)$ and its symmetry-related counterpart $(0, \pi)$, similar to another theoretical work [69].

For simplicity, if we assume that the peak occurs exactly at X, the corresponding real-space pattern can be readily determined, as illustrated in figure 5(b). It contains lines of spin aligned ferromagnetically along one direction and staggered antiferromagnetically along the other direction, similar to those




Figure 5. (a) Magnetic susceptibility for the two-orbital Hubbard–Hund model, using the RPA technique. Reproduced from [53]. CC BY 4.0. Nature Communications, Creative Commons CC BY license. (b) Sketch of the spin patterns in real space for $q = (0, \pi)$ (or $(\pi, 0)$) when rotated by $\pi/2$ using a 6×6 cluster. (c) Interpretation of RIXS results for $\text{La}_3\text{Ni}_2\text{O}_7$ in the orthorhombic *Amam* phase (ambient pressure) showing two arrangements compatible with the data: a spin-charge stripe order with $J_1S = 3.55 \pm 0.04$ meV, $J_2S = 2.01 \pm 0.01$ meV, and $J_zS = 67.18 \pm 0.89$ meV, and a double spin stripe with $J_1S = 0.00 \pm 0.01$ meV, $J_2S = 4.13 \pm 0.04$ meV, and $J_zS = 73.89 \pm 0.71$ meV. In the figure, blue, red, and black circles represent spin-up, spin-down, and spinless sites, respectively. Reproduced with permission from [41]. CC BY-NC-ND 4.0, Nature Communications, Copyright (2024). (d) In-plane lattice distortions in the *Amam* (left panel) and *Fmmm* (right panel) phases. The black, red, and black represent Ni, O below the Ni-plane, and O above the Ni-plane, respectively. Reproduced from [80]. CC BY 4.0.

in Fe-based superconductors [70, 71]. In iron-based superconductors, however, this magnetic state arises naturally due to the position of Se, P, or Te at the center of the Fe-based plaquette. This allows the electronic hopping mediated by Se, for example, to transit through the center of the plaquette with Se acting as a bridge, making nearest-neighbor (NN) and next-NN (NNN, along the plaquette diagonal) hoppings of comparable strength. Eventually, in the strong coupling limit, this competition naturally leads to the $(\pi, 0)$ order since the corresponding Heisenberg model features NN and NNN couplings of similar value. In nickelates, however, the situation is more subtle, and the origin of the ‘magnetic stripe’ dominance from a Heisenberg perspective remains under debate. A recent mechanism proposed for understanding magnetic insulating ferromagnets may play a key role in stabilizing the magnetic stripe state in these Ni oxides [72–75].

At ambient pressure, in the distorted orthorhombic *Amam* phase, resonant inelastic x-ray scattering (RIXS) experiments were performed and interpreted in terms of ‘stripe states’, this time oriented diagonally rather than vertically or horizontally. RIXS is well-suited for such studies because it is surface-sensitive and requires only small samples. In contrast, inelastic neutron scattering is more challenging, as it demands larger samples, and no clear results were available at the time this review was prepared. Previous elastic and inelastic neutron scattering studies on a polycrystalline sample of $\text{La}_3\text{Ni}_2\text{O}_{7-\delta}$ found that long-range magnetic order was absent down to 10 K [76].

Returning to RIXS, the experimental data can be interpreted as consistent with two possible arrangements, as illustrated in figure 5(c): diagonal spin-charge stripes, which include spins up, spins down, and spinless sites (corresponding to the absence of electrons, i.e. holes), and diagonal double spin stripes, which contain only spins up and down, with no ‘rivers of holes’. Both configurations are compatible with the experimental observations [41]. This $(\pi/2, \pi/2)$ possible spin pattern was also observed at $T \sim 160$ K in the $\text{La}_3\text{Ni}_2\text{O}_{7-\delta}$ film samples [77] at the Ni L absorption edge using resonant x-ray scattering measurements, which is complementary to the RIXS study revealing the exchange energy. The fitted coupling values for both states indicate that the interlayer magnetic interactions are much stronger than the intralayer couplings, as determined from a fitting procedure using a simple Heisenberg model [41]. For the double spin stripe, they obtained the coupling values with $J_1S = 0.00 \pm 0.01$ meV, $J_2S = 4.13 \pm 0.04$

meV, and $J_z S = 73.89 \pm 0.71$ meV. Very recently, another RIXS experiment also arrived to the double-stripe Heisenberg description, yielding representative exchange parameters with $J_1 S \approx -8.0$ meV, $J_2 S \approx -3.7$ meV, and $J_z S = 44.4$ meV for Sr-doped bilayer thin films [78].

The double-stripe structure can also be interpreted as an E-phase according to Goodenough's notation for antiferromagnetic patterns. This E-phase has been discussed previously in another family of oxides, the manganites, which exhibit colossal magnetoresistance and are widely believed to host active Jahn–Teller modes [79]. Essentially, the E-phase framework replaces the notion of 'double stripes' with a zigzag spin pattern. We favor the E-phase interpretation in nickelates because the NN electron hopping is much stronger than hopping along the plaquette diagonals, which would be the electronic path in truly diagonal stripes. Notably, in manganites, these zigzag chains have all spins aligned in the same direction, a feature that also appears in the RIXS analysis of nickelates. An interesting hypothesis is proposed in [80] for this stripe. A top-down view of the Ni oxide layers in the bilayer orthorhombic *Amam* phase is shown in the left panel of figure 5(d). Focusing on the green bonds, one can see that they form a zigzag pattern reminiscent of the E-phase in manganites. This suggests that the spins may be adapting to a preexisting lattice distortion, resulting in zigzag chains consistent with the RIXS results. This scenario is also supported by theoretical calculations based on the distorted *Amam* phase at ambient pressure [81–83]. Specifically, they find an interesting E-phase pattern with alternating Ni^{2+} and Ni^{3+} , which is intermediate between the single charge-spin stripe and the double-spin-stripe proposed by RIXS. Moreover, the intertwined spin and charge instability was also discussed in theoretical works [84–91], where the origin of the small spin moments on Ni sites is believed to be related to the electron delocalization over molecular orbitals involving multiple Ni and O sites [84, 85].

Although many other experiments using various techniques have investigated the magnetic properties of the orthorhombic *Amam* phase of $\text{La}_3\text{Ni}_2\text{O}_7$, its exact magnetic structure has not yet been fully established and remains under debate. Muon spin relaxation (μSR) studies of polycrystalline $\text{La}_3\text{Ni}_2\text{O}_{6.92}$ suggests a spin-charge stripe state at ambient conditions [42]. This state is also supported by the nuclear quadrupole resonance data on polycrystalline samples [92]. However, the anisotropic splitting in the nuclear magnetic resonance spectroscopy of ^{139}La suggests the formation of a possible double spin stripe as well, with magnetic moments aligned along the *c*-axis [43]. Recently, neutron scattering experiments on polycrystalline samples have proposed spin-stripe structures characterized by two wave vectors, $\mathbf{q}_1 = (\pi/2, \pi/2, 0)$ and $\mathbf{q}_2 = (\pi/2, \pi/2, \pi)$, by using symmetry-allowed models of spin structures testing against the neutron data [93]. Thus, further inelastic neutron scattering studies on more homogeneous single crystals are required to determine the intrinsic magnetic structure of the *Amam* phase. Moreover, experimental measurements on $\text{La}_3\text{Ni}_2\text{O}_7$ under pressure are also needed to clarify the relationship between the magnetic state and the superconducting phase, although such experiments remain challenging.

3.5. Pairing symmetry of bulk $\text{La}_3\text{Ni}_2\text{O}_7$

DFT calculations found that electron–phonon coupling alone is not sufficient to induce superconductivity in $\text{La}_3\text{Ni}_2\text{O}_7$ under pressure [94–96]. This suggests that the spin fluctuations play a key role in the high T_c . However, superconductivity may be enhanced through the interplay between electron–phonon coupling and strong electronic correlations in a positive loop [96].

Within RPA, the magnetic susceptibility can be used as input to formulate predictions for the dominant superconducting pairing channels, under the assumption that magnetism drives superconductivity. Figure 6(a) shows the Fermi surface, with two colors indicating the positive and negative signs of the RPA-derived superconducting order parameter on the different Fermi sheets and pockets (e.g. orange for + and blue for –, or vice versa) [53]. Although the state is invariant under a 90° rotation and is therefore classified as an *s*-wave, the presence of sign changes in the order parameter means that the simple 's-wave' terminology, commonly used in phonon-mediated BCS superconductors, is not sufficient. Additional information is required to capture this structure. Consequently, the state illustrated in figure 6(a) is denoted as $s^\pm$. Notably, several independent studies based on DFT combined with RPA or other techniques have reached the same conclusion [51, 97–106], namely that the $s^\pm$ channel mainly originating from interlayer coupling is dominant in this system. However, some theoretical studies have also suggested that intralayer interactions drive *d*-wave pairing in HP bulk $\text{La}_3\text{Ni}_2\text{O}_7$ [56, 107–111].

Moreover, some works [99, 112] have also argued that the interlayer $d_{3z^2-r^2}$ superexchange coupling can be effectively transferred to the $d_{x^2-y^2}$ orbitals via Hund's coupling, with electrons in the $d_{x^2-y^2}$ orbitals playing the dominant role in superconductivity. It is important to emphasize that RPA is intrinsically a weak-coupling approach, since the Hubbard interaction U is restricted to small values compared to the bandwidth. Increasing U beyond a critical value drives the system toward magnetic instabilities within the RPA framework.





Figure 6. (a) Illustration of the $s^\pm$ pairing state found using the RPA technique based on the standard Fermi surface of $\text{La}_3\text{Ni}_2\text{O}_7$ at high pressure by using two colors to denote the + and − signs of the superconducting order parameter. (b) The pairing strength λ from RPA is displayed for different pressures. All results are based on the $Fmmm$ phase. (c) Contrast between bilayer $\text{La}_3\text{Ni}_2\text{O}_7$ and $\text{Bi}_2\text{Sr}_2\text{CaCu}_2\text{O}_8$. Blue and yellow tones are the two signs of the orbital wave function. Reproduced from [53]. CC BY 4.0, Nature Communications, Copyright (2024).

In [53], an interesting prediction was made. In the quasi-tetragonal $Fmmm$ phase of $\text{La}_3\text{Ni}_2\text{O}_7$ and using a DFT plus RPA approach, the value of the pairing strength λ was computed for varying pressures, as shown in figure 6(b). While the subdominant $d_{x^2-y^2}$ channel barely changes with pressure, the dominant $s^\pm$ channel increases substantially as the pressure is reduced toward ambient conditions. Within the BCS approach to superconductivity—even when using magnetism as the cause of the glue in Cooper pairs—the critical temperature scales as an essential singularity $\exp^{-1/\lambda}$. Therefore, the enhancement of the pairing strength shown in figure 6(b) upon approaching ambient pressure suggests the possibility of a significantly higher T_c than currently achieved under high pressure, provided that the $Fmmm$ phase can be stabilized at ambient conditions with the appearance of the γ pockets.

It is also instructive to compare bilayer nickelates (at high pressure) and cuprates. Figure 6(c) presents this comparison, with nickelates shown on the left and cuprates on the right. For bilayer nickelates, the cartoon illustrates the $d_{3z^2-r^2}$ orbital, which plays a central role in the dimer physics discussed earlier. The two colors indicate the opposite signs of the orbital wave function. Certainly, this cartoon should not be interpreted as implying that the $d_{x^2-y^2}$ orbital is not important; rather, it highlights that for Ni's case under high pressure, the $d_{3z^2-r^2}$ orbital appears to play the dominant role. In the lower-left panel of figure 6(c), the previously discussed $s^\pm$ state is also shown, now represented using signs instead of colors. By contrast, the upper-right panel displays the well-known $d_{x^2-y^2}$ orbital of Cu, regarded as the key orbital for Cu in the $2+$ valence state, where the widely discussed Fermi surface of the copper oxides is shown in figure 6(c). Since the superconducting order parameter changes sign under a $\pi/2$ rotation, it has d -wave symmetry, and the light blue dots indicate the well-known nodes observed in ARPES experiments.

In addition, another theoretical study [113] discussed the effects of Hund's coupling and interorbital hybridization in HP bilayer bulk systems, by using a tensor network approach on a two-orbital bilayer model. They found that, under pressure, the bulk system enters a Hund's coupling-dominated superconducting regime. As the hybridization further increases with pressure, it would induce strong interorbital frustration, which would suppress superconducting correlations. Those results provide a natural explanation for the dome-like evolution (rise and subsequent suppression) of high- T_c superconductivity in $\text{La}_3\text{Ni}_2\text{O}_7$ under high pressure.

In the main portion of this review, when the focus turns to the ultra-thin films of the $\text{La}_3\text{Ni}_2\text{O}_7$ compounds, we will show circumstances where the d -wave can be stabilized for nickel-oxide thin films as well, when compressive strain is used. This topic is under much discussion presently and is rapidly evolving. As will be discussed, it is conceivable that in Ni oxides, both channels s and d could manifest themselves under different setups.



To wrap up this review of the bulk bilayer nickelates, we note that relatively few studies have addressed the two-orbital Hubbard–Hund model beyond the regime of validity of DFT + RPA, and without resorting to simplified $t - J$ models. This distinction is important because, as discussed in the experimental sections of this review, increasing evidence suggests that the Ni oxides lie in an intermediate-to-strong coupling regime, with $t - J$ models strictly corresponding to the strong-coupling limit [112, 114–117]. One example is that the $s^\pm$ pairing was also supported by a study using functional renormalization group (FRG) in the strong-coupling limit via the multi-orbital Hubbard model [97], which is also supported by a variational Monte Carlo study [118]. Moreover, by introducing hydrostatic pressure in the itinerant picture within FRG, the same group [119] also predicts a decreasing transition temperature versus pressure, in qualitative agreement with the experiments.

Another recent example of an unbiased approach, which in principle is valid at any Hubbard strength, is provided in [102], where the dynamical cluster approximation (DCA) combined with a quantum Monte Carlo technique was employed. In this framework, a finite cluster of N_c sites ($N_c = 2, 8, \text{ and } 16$) is self-consistently embedded in a dynamical mean field representing the rest of the lattice, mimicking the bulk crystal. A leading $s^\pm$ superconducting instability was found with a critical $T_c \sim 100$ K, comparable to experimental values. Because these results are nonperturbative, they provide a more robust foundation for the many studies in bulk systems based on more approximate methods, such as DFT + RPA, which also tend to find $s^\pm$ pairing. Although this DCA work focuses on bulk systems rather than thin films, it can also be extended to thin-film geometries in future investigations.

3.6. Additional remarks for RP nickelates

Before addressing the thin films of nickelates, the primary focus of this review, three additional aspects are worth remarking to the readers.

- In addition to nickel oxide bilayers, there is significant interest in trilayers and even thicker multilayer systems. Figure 7 provides a clear overview of the various Ni valences across the RP and infinite-layer nickelates [120]. Among these nickelates, three systems exhibit superconducting phases: the bilayer $\text{La}_3\text{Ni}_2\text{O}_7$ system, which is our primary focus; the infinite-layer nickelates discussed in section 2; and the trilayer $\text{La}_4\text{Ni}_3\text{O}_{10}$, which shows superconductivity with $T_c \sim 20 - 30$ K under pressure [121–129], as illustrated in figure 7. The T_c is the highest for the case of $\text{La}_3\text{Ni}_2\text{O}_7$, likely due to the robust bonding–antibonding structure discussed earlier. Interestingly, the γ pockets were also not observed in $\text{La}_4\text{Ni}_3\text{O}_{10}$ at ambient pressure [130] but are predicted to appear under high pressure [65]. We do not review the studies related to the $\text{La}_4\text{Ni}_3\text{O}_{10}$ system here, because our focus is the thin films. Other members of the nickelate family, such as La_2NiO_4 and LaNiO_3 , have not been observed to exhibit superconductivity experimentally [131].




Figure 8. Phase diagram of a small $2 \times 2 \times 2$ cluster solved exactly. The left panel is with periodic boundary conditions (PBCs) only along the x and y directions and open boundary conditions (OBCs) along the z direction. The right panel is for the case where OBCs are used in the three directions. Reprinted (figure) with permission from [132], Copyright (2024) by the American Physical Society.

- Regarding the density of states and the relative positions of the Ni and O bands, Goodge and Norman [10] discussed the differences between infinite-layer nickelates, cuprates, and perovskite nickelates. For the infinite-layer nickelates, it is widely believed that they fall into the Mott–Hubbard regime, where the oxygen band lies below the lower Ni Hubbard band. In contrast, for the cuprates, the oxygen band sits between the lower and upper Hubbard bands of Cu. Namely, in the case of infinite-layer nickelates, the Hubbard interaction U of the Ni $3d$ orbitals is smaller than the charge-transfer energy Δ between Ni $3d$ and O $2p$ orbitals ($U < \Delta$), whereas in the cuprates, the Hubbard interaction is much larger than the charge-transfer energy ($U > \Delta$). The RP nickelates that are the focus of this review, appear to lie in an intermediate regime, with $U \sim \Delta$. The physical consequences of this observation remain an open question and warrant further investigation.
- Finally, it is important to note that varying the hole density provides another ‘knob’ for theoretical studies of the octahedral nickelates we focus on. Because the $\text{La}_3\text{Ni}_2\text{O}_7$ system is already self-doped, adding further holes or electron doping has not been pursued extensively experimentally, contrary to what has been done for the cuprates. However, theoretical studies, such as [132], have explored this systematically from the theoretical perspective. In that work, an electronic Hubbard–Hund model on a $2 \times 2 \times 2$ cube was solved exactly while varying the electron density, as shown in figure 8. At $n = 1.5$, the relevant density for the $\text{La}_3\text{Ni}_2\text{O}_7$ compound, a peak in the spin structure factor $S(\mathbf{k})$ appears at $\mathbf{k} = (\pi, 0)$ or $(0, \pi)$, in agreement with results from RPA calculations despite the very different nature of these approximations. Thus, the qualitative agreement at $n = 1.5$ is remarkable. At $n = 2.0$, the intuitively expected staggered antiferromagnetic order G-AFM is obtained. These findings suggest that, despite the small cluster size, exact diagonalization can yield qualitatively reliable results. Readers can easily notice the multiple competing states that are expected to be reached by further changing n . This route could potentially lead to interesting discoveries in the fast-developing field of Ni oxide superconductors.

4. Superconductivity in ultra-thin-film bilayer nickel oxides

4.1. Discovery of superconductivity in ultra-thin films of $\text{La}_3\text{Ni}_2\text{O}_7$ at ambient pressure

In early 2025, landmark studies reported the emergence of superconductivity at ambient pressure [133, 134] in thin films of the bilayer nickelate $\text{La}_3\text{Ni}_2\text{O}_7$ grown on compressively strained LaSrAlO_4 (LSAO) substrates using pulsed laser deposition, extending investigations that were previously limited to HP bulk systems. The films are ultra-thin, typically involving 1-, 2-, or 3-unit cells, with each unit cell (UC) containing two Ni bilayers. This discovery has significantly advanced the field, as experiments that are not feasible under extremely high pressure can now be performed in thin films, such as ARPES.





Figure 9. (a) Resistivity ρ vs temperature T for thin films of $\text{La}_3\text{Ni}_2\text{O}_7$ grown on three different substrates. Reproduced from [133], with permission from Springer Nature, Copyright (2025). (b) Sketch of the type of strain, compressive vs tensile, produced by the 3 substrates indicated. Reproduced with permission from [140]. CC BY-NC-ND 4.0. (c) Using electron ptychography to measure the atomic-scale structural evolution of $\text{La}_3\text{Ni}_2\text{O}_7$, the atomic arrangements under strain in real space were studied. Reproduced from [141], with permission from Springer Nature, Copyright (2026).

A wide variety of efforts followed the initial discovery of superconductivity in $\text{La}_3\text{Ni}_2\text{O}_7/\text{LSAO}$ thin films [135–151]. In most of these studies to date, the substrate most widely used remains LSAO, which provides in-plane compressive strain of $\sim -2\%$. In the last part of section 4.1, we will also discuss a recent report of superconductivity in $\text{La}_3\text{Ni}_2\text{O}_7$ thin films grown on LaAlO_3 (LAO) without pressure, which provides less compressive strain. To date, this is the only reported case where a substrate other than LSAO successfully led to superconductivity at ambient conditions. Consequently, the primary focus of this review will remain on the use of LSAO substrates.

$\text{La}_3\text{Ni}_2\text{O}_7$ films grown on the LSAO substrate present an onset of superconductivity at approximately 42 K (where ‘onset’ refers to a substantial bending down of the curve) and eventually reaches the zero-resistance state at around 2 K, where a diamagnetic response is also observed, as shown in figure 9(a). This type of behavior, namely, onset at a higher temperature followed by a drop to 0 at a much lower temperature, is typical of many superconductors in the early stages of study. It is expected that, as sample quality improves, the onset temperature will converge to the true critical temperature. In [134], results similar to those in [133] were independently reported, this time using $\text{La}_{2.85}\text{Pr}_{0.15}\text{Ni}_2\text{O}_7$, as partial substitution of La^{3+} with Pr^{3+} improves the structural purity of the samples. In [134, 137], Sr diffusion from the substrate into the first unit cell of the film was observed, a topic that we will return to when discussing ARPES results.

Furthermore, superconductivity was also reported in $\text{La}_3\text{Ni}_2\text{O}_7$ films grown on STO, NdGaO₃ (NGO), and LAO substrates, but *only* when additional pressure was also applied [140]. Figure 9(b) provides a summary of the types of strain induced by those substrates. Tensile-strain substrates that induce superconductivity are rare. To our knowledge, the only reported case is for STO as a substrate, which provides a tensile strain of $+1.9\%$ when without additional pressure, and achieves $T_c = 10$ K only when combined with 20 GPa of applied pressure [140]. Applying additional pressure to the STO substrate also reduces its in-plane lattice constants.

In summary, it is far more common to find compressive strain producing a substantial resistivity reduction at an onset temperature, even without high pressure. Thus, reducing the in-plane lattice spacings of $\text{La}_3\text{Ni}_2\text{O}_7$ appears key to producing superconductivity in thin films. Note that hydrostatic high pressure reduces the lattice spacings in all three directions, whereas compressive strain achieves a similar



reduction only in the two in-plane directions, namely the lattice constants a and b . Typically, the out-of-plane lattice spacing c increases when the film is grown on a compressive substrate. Thus, the superconducting states reached under high pressure or high strain are not necessarily identical, as they differ in the spacing between Ni–oxide layers, which is governed by c . This important distinction will be discussed in more detail from a theoretical perspective later in section 4.

The schematic in figure 9(c) shows the atomic arrangements under different strains in real space using electron ptychography. Together with in-plane compression, the strain applied to the $\text{La}_3\text{Ni}_2\text{O}_7$ material grown on LSAO transforms its single-crystal orthorhombic $Amam$ atomic structure characteristic of bulk single crystals at ambient pressure into the quasi-tetragonal $Fmmm$ arrangement, which is the same structure obtained under high pressure in the superconducting phase. Thus, there is a clear convergence of results indicating that the quasi-tetragonal $Fmmm$, or its close ‘relative’ the tetragonal $I4/mmm$ phase, indeed provides the fertile ground to achieve superconductivity, in both the frameworks of HP and high-compressive strain. Additional pressure could probably stabilize the almost untitled $Fmmm$ phase under STO (note, not LSAO) tensile strain and induce superconductivity, albeit with a low T_c .

An important practical issue is the effect of hole or electron doping arising from deviations from the stoichiometric $\text{La}_3\text{Ni}_2\text{O}_7$ formula. In other words, the ‘7’ in the O concentration of $\text{La}_3\text{Ni}_2\text{O}_7$ represents an approximate value effectively ‘close to 7’ in practice due to the well-known difficulty of preparing samples with a precisely known oxygen content. Thus, the $\text{La}_3\text{Ni}_2\text{O}_7$ system can be labeled as ‘self-doped’ from two perspectives. First, and more importantly, the number of electrons in the two active Ni orbitals is 3 per pair of Ni atoms located in different layers of the bilayer. In addition, similar to bilayer $\text{Bi}_2\text{Sr}_2\text{CaCu}_2\text{O}_8$, the $\text{La}_3\text{Ni}_2\text{O}_7$ system is also self-doped, owing to the fact that the oxygen content is not precisely fixed at 7. As an example, figure 10(a) shows that in the thin-film $\text{La}_{2.85}\text{Pr}_{0.15}\text{Ni}_2\text{O}_7/\text{LSAO}$ interface, the resistivity decreases markedly with increasing oxygen content, evolving from a weakly insulating behavior to a metallic state, and eventually to a superconducting state [142].

The similar behavior is also found in the 3-unit-cell-thick film $\text{La}_{2.31}\text{Pr}_{0.24}\text{Sm}_{0.45}\text{Ni}_2\text{O}_7/\text{SrLaAlO}_4$ samples [152]. These films were grown by their self-developed epitaxy method, namely, gigantic-oxidative atomic-layer-by-layer epitaxy (GAE), which is fundamentally different from PLD thermodynamically and kinetically, although both utilize pulsed lasers [153]. In [137], the optimal growth conditions were further explored, raising the onset T_c to 50 K, where the film was also grown using the GAE method. This resembles the case of infinite-layer NdNiO_2 , which becomes superconducting only after a

reduction process transforms NdNiO_3 into NdNiO_2 via the removal of apical oxygens. In summary, the removal or refilling of oxygen is crucial for stabilizing the superconducting state.

For simplicity, rather than reproducing here the many subsequent record T_c values achieved through improvements in thin-film growth, we instead focus on the current record holder at the time of writing (see figure 10(b)), obtained using GAE. In [143], an onset $T_c = 63$ K was recently reported for a thin film of $(\text{La}, \text{Pr})_3\text{Ni}_2\text{O}_7$ grown on LSAO at ambient pressure. For comparison, the ambient-pressure records are 151 K for cuprates [154] and 55 K for Fe-based superconductors [155]. Notably, all these values, including the 63 K record for nickelate films, exceed the McMillan limit of ~ 40 K commonly cited for phonon-mediated superconductivity within the BCS framework.

It is important to note that, for this record- T_c thin film, the slope of the ρ versus T curve is close to 1. However, also note that in general, the fitting methods in different papers can be different and thus may affect the powers reported. In contrast, for the films grown under more oxidizing conditions, as T_c decreases, the dominant temperature dependence of $\rho(T)$ gradually shifts toward Fermi-liquid behavior, following a T^2 law, as illustrated in figure 10(c). However, a recent study indicates thin nickelate films could be in proximity to a strongly fluctuating ordered state, leading to non-Fermi liquid behavior under pressure [139].

The Fermi-liquid behavior, $\rho \sim T^2$, has also been observed in films of $\text{La}_2\text{PrNi}_2\text{O}_7$ [138, 156]. Note that [156] accesses the normal state for temperatures less than 10 K by suppressing superconductivity with a magnetic field. In [138] and the references cited therein, it was noted that the isovalent substitution of La by Pr suppresses competing atomic arrangements and, overall, benefits superconductivity, substantially increasing the critical current density. From the theoretical perspective, seemingly the ratio of La^{3+} and Pr^{3+} should not matter, since both have the same valence; however, in practice, partial Pr^{3+} doping is important to stabilize the superconducting state. Hsu *et al* [156] further pointed out that Fermi-liquid behavior in a $T_c \sim 40$ K thin film was accompanied by a large quasiparticle effective mass, $m^*/m \sim 10$, indicating a highly renormalized Fermi-liquid state. Therefore, even a quadratic temperature dependence of the resistivity does not necessarily mean a good metal. Note, however, that recent ARPES results [150] showed the Ni $3d_{x^2-y^2}$ -derived α and β bands exhibit more moderate electron correlations, characterized by a band renormalization factor of only 3–4.

In figure 10(d), a summary of the power laws in ρ versus T^a for multiple samples is presented. This clearly indicates that as T_c increases, the slope of the ρ versus T curve converges to a ‘strange metal’ behavior, $\rho \sim T$, as widely observed in the cuprates at optimal doping [2]. This suggests similarities between the superconducting state of Ni and Cu oxides regarding the normal state behavior. Importantly, this does not imply that the pairing channel must be the same, just that the normal states, which presumably contain the seed for pairing, share robust similarities.

It is also worth noting that some groups, after growing superconducting thin films, additionally subject the samples to HP hydrostatic conditions (see, for example, [140, 157]). The outcome is that T_c of the thin films increases under pressure, in a manner similar to bulk $\text{La}_3\text{Ni}_2\text{O}_7$ samples. This provides consistency to the ensemble of experiments: it seems that high pressure, whether on bulk or thin films, always increases T_c .

Recent thin-film work reports the growth of relatively *thick* films, with thicknesses reaching up to 23.5 nm [158]. They observe superconductivity in a region of width 10 nm close to the interface with LSAO. Beyond this interfacial region, the atomic structure of the nickelate shifts into the tetragonal $I4/mmm$ trilayer form of $(\text{La}, \text{Pr})_4\text{Ni}_3\text{O}_{10}$. This observation is particularly noteworthy, as the trilayer compound is also known to exhibit superconductivity in the bulk under high pressure. These results suggest that an *alternative* thin-film strain environment—potentially involving even *stronger* compressive strain—may be necessary to stabilize superconductivity in trilayer thin films. This conclusion was also reached in a recent publication [159]. Specifically, we suggest that the readers consult their figure S1. In this figure, even a compressive strain of -2.3% (using LSAO as substrate for the trilayer 4310 Ni oxide) is shown to still *not* produce superconductivity in trilayers.

Exciting recent results report superconductivity in thin films of $(\text{La}, \text{Pr})_3\text{Ni}_2\text{O}_7$ grown on an LAO substrate without pressure, which is the first time this has been achieved on a substrate other than LSAO [144]. Here, LAO provides a compressive strain of -1.2% , smaller than the -2% strain from LSAO. The films were grown using both pulsed laser deposition and molecular beam epitaxy. Notably, T_c is reduced to ~ 10 K on LAO compared to LSAO. In both cases, the superconducting films adopt a tetragonal structure, similar to HP bulk samples.

It is instructive to discuss similarities and differences in the trends regarding the inter- and intra-layer lattice spacings for superconductivity in nickelates. Figure 11(a) shows T_c as a function of the




Figure 11. Trend of T_c vs the average in-plane lattice spacing, a_p , mixing results from bulk crystals at high pressure, as well as high compressive strain thin films. The common trends are remarkable, fitting into a smooth curve, unveiling a critical a_p below which superconductivity emerges. (a) Trend of T_c vs the in-plane lattice spacing a_p . (b) Trend of T_c vs the lattice spacing out of plane c . [144] John Wiley & Sons. © 2026 Wiley-VCH GmbH.




Figure 12. Critical temperature T_c of 3 UC thin films of $\text{La}_{3-x}\text{Sr}_x\text{Ni}_2\text{O}_7$ grown on LSAO. The two colors indicate different definitions of T_c : orange corresponds to the temperature where the resistivity reaches 98% of the extrapolated normal-state resistivity, while green corresponds to 50%. Reproduced from [145], with permission from Springer Nature, Copyright (2025).


average in-plane lattice constant, a_p , combining data from both HP bulk samples (non-colored symbols) and thin films (colored symbols). Remarkably, the resulting smooth curve demonstrates a consistent trend between HP bulk and high-strain thin-film samples. There appears to be a ‘critical a_p ’ close to 3.8 Å, below which superconductivity emerges. Notably, the smallest a_p , close to 3.7 Å, has not yet been achieved in thin films, which qualitatively explains why the T_c of thin films remains lower than that observed in HP experiments, as shown in figure 11(a).

Apparently, even greater compressive strain is required in thin films to raise T_c to the levels achieved under HP conditions. The behavior of the out-of-plane lattice spacing c is different, as expected, as displayed in figure 11(b). Under HP conditions, which are essentially hydrostatic, a reduction in a_p is accompanied by a simultaneous reduction in c . In contrast, for the thin films of interest here, Poisson-ratio effects dominate: while compressive strain reduces a_p , c increases concurrently, at least within the range of compressive strain typically applied.

4.2. Influence of hole doping away from stoichiometry $\text{La}_3\text{Ni}_2\text{O}_7$

Because of the self-doped nature of $\text{La}_3\text{Ni}_2\text{O}_7$, experimental studies exploring the effects of additional doping have been limited, but some results are available. For example, Hao *et al* [145] reports the superconducting phase diagram of $\text{La}_{3-x}\text{Sr}_x\text{Ni}_2\text{O}_7$ thin films. Investigating the phase diagram under doping is particularly important in cases where, for the undoped system without pressure, the γ -pocket lies below the Fermi level and superconductivity is absent. Many theories for $\text{La}_3\text{Ni}_2\text{O}_7$ suggest that the γ pockets are necessary for proper Fermi-surface nesting to induce superconductivity. Thus, hole doping in this context can lift the γ -pocket above the Fermi level, potentially promoting superconductivity.

Figure 12 shows a superconducting phase with a peak T_c at approximately 9% Sr doping for the thin-film sample [145]. Overall, critical temperatures remain fairly similar over the range $x = 0.0$ to 0.20, beyond which T_c drops rapidly. An overall conclusion of this review, at least as of its writing, is that



even with the aid of Sr doping, the T_c of thin films does not exceed that of HP $\text{La}_3\text{Ni}_2\text{O}_7$ bulk superconductors. This limitation may be attributed to the significant elongation of the c -axis lattice spacing, which is inevitable in thin films. However, the same group does not observe the γ band crossing the Fermi level in their ARPES measurements for this Sr-doped $\text{La}_3\text{Ni}_2\text{O}_7$ film sample [150]. This puzzle of the absence of the γ pocket still needs more work to be clarified.

Very recently, a superconducting half-dome as a function of continuously tuned oxygen stoichiometry in compressively strained bilayer nickelate thin films was also reported in [160]. It is believed that interstitial oxygen and oxygen vacancies play key roles in shaping this half-dome phase diagram. Increasing the oxygen stoichiometry gradually suppresses superconductivity from an initially optimal superconducting state, whereas decreasing the oxygen stoichiometry induces a granular superconductor-insulator transition.

4.3. Fermi surfaces

The availability of superconducting thin films at ambient pressure has allowed experimentalists to carry out ARPES studies on these systems. Since ARPES probes only the sample surface, the use of thin films does not pose an obstacle. It should be emphasized that other ARPES studies of bilayer nickelate films at ambient pressure have reached different conclusions regarding the Fermi surface composition, specifically, whether the γ pocket appears or not. This difference among different studies may also be explained more by an issue of data interpretation rather than a real difference in experimental observation.

Among the earliest ARPES studies on $\text{La}_3\text{Ni}_2\text{O}_7$ thin films are those reported in [146]. Because we will focus later on the most recent ARPES results, the discussion of this early work is kept brief. Figures 13(a) and (b) show the findings for the 1 UC thin-film sample of $(\text{La, Pr})_3\text{Ni}_2\text{O}_7$ grown on LSAO. The Fermi surface topology contains the expected α and β sheets, as well as a γ pocket around the M point of the Brillouin zone, dominated by the Ni $d_{3z^2-r^2}$ orbitals. It was found that conduction occurs in the first unit cell near the surface. The authors of [146] suggested that Sr diffusion or oxygen loss may have induced this conduction, with overall hole doping lowering the Fermi level and rendering the γ pocket visible. The estimated hole doping is approximately $\sim 20\%$ per Ni relative to the non-superconducting parent compound. Notably, the features around the M point were diffuse but clearly discernible.

In [161], it was reported that the γ pocket is located approximately 70 meV below the Fermi level, leading to the absence of the γ pocket, as displayed in figures 13(c) and (d). A similar conclusion of the absence of γ pocket was also made by another ARPES study [150]. This observation has significant

implications for theories that consider only the Fermi surface states for pairing, such as RPA, where the structure of the Fermi surface is central. If the γ band does not cross the Fermi level, then this band will not contribute to pairing. However, in more sophisticated treatments that fully consider the dynamics of the pairing interaction, a band that is away from the Fermi level but within the dynamic range of the interaction will still contribute to pairing, as found in theoretical studies in the context of iron-based superconductors [162–165]. In this case, early studies of the one-orbital Hubbard bilayer [164] provide a more complex perspective because it was found that whether the band is or is not at the Fermi level does affect the dynamics of the pairing interaction, particularly the frequency dependence of the gap. This is especially relevant for an $s^\pm$ gap since when a Hubbard U is present, this strong repulsion can only be avoided if the superconducting order parameter changes sign in frequency.

Moreover, in intermediate- and strong-coupling regimes, an energy scale of 70 meV is relatively small compared to the full bandwidth of the two relevant Ni orbitals, which is about 3–4 eV. Therefore, for theories beyond weak coupling or simple Fermi surface restricted calculations, whether the γ band crosses the Fermi level may have little qualitative impact; what matters is that it lies close to the Fermi energy. However, it is still unclear whether the bilayer RP nickelates should be classified as weakly, intermediate, or strongly correlated, a question that will likely be addressed in future work.

Another more recent ARPES result [147] in thin films of Ni oxides grown on a substrate with buffer layers (see figure 13(e)) showed that the γ band crosses the Fermi level, as displayed in figure 13(f). Meanwhile, the onset critical temperature was found to be 43–50 K. Figure 13(g) shows the Fermi surface maps measured using 103 and 153 eV photons acting on a 5 nm superconducting bilayer nickelate film. This example illustrates the fact that to unveil the entire Fermi surface, results combining photons with different incoming energies are needed. In particular, the 153 eV photons are crucial for the γ -band-like features near the M point.

This dependence of results on the incoming photon energy seems puzzling at first sight. But note that different portions of the Fermi surface could be revealed/magnified by different incoming photon energies due to ‘matrix element effects’. In other words, it is not that different photon energies give contradictory results, but that revealing the *full* Fermi Surface may require using different incoming photon energies, and then the full Fermi Surface must be obtained by combining the results for different photon energies. This is the reason: for some photon energies, features of the Fermi Surface may be hidden in the noise due to weak matrix elements in the coupling of electrons with the electromagnetic field (mainly the electric field). This is a well-known effect in photoemission: the intensity of the signal depends on the probability of transitioning between the initial and final states. More specifically, different photon energies may couple preferentially to different atomic orbitals. As a result, certain parts of the Fermi surface may appear bright at one photon energy but be suppressed at another. For example, in the Ni bilayers of our focus, the γ pocket around the M point is mainly governed by the $d_{3z^2-r^2}$ orbital, while the α and β sheets have a substantial $d_{x^2-y^2}$ orbital composition.

4.4. Symmetry of the order parameter

Regarding the symmetry of the pairing order parameter, an ARPES study on superconducting bilayer $(\text{La, Pr, Sm})_3\text{Ni}_2\text{O}_7$ thin film grown on LSAO at ambient pressure ($T_c \sim 46$ K [148]) was interpreted as showing the absence of nodes at the Fermi surface. The LDOS exhibits a V-shaped profile (figure 14(a)), which might suggest nodes as in a d -wave gap. However, with a more detailed analysis of the data, figure 14(b) shows no nodes. Thus, it displays a nonzero gap in the studied range of the β and γ portions of the Fermi surface. Given the two dominant theoretical frameworks for Ni-oxide superconductors, predicting either $s^\pm$ or d -wave pairing [107–109, 111], these results are more in favor of an $s^\pm$ gap. Additionally, Shen *et al* [148] also reported that a dispersion kink indicating an electron-boson coupling was observed, which is similar to what occurs in the cuprates.

Similar conclusions regarding predominantly s -wave pairing were reported in [149] using scanning tunneling microscopy (STM). The best fit to the experimental data was achieved with two anisotropic s -wave order parameters, as illustrated in figure 14(c). This model involves two gap values: ~ 19 meV and ~ 6 –8 meV for the thin film of 2 UC $\text{La}_2\text{PrNi}_2\text{O}_7$ with onset $T_c = 41.5$ K. Despite some sample inhomogeneity, the authors note that the highly local probing capability of STM enables the identification of regions exhibiting robust superconductivity.

However, other studies could be interpreted as compatible with nodes. In [150], in-situ ARPES was performed on Sr-doped superconducting thin films, specifically $\text{La}_{2.79}\text{Sr}_{0.21}\text{Ni}_2\text{O}_7$. The Sr doping introduces holes, and details of the doping levels are provided in [150]. They observed a very small gap of ~ 1 –2 meV at the Fermi momenta along the Brillouin zone diagonal, as shown in figure 14(d). This tiny gap shift of ~ 1 –2 meV is a leading-edge shift driven by the gap opening. Since coherent peaks were not observed, the exact superconducting gap cannot be directly extracted. Notably, the leading-edge




Figure 14. ARPES results for $(\text{La,Pr,Sm})_3\text{Ni}_2\text{O}_7/\text{LSAO}$ thin films interpreted as compatible with s -wave pairing due to the absence of nodes. (a) Symmetrized energy distribution curves for the Brillouin zone diagonal direction. The coherent peaks are clearly visible. Although there is a pronounced minimum at $E = E_F$, the intensity does not drop to zero. (b) Same but along the β and γ pockets, at an offset from the Brillouin zone diagonal. (a) and (b) From [148], reprinted with permission from AAAS. (c) Theoretical fitting results using the Dynes model with two gaps, and the normalized spectrum at 0.4 K. Blue points are experimental results obtained via STM. The red curve corresponds to theoretical results obtained via a phenomenological model employing a two-gap anisotropic s -wave order parameter. From [149], reprinted with permission from AAAS. (d) Schematic illustration of the momentum-dependent leading-edge shifts. Note the very small energy scale on the vertical axis. Reproduced from [150]. CC BY 4.0.

shift is typically smaller than the true superconducting gap. Therefore, this small shift does not necessarily imply a d -wave symmetry. In fact, their data [150] shows the absence of a node at the nodal point, which is consistent with other ARPES studies and favors $s^\pm$ -pairing. Moreover, they report a γ band 75 meV below the Fermi level, suggesting that the $d_{x^2-y^2}$ orbital is dominant. Overall, moderate band renormalizations were found. Recently, a proposal shows that Raman spectra could be a powerful symmetry-resolving probe for determining the superconducting gap in unconventional superconductors [166].



In summary, significant work remains to be done to clarify two key questions of the superconducting Ni-oxide thin films: (i) whether the γ pocket does or does not appear at the Fermi level, and (ii) whether the superconducting state does or does not have nodes.

5. Theoretical studies on nickelate films

The theory of nickelate thin films is still rapidly evolving, and no consensus has been reached yet regarding the dominant pairing channel. While most studies continue to favor $s^\pm$ pairing, consistent with HP bulk samples, others suggest a d -wave state.

Thin films are intrinsically more complex to study than bulk materials because they are sandwiched between a substrate and vacuum, breaking inversion symmetry along the axis perpendicular to the film [167]. In bulk calculations, PBCs can be applied straightforwardly, but for thin films, special approaches are needed. A common solution is to enlarge the unit cell to include a vacuum layer of several angstroms (typically 20 Å). This enlarged cell is then periodically repeated in what is known as a slab geometry, as illustrated in figure 15.

An important aspect of the physics of high-strain Ni-oxide thin films, in comparison to HP bulk systems, is the role of the ratio c/a , where c is the out-of-plane lattice constant and a is the in-plane lattice constant in the tetragonal geometry ($a = b$), as well as the role of the energy splitting (crystal field) between the two dominant Ni orbitals. Early work on the last subject in bulk materials indeed already pointed out [111] that the dominant pairing symmetry (within the DFT + RPA approximation) depends




sensitively on the crystal-field splitting between the two Ni orbitals, as shown in figure 16. The crystal-field splitting effect for the d -wave to $s^\pm$ -wave states, as well as Hund coupling J_H , was also discussed by constrained-path quantum Monte Carlo simulations [168]. This aspect must also be carefully considered in theoretical studies of thin films, when deciding between the d - and $s^\pm$ -pairing channels.

Among the early theoretical studies, the influence of strain on the electronic structure of bilayer nickelate bulk was investigated [169], with the strain effects incorporated by modifying the in-plane lattice constants while allowing the out-of-plane lattice parameter c to relax. It was found that compressive strain increases c , decreases a and b , and shifts the γ band below the Fermi level, whereas tensile strain produces the opposite effect, leading to the appearance of γ pockets (see figure 17(a)). The suppression of the γ band at the Fermi level tends to favor d -wave pairing over the competing $s^\pm$ state often obtained in DFT + RPA studies under high pressure. This is because the $s^\pm$ scenario typically relies on the presence of a γ pocket at the Fermi level that can nest with the β portion of the Fermi surface.

We now turn to the theoretical studies of bilayer thin films, focusing on the atomic and electronic band structures, beginning with ab initio approaches, such as DFT and its extension DFT + U , which incorporates on-site Hubbard interactions.

- Shi *et al* [170] investigated the Fermi surface of bilayer nickelate films for varying thicknesses (from 0.5 to 3 UC) both with and without doping. Without doping, all cases have a γ hole pocket at the



M point, originating from the outer layers near the vacuum interface, while the inner bilayers do not contribute to this pocket. A similar observation was reported in [171].

- In [172], DFT + U calculations were performed for $\text{La}_3\text{Ni}_2\text{O}_7$ thin films grown on LSAO, including interfacial reconstruction, with a Hubbard U value of 3.5 eV. The results support the hypothesis from an ARPES study that Sr interdiffusion at the interface provides intrinsic hole doping [146].
- In [173], ab initio calculations showed that compressive strain at $\sim 0.9\%$ could stabilize the tetragonal $I4/mmm$ phase, as displayed in figure 18(b). This work, considering hole doping presumably arising from Sr migration from LSAO, was also used to propose a unified framework connecting strain-driven and pressure-driven superconductivity.
- In [174], bilayer nickelates on various substrates were investigated using DFT + U . The study revealed an unconventional occupation of the *antibonding* Ni $3d_{3z^2-r^2}$ orbital at the Γ point, giving rise to electron pockets, as displayed in figure 17(c). This offers a novel perspective because such an observation has not been previously reported in studies of HP bulk nickelates. This layer-resolved analysis near the interface also identifies a reconstructed interface composition, accompanied by a strong enhancement of spin fluctuations due to Fermi surface nesting associated with the antibonding Ni $3d_{3z^2-r^2}$ band. Consequently, this work shifts the focus from the commonly discussed bonding hole pockets to the previously overlooked antibonding electron pockets, which may enhance the superconductivity as discussed recently in [175].
- Geisler *et al* [176] explored the structural and electronic properties of $\text{La}_3\text{Ni}_2\text{O}_7$ on comprehensive LAO and tensile STO substrates. Electron doping was found at the interface for the compressive LAO case, while no charge transfer was observed for the tensile STO substrate. Interestingly, tensile strain drives a similar Fermi surface topology as discussed in HP bulk $\text{La}_3\text{Ni}_2\text{O}_7$.

Based on the hopping parameters obtained from the band structure of DFT or DFT + U calculations, typically supplemented by other methods to be able to address superconductivity, such as the RPA, several studies for Ni thin films were conducted. In most of these studies, only 0.5 UC containing a single bilayer was used to calculate the band structure for subsequent pairing model analyses.

- Shao *et al* [177], employing a single bilayer film with DFT + U ($U = 3.5$ eV) plus RPA, it was found that the bonding $d_{3z^2-r^2}$ band crosses the Fermi level with the appearance of a γ pocket, leading to an $s^\pm$ pairing within RPA due to Fermi surface nesting reasons, like those of HP bulk $\text{La}_3\text{Ni}_2\text{O}_7$. Upon hole doping, the d_{xy} pairing state becomes dominant over $s^\pm$ state.
- In another study [178], DFT combined with FRG calculations for single bilayer films indicate that, as the out-of-plane expansion increases, the γ pocket characteristic of the HP regime moves closer to

the Fermi level. This shift enhances the density of states at the Fermi energy, leading to the conclusion that $s^\pm$ pairing remains robust in thin films, consistent with the bulk HP behavior within certain theoretical approximations.

- In [179], using DFT + RPA calculations for $\text{La}_3\text{Ni}_2\text{O}_7$ single-bilayer films, pairing was found even without a γ pocket, with the nesting between the α and β sheets giving rise to an $s^\pm$ pairing state. A similar conclusion is also obtained in another theoretical study for the strained bilayer nickelates by using DFT+ RPA methods as well [180]. Their results indicate that superconductivity can emerge in bilayers when the γ pocket lies sufficiently close to the Fermi level, whether slightly below or above it. Interestingly, the calculated pairing strength λ exhibits a pronounced dome-like dependence, reaching its maximum when the λ pocket is located at the Fermi level.


We now focus on approximations for thin films that can be considered to move beyond the weak-coupling Hubbard U regime, like RPA, or beyond the static DFT + U method. For HP bulk, strong-coupling slave boson mean-field theory for a two-orbital $t-J$ model was used, also leading to $s^\pm$ pairing [181]. This provides a smooth connection between weak and strong coupling, as well as between HP and high-strain samples, at least within the approximations used

- In [182], a combination of ab initio DFT calculations and constrained RPA was employed within a multiorbital Hubbard model for thin films. In addition, cluster dynamical mean-field theory was used to capture correlation effects beyond the weak-coupling level. By comparison with ARPES experiments, the authors identified the appropriate electronic filling for the theoretical analysis. DFT plus Hubbard U (DFT + U) calculations were also performed. Overall, a pronounced $s^\pm$ pairing instability was found, driven by Fermi surface nesting with dominant $d_{3x^2-r^2}$ orbital character, in close analogy to the bulk system under high pressure.
- In another work, using self-consistent mean-field calculations based on a model tailored for $\text{La}_{2.85}\text{Pr}_{0.15}\text{Ni}_2\text{O}_7$, it was also reported $s^\pm$ interlayer pairing as the dominant superconducting channel [183]. Using a renormalized mean-field approach applied to a two-orbital bilayer $t-J$ model, $s^\pm$ pairing was also observed [184]. The results are consistent with ARPES measurements [148], which indicate the absence of nodes in the β and γ pockets.
- In [185], using a fully charge self-consistent DFT plus embedded dynamical mean-field theory approach to study $\text{La}_3\text{Ni}_2\text{O}_7$ under several compressive strains, the γ pocket was found to emerge and cross the Fermi level, exhibiting flat band features when dynamical correlations are included.
- In [186], magnetic and charge distribution properties of models for $\text{La}_3\text{Ni}_2\text{O}_7$ thin films, involving up to 11 bands within a Hubbard model, were investigated using determinant quantum Monte Carlo techniques.

A generic comment affecting the efforts where hoppings are obtained in 0.5 UC film models: note that the case of 0.5 UC corresponds to a single bilayer. The more realistic case of 1 UC has two bilayers (namely, four NiO_2 planes), one shifted by 1/2 in-plane lattice spacing with respect to the other. Specifically, the DFT unit cell used consists of vacuum-layer1-layer2-vacuum, with the in-plane lattice constants (a and b) fixed to those of LSAO and the out-of-plane constant (c) adjusted automatically. As a result, modeling thin films with only 0.5 UC in the above-described setup does not realistically capture the asymmetry present in actual films, which are sandwiched between a substrate and a vacuum. For thicker slabs beyond 0.5 UC, where an asymmetry between the NiO_2 planes can be established, realistic simulations become possible.

In [167], our group investigated a 1 UC $\text{La}_3\text{Ni}_2\text{O}_7$ /LSAO thin film using DFT + RPA methods. As expected, the interlayer hopping amplitude is reduced (specifically by approximately 15%) compared to the bulk, due to the expansion of the c -axis lattice parameter. Our results also indicate that, in the undoped limit, γ pockets are absent, leading to a lack of significant Fermi surface nesting and, consequently, no pairing instability within RPA. Upon increasing hole doping, however, pairing tendencies emerge predominantly in the d -wave channel. Figures 18(a)–(d) provide a qualitative illustration of the key differences between the HP bulk and the compressively strained thin-film cases. Remarkably, depending on the physical conditions, i.e. bulk crystals under high pressure vs. thin films under high compressive strain, the same material may favor either an $s^\pm$ or a d -wave pairing tendency, at least within our approximations.

Figure 18(e) shows the RPA pairing strength λ as a function of electron density n (with $n = 3$ corresponding to the undoped case) for various pairing channels [167]. At $n = 3$, no pairing instability is



observed. Upon hole doping to $n \sim 2.8$ (approximately 6.66% hole doping), the $d_{x^2-y^2}$ channel dominates, whereas at $n \sim 2.5$ the d_{xy} channel becomes dominant. It would be interesting to examine whether this weak-coupling analysis, which is sensitive to Fermi surface details, persists in non-perturbative calculations beyond weak coupling. In this study, the RPA magnetic order is dominated by a wavevector $\mathbf{q} \sim (\pi/3, \pi/3)$, indicating diagonal stripe order as the magnetic precursor to the pairing instability.

A related theoretical study, using DFT and FRG techniques, based on 3 UCs grown on a (Sr, La)AlO₃ substrate (note, not on LSAO₄), shows that the interfacial bilayer is hole-doped and develops $s^\pm$ pairing, reinforced by competing SDW fluctuations [187]. The maximum T_c is obtained at the Lifshitz transition when the γ pockets appear at the Fermi surface [187]. Furthermore, an interesting theoretical proposal establishes the connection between the critical temperature of superconductivity in bulk La₃Ni₂O₇ and its film under different conditions [188]. In addition, they also proposed that electronic doping, or further enhancement of the compressive strain in the film, can enhance T_c .

Cao *et al* [189] studies La₃Ni₂O₇/LaAlO₃ using FRG, and an $s^\pm$ pairing state was found. In addition, they also proposed that the interlayer Ni-Ni distance could transform the ground state from C-type SDW (layer-even SDW), first to an $s^\pm$ -wave pairing channel, and then to G-type SDW (layer-odd SDW), with increasing interlayer distance, as shown in figure 19(a).

In [190], a fluctuation exchange approximation (FLEX) was applied to bulk systems with strain, yielding a robust $s^\pm$ pairing symmetry found to be largely insensitive to details of the band structure. FLEX incorporates frequency-dependent interactions and self-energy effects, as compared to the Fermi surface-restricted RPA. Within this framework, finite Hubbard U and Hund's coupling J are included.

Figure 19(b) presents a comparison of the pairing strength between nickelates and cuprates. In this framework, La₃Ni₂O₇ nickelate thin films are found to be close to the cuprate 214 family, at least at the level of the FLEX approximation that was used in this analysis. These results suggest that nickelates likely reside in the intermediate- to strong-coupling regime with respect to the Hubbard interaction U , by analogy with the cuprate 214 compounds, for which this parameter range is relatively well established. However, this conclusion relies on the assumption that superconductivity is driven by magnetic fluctuations and that the RPA-based pairing strengths can be meaningfully compared across different materials. The latter point is nontrivial, given that different values of U are typically adopted for each system. Therefore, these results should be interpreted as qualitative.




Figure 19. (a) The calculated transition temperature of the ordered states vs. the nearest-neighbour $d_{\text{Ni-Ni}}$ distance along the z -axis for $\text{La}_3\text{Ni}_2\text{O}_7$ thin films grown on the LaAlO_3 substrate, with the sketch of three states. Left: C-type SDW in momentum space at $d_{\text{Ni-Ni}} = 4.01 \text{ \AA}$; middle: superconducting order parameter with $s^\pm$ symmetry at $d_{\text{Ni-Ni}} = 4.05 \text{ \AA}$; right: G-type SDW in momentum space at $d_{\text{Ni-Ni}} = 4.10 \text{ \AA}$. Reproduced with permission from [189]. (b) The superconducting transition temperature T_c from experiments is plotted as a function of the theoretical RPA pairing strength λ , using the FLEX approximation. Reproduced from [190]. Reprinted (figure) with permission from [190], Copyright (2026) by the American Physical Society.

Finally, we discuss a novel theoretical proposal involving an electric field applied perpendicular to the films [191], which may substantially enhance the superconducting transition temperature T_c . As noted above, in a vacuum-bilayer-vacuum geometry, the two layers in a single bilayer are equivalent by symmetry. However, this symmetry can be broken by applying a perpendicular electric field, which induces charge transfer between the two Ni layers as well as redistribution among orbitals. The net effect, as reported in [191], is a suppression of the s -wave pairing associated with the bonding band and a concomitant enhancement of d -wave pairing (see figure 20). These results are obtained based on calculations using the t - J model, employing both slave-boson mean-field theory and density matrix renormalization group simulations on a $2 \times 2 \times 64$ cluster.

In summary, the theoretical description of thin films is more challenging than that of bulk materials. The reduced dimensionality and the film geometry render the Ni planes of the thin films inequivalent, adding complexity to their modeling. Most theoretical studies of Ni-oxide thin films continue to favor $s^\pm$ pairing. However, some results support a d -wave state for thin films, even in cases where the same many-body approaches were applied before to HP bulk systems yielding $s^\pm$ pairing.

6. Superconductivity in hybrid stacking RP nickelates

While experimental efforts continue to improve the sample quality, transition temperature T_c , and superconducting volume fraction for both bulk and film RP nickel oxides, an interesting alternating single-layer and trilayer stacking structure $(\text{La}_2\text{NiO}_4)/(\text{La}_4\text{Ni}_3\text{O}_{10})$ was synthesized experimentally in bulk




Figure 20. Phase diagram of the single-bilayer film on LSAO, under the influence of an electric field ϵ perpendicular to the film. δ is the electron doping level of the $d_{x^2-y^2}$ orbital. Reproduced with permission from [191]. CC BY-NC-ND 4.0.





Figure 21. (a) Pressure-dependent phase diagram showing the crystal structure transitions, the density-wave (denoted DW) transitions, and superconductivity transitions for 1212 nickelate single crystals. (b) Resistance vs. temperature $R(T)$ curves of the 1212 nickelate (Sample S3) single crystal for different pressures. (c) The relationship between T_c and the average in-plane lattice (a_p) for 1212 nickelates. (a)–(c) Reproduced from [197], with permission from Springer Nature, Copyright (2025). (d) Schematic of the trilayer crystal structure portion of the 1313 phase, before and after the structural transition. (e) Pressure-dependent phase diagram for the 1313 nickelate. (f) Resistance vs temperature T curves of 1313 nickelates for different pressures. (d)–(f) Reproduced from [198]. CC BY 4.0.

format, with the same chemical formula $\text{La}_3\text{Ni}_2\text{O}_7$ [192–195], by several groups independently. This is called the 1313 nickelate. At the same time, another hybrid RP nickelate with alternating single-layer and bilayer stacking (La_2NiO_4)/($\text{La}_3\text{Ni}_2\text{O}_7$) was also prepared in bulk format [196], namely 1212 nickelate. Similar to the RP nickel oxides, superconductivity is not observed in those hybrid stacking RP nickelates without pressure.

Surprisingly, superconductivity has recently been observed in the 1212 nickelate under pressure [197]. This 1212 nickelate shows an orthorhombic Cmcm structure with slightly tilted NiO_6 octahedra at ambient conditions. As shown in figure 21(a), it also exhibits a density-wave (DW) transition at ambient and LP regions, similar to bilayer $\text{La}_3\text{Ni}_2\text{O}_7$. With increasing pressure, around 5 GPa, the Cmcm phase transfers to the high-symmetry $\text{P4}/\text{mmm}$ phase with untitled NiO_6 octahedra, followed by the emergence of superconductivity at around 12 GPa–25 GPa (the maximum pressure they studied). The maximum onsite $T_c \sim 64$ K was found at 18.2 GPa, as displayed in figure 21(b), while zero resistance was obtained at ~ 40 K. The optimal superconductivity with a large superconducting volume fraction



was observed at approximately 21 GPa with $T_c = 54$ K. Interestingly, figure 21(c) shows T_c as a function of the average in-plane lattice constant a_p for the 1212 nickelate. It appears to be a ‘critical a_p ’ close to 3.8 Å, below which superconductivity emerges, which is quite similar to that for HP bulk La₃Ni₂O₇.

More recently, the 1313 nickelate was also reported to superconduct under pressure, albeit at a significantly reduced temperature of 3.6 K [198]. Pressure induces the structural transition from a slightly distorted orthorhombic $Cmcm$ structure to the tetragonal $P4/mmm$ phase with Ni-O-Ni 180° around 13 GPa, as shown in figure 21(d). Similar to 1212 nickelates, the emergence of superconductivity under pressure does not precisely coincide with the structural phase transition (see figure 21(d)). A sharp drop in resistance appears with the onset of $T_c \sim 3.6$ K at 19 GPa (see figure 21(e)), and a clear zero-resistance state below 2.3 K was observed around 24.3 GPa.

While studying the hybrid stacking RP nickelates in bulk form, 1212 and 1313 nickelate thin films were also prepared, grown on an LSrLaAlO₄ substrate [147], as displayed in figures 22(a) and (b). At ambient pressure, superconductivity was found in the 1212 nickelate film with onset T_c up to 50 K, as shown in figure 22(c). However, the 1313 nickelate film does not show superconductivity at ambient conditions (see figure 22(d)). The sketches of the ARPES results (see figure 22(e)) for the bilayer part of the 1212 nickelate film show that the γ pocket crosses the Fermi level, leading to similar Fermi surface topology as in bilayer nickelate films. In contrast, figure 22(f) presents the Fermi surface of the trilayer part of 1313 nickelate film, where the γ pocket is absent. This is also supported by a recent theoretical study for a 1313 nickelate film system where the superconducting pairing is absent on an LSrLaAlO₄ substrate without the γ pocket [199].

To finalize this section, we summarize below other recent theoretical progress for the hybrid stacking RP nickelates.

- 1212 nickelates: Zhang *et al* [200] found the ‘charge transfer’ between the single-layer and bilayer sub-lattices, leading to hole doping in the single-layer part. In addition, LaBollita and Botana [201] found that 1212 nickelates show layer-selective physics with the Mott instability in single layers, while the bilayers contribute to its low-energy physics. RPA calculations provide a leading $d_{x^2-y^2}$ channel arising mainly from the single-layer blocks at smaller U and a leading $s^\pm$ instability from the bilayer part at larger U (similar to HP bulk La₃Ni₂O₇) [200]. Zhang *et al* [202] also reported $s^\pm$ pairing from the bilayer subsystem and argued that the single-layer subsystem mainly serves as a bridge facilitating the inter-bilayer phase coherence through the interlayer Josephson coupling.

- 1313 nickelates: Zhang *et al* [203] reported that the $Cmcm$ and $P4/mmm$ phases exhibit nearly identical electronic structures, with the γ pocket absent in the trilayer region under both ambient and high pressures. Their results also suggest that the superconducting transition temperature (T_c) of the 1313 structure is unlikely to reach values high enough to match the experimentally observed T_c in $\text{La}_3\text{Ni}_2\text{O}_7$ [203]. LaBollita *et al* [204] reported that the Mott gap in the single-layer block closes due to orbital-selective physics, leading to transfer of charge into the trilayer block under pressure. Similar conclusions of layer selectivity and single-layer Mott critical behavior were also obtained in [205]. In addition, a characteristic Fermi-arc feature was found in the HP 1313 phase, arising from the strongly correlated interplay of the multi-orbital and multilayer electronic structure, along with a concomitant low-energy $d_{3z^2-r^2}$ flat-band feature [205].
- 2323 nickelates: Zhang *et al* [206] predicted a leading $s^\pm$ pairing state for bilayer-trilayer stacking nickelates, namely the 2323 structure, under pressure with a similar or higher superconducting transition temperature T_c , as obtained in the bilayer $\text{La}_3\text{Ni}_2\text{O}_7$. While finishing the present review, 2323 stacking nickelate thin films have been prepared and are confirmed to be superconducting at ambient conditions with an onset T_c around 46 K [147].

In summary, the new direction of studies involving hybrid stacking RP nickelates extends the area of nickel oxides, providing a new platform for research in thin films to grow samples layer-by-layer. Based on present efforts, the strong superconducting pairing in 1212 nickelates seems to originate from bilayer blocks, with the appearance of the γ pocket. In addition, the trilayer is the conducting layer in the 1313 structure, where the γ pockets from trilayer blocks are also absent. More studies are still needed to clarify these interesting points.

7. Summary and outlook

The discovery of superconductivity with $T_c \sim 80$ K in the bilayer RP nickelate $\text{La}_3\text{Ni}_2\text{O}_7$ bulk was initially reported in 2023. This exciting experiment opened a remarkable new platform for the study of the origin of unconventional superconductivity, unveiling several challenging results that theory needs to explain. To date, among all RP nickelate family materials and related hybrid stacking variations, four bulk systems (bilayer, trilayer, 1212, and 1313) have been found to be superconducting under high pressure, while three film systems (bilayer, 1212, and 2323) have confirmed superconductivity at ambient pressure as well.

In section 3, we reviewed the present status of bulk bilayer nickelates, in a reduced format because the field is too vast and the focus of this review is the thin films. Within bulk bilayers, several key issues remain to be addressed: (1) Fermi surface topology under pressure: although nearly all theoretical studies predict the presence of γ pockets in RP bilayer nickelates under pressure, experimental confirmation is still lacking due to the challenges of performing HP ARPES measurements. (2) Magnetic structure: although some RIXS experiments provide evidence for the double stripe spin state in the orthorhombic $Amam$ phase at ambient pressure, the magnetic structure has not yet been fully established and remains under debate. Because of sample size and quality, direct inelastic neutron scattering experiments in single crystals are still needed to identify the intrinsic magnetic structure. As discussed section 3.4, the structural distortion itself favors the double spin stripe. Under high pressure, the structural distortion disappears, and the HP magnetic structure may be different from that at ambient conditions. Thus, HP experiments are needed to understand the relationship between the superconducting phase and the magnetic state.

In sections 4 and 5, we reviewed in detail recent progress on bilayer ultra-thin films. The experimental results for the Fermi surface of the nickelate films are still inconclusive on (1) whether the γ pockets appear at the Fermi level, and (2) whether the superconducting state does or does not have nodes. This may relate to scenarios for accidental carrier doping in thin films of $\text{La}_3\text{Ni}_2\text{O}_7$ due to Sr migration from the sample, oxygen non-stoichiometry, intrinsic interfacial charge transfer, or other possibilities. For the theoretical part, the $s^\pm$ leading solution is supported by many studies on the 0.5 UC structural model. However, a slab model restricted to 0.5 UC does not capture the symmetry breaking of the interface. Interestingly, our recently published work based on the 1 UC model that includes symmetry breaking of the two Ni layers in the bilayer lattice provides a d -wave solution instead.

In section 6, we reviewed recent progress on hybrid stacking RP nickelates. This direction is still waiting to be explored, and only a few groups are working on it. Present efforts suggest that the main physics of the 1212 and 1313 nickelates are related to their bilayer and trilayer blocks. Thus, further

study on those families of thin film systems may help understand the bilayer and trilayer RP nickelate in bulk as well.

In addition, thin films of the high-order nickelates $\text{La}_{m+1}\text{Ni}_m\text{O}_{3m+1}$ ($m = 4$ and $m = 5$) [207] and $\text{Nd}_{m+1}\text{Ni}_m\text{O}_{3m+1}$ ($m = 4$ and $m = 5$) [208] have been stabilized in experiments using reactive molecular-beam epitaxy. Considering the present progress by providing additional pressure on bilayers, this could be a feasible way to explore superconductivity in high-order nickelate systems.

Acknowledgment

We are grateful for fruitful discussions with Adriana Moreo, Satoshi Okamoto, Harold Y. Hwang, Michael S. Norman, Antia S. Botana, Gang Su, Fan Yang, Meng Wang, Hai Hu Wen, Yijun Yu, Qianghua Wang, Huiqiu Yuan, Yuefeng Nie, Jinguang Cheng, Peter J. Hirschfeld, Benjamin Geisler, Dao-Xin Yao, Zhihai Zhu, Xingjiang Zhou, Zhuoyu Chen, and Qi-Kun Xue.

The authors are supported by the U.S. Department of Energy, Office of Science, Basic Energy Sciences, Materials Sciences and Engineering Division. This manuscript has been authored by UT-Battelle, LLC, under contract DE-AC05-00OR22725 with the US Department of Energy (DOE). The US government retains, and the publisher, by accepting the article for publication, acknowledges that the US government retains a nonexclusive, paid-up, irrevocable, worldwide license to publish or reproduce the published form of this manuscript, or allow others to do so, for US government purposes. DOE will provide public access to these results of federally sponsored research in accordance with the DOE Public Access Plan (www.energy.gov/DOE Public Access Plan).

Data availability statement

No new data were created or analysed in this review article.

ORCID iDs

Yang Zhang  0000-0003-3623-5883

Fang-Ling Lin  0000-0003-0045-6537

Thomas A Maier  0000-0002-1424-9996

Elbio Dagotto  0000-0001-6007-5694

References

- [1] Bednorz J G and Müller K A 1986 Possible high- T_c superconductivity in the Ba-La-Cu-O system *Z. Phys. B: Condens. Matter* **64** 189
- [2] Dagotto E 1994 Correlated electrons in high-temperature superconductors *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **66** 763
- [3] Scalapino D J 1995 The case for $d_{x^2-y^2}$ pairing in the cuprate superconductors *Phys. Rep.* **250** 329
- [4] Kamihara Y, Watanabe T, Hirano M and Hosono H 2008 Iron-Based Layered Superconductor $\text{La}[\text{O}_{1-x}\text{F}_x]\text{FeAs}$ ($x = 0.05 - 0.12$) with $T_c = 26$ K *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **130** 3296
- [5] Dai P, Hu J and Dagotto E 2012 Magnetism and its microscopic origin in iron-based high-temperature superconductors *Nat. Phys.* **8** 709
- [6] Dagotto E 2013 Colloquium: the unexpected properties of alkali metal iron selenide superconductors *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **85** 849
- [7] Dai P 2015 Antiferromagnetic order and spin dynamics in iron-based superconductors *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **87** 855
- [8] Luo Q, Martins G, Yao D-X, Daghofer M, Yu R, Moreo A and Dagotto E 2025 Neutron and ARPES constraints on the couplings of the multiorbital Hubbard model for the iron pnictides *Phys. Rev. B* **82** 104508
- [9] Wang M, Wen H-H, Wu T, Yao D-X and Xiang T 2025 Normal and superconducting properties of $\text{La}_3\text{Ni}_2\text{O}_7$ *Chin. Phys. Lett.* **41** 077402
- [10] Goodge B H and Norman M R 2025 Nickelates provide answers about high-temperature superconductivity—and raise new questions *Phys. Today* **78** 28
- [11] Wang Y, Jiang K, Ying J, Wu T, Cheng J, Hu J and Chen X 2025 Recent progress in nickelate superconductors *Natl. Sci. Rev.* **12** nwa373
- [12] Puphal P, Schäfer T, Keimer B and Hepting M 2025 Superconductivity in infinite-layer and Ruddlesden–Popper nickelates *Nat. Rev. Phys.* **8** 70
- [13] Qiu W and Yao D-X 2026 Progress of ambient-pressure superconductivity in bilayer nickelate thin films *Front. Phys.* **21** 115301
- [14] Oh H, Yang H and Zhang Y-H 2026 Doping a spin-one Mott insulator: possible application to bilayer nickelate *New J. Phys.* **28** 021201
- [15] Li D, Lee K, Wang B Y, Osada M, Crossley S, Lee H R, Cui Y, Hikita Y and Hwang H Y 2019 Superconductivity in an infinite-layer nickelate *Nature* **572** 624

- [16] Kumar D, Rajeev K P, Alonso J A and Martínez-Lope M J 2013 Spin-canted magnetism and decoupling of charge and spin ordering in NdNiO₃ *Phys. Rev. B* **88** 014410
- [17] Li D, Wang B Y, Lee K, Harvey S P, Osada M, Goodge B H, Kourkoutis L F and Hwang H Y 2020 Superconducting Dome in Nd_{1-x}Sr_xNiO₂ infinite layer films *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **125** 027001
- [18] Nomura Y and Arita R 2022 Superconductivity in infinite-layer nickelates *Rep. Prog. Phys.* **85** 052501
- [19] Zhang Y, Lin L F, Hu W, Moreo A, Dong S and Dagotto E 2020 Similarities and differences between nickelate and cuprate films grown on a SrTiO₃ substrate *Phys. Rev. B* **102** 195117
- [20] Gu Q and Wen H H 2022 Superconductivity in nickel-based 112 systems *Innovation* **3** 100202
- [21] Zhang R *et al* 2025 Magnetism-enhanced strong electron-phonon coupling in infinite-layer nickelates *Phys. Rev. B* **112** L241115
- [22] Botana A S and Norman M R 2020 Similarities and differences between LaNiO₂ and CaCuO₂ and implications for superconductivity *Phys. Rev. X* **10** 011024
- [23] Wu X, Sante D D, Schwemmer T, Hanke W, Hwang H Y, Raghu S and Thomale R 2020 Robust $d_{x^2-y^2}$ -wave superconductivity of infinite-layer nickelates *Phys. Rev. B* **101** 060504
- [24] Karp J, Botana A S, Norman M R, Park H, Zingl M and Millis A 2020 Many-body electronic structure of NdNiO₂ and CaCuO₂ *Phys. Rev. X* **10** 02106
- [25] Gao Q *et al* 2024 Magnetic excitations in strained infinite-layer nickelate PrNiO₂ films *Nat. Commun.* **10** 5576
- [26] Ren X *et al* 2023 Possible strain-induced enhancement of the superconducting onset transition temperature in infinite-layer nickelates *Commun. Phys.* **6** 341
- [27] Ren X *et al* 2024 Two distinct charge orders in infinite-layer PrNiO_{2+δ} revealed by resonant x-ray diffraction *Chin. Phys. Lett.* **41** 117404
- [28] Pan G A *et al* 2022 Superconductivity in a quintuple-layer square-planar nickelate *Nat. Mater.* **21** 160
- [29] Pan G A *et al* 2026 Superconducting phase diagram of multi-layer square-planar nickelates *Science* **392** 1390
- [30] Chow S L E, Luo Z and Ariando A 2025 Bulk superconductivity near 40 K in hole-doped SmNiO₂ at ambient pressure *Nature* **642** 58
- [31] Lee Y, Wang M, Wei X, Yu Y, Mao W L, Lin Y and Hwang H Y 2026 High-temperature superconductivity in Nd_{0.85}Sr_{0.15}NiO₂ membranes under pressure (arXiv:2604.09525)
- [32] Sun H *et al* 2023 Signatures of superconductivity near 80 K in a nickelate under high pressure *Nature* **621** 493
- [33] Hou J *et al* 2023 Emergence of high-temperature superconducting phase in pressurized La₃Ni₂O₇ crystals *Chin. Phys. Lett.* **40** 117302
- [34] Wang G *et al* 2024 Pressure-induced superconductivity in polycrystalline La₃Ni₂O_{7-δ} *Phys. Rev. X* **14** 011040
- [35] Wang N *et al* 2024 Bulk high-temperature superconductivity in pressurized tetragonal La₂PrNi₂O₇ *Nature* **634** 579–84
- [36] Dong Z, Huo M, Li J, Li J, Li P, Sun H, Lu Y, Wang M, Wang Y and Chen Z 2024 Visualization of oxygen vacancies and self-doped ligand holes in La₃Ni₂O_{7-δ} *Nature* **630** 847
- [37] Li J *et al* 2025 Identification of superconductivity in bilayer nickelate La₃Ni₂O₇ under high pressure up to 100 GPa *Natl. Sci. Rev.* **12** nwa220
- [38] Li F *et al* 2026 Ambient pressure growth of bilayer nickelate single crystals with superconductivity over 90 K under high pressure *Nature* **649** 871
- [39] Qiu Z *et al* 2025 Interlayer coupling enhanced superconductivity near 100 K in La_{3-x}Nd_xNi₂O₇ (arXiv:2510.12359)
- [40] Liu Z *et al* 2023 Evidence for charge and spin density waves in single crystals of La₃Ni₂O₇ and La₃Ni₂O₆ *Sci. China Phys. Mech. Astron.* **66** 217411
- [41] Chen X *et al* 2024 Electronic and magnetic excitations in La₃Ni₂O₇ *Nat. Commun.* **15** 9597
- [42] Chen K *et al* 2024 Evidence of spin density waves in La₃Ni₂O_{7-δ} *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **132** 256503
- [43] Dan Z, Zhou Y, Huo M, Wang Y, Nie L, Wang M, Wu T and Chen X 2025 Pressure-enhanced spin-density-wave transition in double-layer nickelate La₃Ni₂O_{7-δ} *Sci. Bull.* **70** 1239
- [44] Khasanov R *et al* 2025 Pressure-enhanced splitting of density wave transitions in La₃Ni₂O_{7-δ} *Nat. Phys.* **21** 430
- [45] Sakakibara H, Kitamine N, Ochi M and Kuroki K 2024 Possible high T_c superconductivity in La₃Ni₂O₇ under high pressure through manifestation of a nearly half-filled bilayer Hubbard model *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **132** 106002
- [46] Wang L *et al* 2024 Structure responsible for the superconducting state in La₃Ni₂O₇ at high-pressure and low-temperature conditions *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **146** 7506
- [47] Wang H, Zhou H and Xie W 2025 Temperature-dependent structural evolution of Ruddlesden–Popper bilayer nickelate La₃Ni₂O₇ *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **64** 828
- [48] Luo Z, Hu X, Wang M, Wu W and Yao D-X 2023 Bilayer two-orbital model of La₃Ni₂O₇ under pressure *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **131** 126001
- [49] Zhang Y, Lin L-F, Moreo A and Dagotto E 2023 Electronic structure, dimer physics, orbital-selective behavior and magnetic tendencies in the bilayer nickelate superconductor La₃Ni₂O₇ under pressure *Phys. Rev. B* **108** L180510
- [50] Christiansson V, Petocchi F and Werner P 2023 Correlated electronic structure of La₃Ni₂O₇ under pressure *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **131** 206501
- [51] Zhang Y, Lin L-F, Moreo A, Maier T A and Dagotto E 2023 Trends in electronic structures and $s^{\pm}$ -wave pairing for the rare-earth series in bilayer nickelate superconductor R₃Ni₂O₇ *Phys. Rev. B* **108** 165141
- [52] Geisler B, Fanfarillo L, Hamlin J J, Stewart G R, Hennig R G and Hirschfeld P J 2024 Optical properties and electronic correlations in La₃Ni₂O₇ bilayer nickelates under high pressure *npj Quantum Mater.* **9** 89
- [53] Zhang Y, Lin L-F, Moreo A, Maier T A and Dagotto E 2024 Structural phase transition, $s_{\pm}$ -wave pairing and magnetic stripe order in bilayered superconductor La₃Ni₂O₇ under pressure *Nat. Commun.* **15** 2470
- [54] Liao Z, Chen L, Duan G, Wang Y, Liu C, Yu R and Si Q 2023 Electron correlations and superconductivity in La₃Ni₂O₇ under pressure tuning *Phys. Rev. B* **108** 214522
- [55] Cao Y and Yang Y-F 2024 Flat bands promoted by Hund's rule coupling in the candidate double-layer high-temperature superconductor La₃Ni₂O₇ *Phys. Rev. B* **109** L081105
- [56] Lechermann F, Gondolf J, Bötzel S and Eremin I M 2023 Electronic correlations and superconducting instability in La₃Ni₂O₇ under high pressure *Phys. Rev. B* **108** L201121
- [57] Geisler B, Hamlin J J, Stewart G R, Hennig R G and Hirschfeld P J 2024 Structural transitions, octahedral rotations and electronic properties of A₃Ni₂O₇ rare-Earth nickelates under high pressure *npj Quantum Mater.* **9** 38
- [58] Dagotto E, Riera J and Scalapino D 1992 Superconductivity in ladders and coupled planes *Phys. Rev. B* **45** 5744(R)

- [59] Maier T A and Scalapino D 2011 Pair structure and the pairing interaction in a bilayer Hubbard model for unconventional superconductivity *Phys. Rev. B* **84** 180513
- [60] Nakata M, Ogura D, Usui H and Kuroki K 2017 Finite-energy spin fluctuations as a pairing glue in systems with coexisting electron and hole bands *Phys. Rev. B* **95** 214509
- [61] Liu Z et al 2025 Evolution of electronic correlations in the Ruddlesden-Popper nickelates *Phys. Rev. B* **111** L220505
- [62] Liu Z et al 2025 Electronic correlations and partial gap in the bilayer nickelate $\text{La}_3\text{Ni}_2\text{O}_7$ *Nat. Commun.* **15** 7570
- [63] Xu S et al 2025 Origin of the density wave instability in trilayer nickelate $\text{La}_4\text{Ni}_3\text{O}_{10}$ revealed by optical and ultrafast spectroscopy *Phys. Rev. B* **111** 075140
- [64] Zhang Y et al 2024 High-temperature superconductivity with zero resistance and strange-metal behaviour in $\text{La}_3\text{Ni}_2\text{O}_{7-\delta}$ *Nat. Phys.* **20** 1269
- [65] Zhang Y, Lin L-F, Moreo A, Maier T A and Dagotto E 2024 Prediction of $s^\pm$ -wave superconductivity enhanced by electronic doping in trilayer nickelates $\text{La}_4\text{Ni}_3\text{O}_{10}$ under pressure *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **133** 136001
- [66] Jiang P, Li J, Cao Y-H, Cao X, Zhong Z, Lu Y and Wang Q-H 2025 Dual instability of superconductivity from oxygen defects in $\text{La}_3\text{Ni}_2\text{O}_{7+\delta}$ (arXiv:2512.00301)
- [67] Zhang Y, Lin L-F, Moreo A, Maier T A and Dagotto E 2024 Electronic structure, magnetic correlations and superconducting pairing in the reduced Ruddlesden-Popper bilayer $\text{La}_3\text{Ni}_2\text{O}_6$ under pressure: different role of $d_{3x^2-y^2}$ orbital compared with $\text{La}_3\text{Ni}_2\text{O}_7$ *Phys. Rev. B* **109** 045151
- [68] Yang J et al 2024 Orbital-dependent electron correlation in double-layer nickelate $\text{La}_3\text{Ni}_2\text{O}_7$ *Nat. Commun.* **15** 4373
- [69] Liu Y-B, Sun H, Zhang M, Liu Q, Chen W-Q and Yang F 2025 Origin of the diagonal double-stripe spin density wave and potential superconductivity in bulk $\text{La}_3\text{Ni}_2\text{O}_7$ at ambient pressure *Phys. Rev. B* **112** 014510
- [70] Fernandes R M et al 2010 Unconventional pairing in the iron arsenide superconductors *Phys. Rev. B* **81** 140501(R)
- [71] Liang S, Moreo A and Dagotto E 2013 Nematic state of Pnictides stabilized by interplay between spin, orbital and lattice degrees of freedom *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **111** 047004
- [72] Lin L-F, Zhang Y, Alvarez G, Moreo A and Dagotto E 2021 Origin of insulating ferromagnetism in iron oxychalcogenide $\text{Ce}_2\text{O}_2\text{FeSe}_2$ *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **127** 077204
- [73] Lin L-F, Zhang Y, Alvarez G, Moreo A, Herbrich J and Dagotto E 2022 Prediction of orbital-selective Mott phases and block magnetic states in the quasi-one-dimensional iron chain $\text{Ce}_2\text{O}_2\text{FeSe}_2$ under hole and electron doping *Phys. Rev. B* **105** 075119
- [74] Lin L-F, Zhang Y, Alvarez G, McGuire M A, May A F, Moreo A and Dagotto E 2023 Stability of the interorbital-hopping mechanism for ferromagnetism in multi-orbital Hubbard models *Commun. Phys.* **6** 199
- [75] Zhang Y, Laurell P, Alvarez G, Moreo A, Maier T A, Lin L-F and Dagotto E 2026 Intertwined charge, spin and orbital degrees of freedom under electronic correlations in the one-dimensional Fe^{3+} chalcogenide chain *Phys. Rev. B* **113** 245120
- [76] Xie T et al 2024 Strong interlayer magnetic exchange coupling in $\text{La}_3\text{Ni}_2\text{O}_{7-\delta}$ revealed by inelastic neutron scattering *Sci. Bull.* **69** 3221
- [77] Ren X, Sutarto R, Wu X, Zhang J, Huang H, Xiang T, Hu J, Comin R, Zhou X J and Zhu Z 2025 Resolving the electronic ground state of $\text{La}_3\text{Ni}_2\text{O}_{7-\delta}$ films *Commun. Phys.* **8** 52
- [78] Zhong H et al 2026 Doping evolution of spin excitations in $\text{La}_{3-x}\text{Sr}_x\text{Ni}_2\text{O}_7/\text{SrLaAlO}_4$ superconducting thin films (arXiv:2603.01120)
- [79] Dagotto E, Hotta T and Moreo A 2001 Colossal magnetoresistant materials: the key role of phase separation *Phys. Rep.* **344** 1
- [80] Wang W-X, Oh H, Helbig T, Wang B Y, Li J, Yu Y, Hwang H Y, Jiang H-C, Wu Y M and Raghu S 2025 Electronic and magnetic excitations in $\text{La}_3\text{Ni}_2\text{O}_7$ (arXiv:2509.25344)
- [81] Zhang B, Xu C and Xiang H 2025 Spin-charge-orbital order in nickelate superconductors *Phys. Rev. B* **111** 184401
- [82] LaBollita H, Pardo V, Norman M R and Botana A S 2025 Assessing spin-density wave formation in $\text{La}_3\text{Ni}_2\text{O}_7$ from electronic structure calculations *Phys. Rev. Mater.* **8** L111801
- [83] Ni X-S, Ji Y, He L, Xie T, Yao D-X, Wang M and Cao K 2025 Spin density wave in the bilayered nickelate $\text{La}_3\text{Ni}_2\text{O}_{7-\delta}$ at ambient pressure *npj Quantum Mater.* **10** 17
- [84] Jiang G, Qin C, Foyevtsova K, Si L, Berciu M, Sawatzky G A and Jiang M 2025 Assessing spin-density wave formation in $\text{La}_3\text{Ni}_2\text{O}_7$ *Sci. China Phys. Mech. Astron.* **68** 297411
- [85] Foyevtsova K, Elfimov I and Sawatzky G 2025 Charge distribution and magnetism in bilayer $\text{La}_3\text{Ni}_2\text{O}_7$: a hybrid functional study *Phys. Rev. B* **112** 235163
- [86] Leonov I V 2025 Charge electronic correlations and spin-charge-density stripes in double-layer *Phys. Rev. B* **112** 245152
- [87] Chen X, Jiang P, Li J, Zhong Z and Lu Y 2025 Charge and spin instabilities in superconducting $\text{La}_3\text{Ni}_2\text{O}_7$ *Phys. Rev. B* **111** 014515
- [88] Oh H, Zhou B and Zhang Y-H 2025 Type-II $t - J$ model in charge transfer regime in bilayer $\text{La}_3\text{Ni}_2\text{O}_7$ and trilayer $\text{La}_4\text{Ni}_3\text{O}_{10}$ *Phys. Rev. B* **111** L020504
- [89] Oh H, Wu Y-M, May-Mann J, Yu Y, Harold Y H, Zhang Y-H and Raghu S 2026 High spin, low spin, or gapped spins: Magnetism in the bilayer nickelates *Phys. Rev. B* **113** 024430
- [90] Wú W, Luo Z, Yao D-X and Wang M 2024 Superexchange and charge transfer in the nickelate superconductor $\text{La}_3\text{Ni}_2\text{O}_7$ under pressure *Sci. China Phys. Mech. Astron.* **67** 117402
- [91] Bötzel S, Lechermann F, Gondolf J and Eremin I M 2024 Theory of magnetic excitations in the multilayer nickelate superconductor $\text{La}_3\text{Ni}_2\text{O}_7$ *Phys. Rev. B* **109** L180502
- [92] Yashima M, Seto N, Oshita Y, Kakoi M, Sakurai H, Takano Y and Mukuda H 2025 Microscopic evidence for spin-spinless stripe order with reduced Ni moments within ab plane for bilayer nickelate $\text{La}_3\text{Ni}_2\text{O}_7$ Probed by ^{139}La -NQR *J. Phys. Soc. Jpn.* **94** 054704
- [93] Plokhikh I et al 2025 Electronic structures across the unraveling spin density wave order in layered nickelates $\text{La}_3\text{Ni}_2\text{O}_7$ and $\text{La}_2\text{PrNi}_2\text{O}_7$ via neutron diffraction *Phys. Rev. Res.* **8** 033151
- [94] Yi X, Meng Y, Li J, Liao Z-W, Li W, You J-Y, Gu B and Su G 2024 Nature of charge density waves and metal-insulator transition in pressurized $\text{La}_3\text{Ni}_2\text{O}_7$ *Phys. Rev. B* **110** L140508
- [95] Ouyang Z, Gao M and Lu Z-Y 2024 Absence of electron-phonon coupling superconductivity in the bilayer phase of $\text{La}_3\text{Ni}_2\text{O}_7$ under pressure *npj Quantum Mater.* **9** 80
- [96] Zhan J, Gu Y, Wu X and Hu J 2025 Cooperation between electron-phonon coupling and electronic interaction in bilayer nickelates $\text{La}_3\text{Ni}_2\text{O}_7$ *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **134** 136002
- [97] Yang Q-G, Wang D and Wang Q H 2023 Possible $s^\pm$ -wave superconductivity in $\text{La}_3\text{Ni}_2\text{O}_7$ *Phys. Rev. B* **108** L140505
- [98] Liu Y-B, Mei J-W, Ye F, Chen W-Q and Yang F 2023 $s^\pm$ -wave pairing and the destructive role of apical-oxygen deficiencies in $\text{La}_3\text{Ni}_2\text{O}_7$ under pressure *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **131** 236002

- [99] Qu X-Z, Qu D-W, Chen J, Wu C, Yang F, Li W and Su G 2024 Bilayer $t - J - J_{\perp}$ model and magnetically mediated pairing in the pressurized nickelate $\text{La}_3\text{Ni}_2\text{O}_7$ *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **132** 036502
- [100] Lu C, Pan Z, Yang F and Wu C 2024 Interlayer-coupling-driven high-temperature superconductivity in $\text{La}_3\text{Ni}_2\text{O}_7$ under pressure *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **132** 146002
- [101] Tian Y-H, Chen Y, Wang J-M, He R-Q and Lu Z-Y 2024 Correlation effects and concomitant two-orbital $s_{\pm}$ -wave superconductivity in $\text{La}_3\text{Ni}_2\text{O}_7$ under high pressure *Phys. Rev. B* **109** 165154
- [102] Maier T A, Doak P, Lin L-F, Zhang Y, Moreo A and Dagotto E 2026 Interlayer pairing in bilayer nickelates *npj Quantum Mater.* **11** 19
- [103] Chen C-Q, Qiu W, Luo Z, Wang M and Yao D-X 2026 Electronic structures and superconductivity in Nd-doped $\text{La}_3\text{Ni}_2\text{O}_7$ *Sci. China Phys. Mech. Astron.* **69** 247414
- [104] Huang J, Wang Z D and Zhou T 2023 Impurity and vortex states in the bilayer high-temperature superconductor $\text{La}_3\text{Ni}_2\text{O}_7$ *Phys. Rev. B* **108** 174501
- [105] Luo Z, Lv B, Wang M, Wú W and Yao D-X 2024 High- T_C superconductivity in $\text{La}_3\text{Ni}_2\text{O}_7$ based on the bilayer two-orbital $t - J$ model *npj Quantum Mater.* **9** 61
- [106] Ryee S, Witt N and Wehling T O 2024 Quenched pair breaking by interlayer correlations as a key to superconductivity in $\text{La}_3\text{Ni}_2\text{O}_7$ *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **133** 096002
- [107] Fan Z, Zhang J-F, Zhan B, Lv D, Jiang X-Y, Normand B and Xiang T 2024 Superconductivity in nickelate and cuprate superconductors with strong bilayer coupling *Phys. Rev. B* **110** 024514
- [108] Heier Park G K and Savrasov S Y 2024 Competing d_{xy} and $s^{\pm}$ pairing symmetries in superconducting $\text{La}_3\text{Ni}_2\text{O}_7$: LDA+FLEX calculations *Phys. Rev. B* **109** 04508
- [109] Braz L B, Martins G B and Dias da Silva L G G V 2025 Interlayer interactions in $\text{La}_3\text{Ni}_2\text{O}_7$ under pressure: from $s^{\pm}$ to d_{xy} -wave superconductivity *Phys. Rev. Res.* **7** 033023
- [110] Jiang R, Hou J, Fan Z, Lang Z-J and Ku W 2024 Pressure driven fractionalization of ionic spins results in cupratelike high- T_c superconductivity in $\text{La}_3\text{Ni}_2\text{O}_7$ *Phys. Rev. Res.* **132** 126503
- [111] Liu H, Xia C, Zhou S and Chen H 2025 Sensitive dependence of pairing symmetry on Ni- e_g crystal field splitting in the nickelate superconductor $\text{La}_3\text{Ni}_2\text{O}_7$ *Nat. Commun.* **16** 1054
- [112] Oh H and Zhang Y-H 2023 Type-II $t - J$ model and shared superexchange coupling from Hund's rule in superconducting $\text{La}_3\text{Ni}_2\text{O}_7$ *Phys. Rev. B* **108** 174511
- [113] Qu X-Z, Qu D-W, Yi X-W, Li W and Su G 2025 Hund's rule, interorbital hybridization and high- T_c superconductivity in the bilayer nickelate $\text{La}_3\text{Ni}_2\text{O}_7$ *Phys. Rev. B* **112** L161101
- [114] Yang H, Oh H and Zhang Y-H 2024 Strong pairing from a small Fermi surface beyond weak coupling: application to $\text{La}_3\text{Ni}_2\text{O}_7$ *Phys. Rev. B* **110** 104517
- [115] Tatsuya K, Masataka K and Kazuhiko K 2025 $t - J$ model for strongly correlated two-orbital systems: application to bilayer nickelate superconductors $\text{La}_3\text{Ni}_2\text{O}_7$ *Phys. Rev. B* **112** 075143
- [116] Zhang J-X, Zhang H-K, You Y-Z and Weng Z-Y 2024 Strong pairing originated from an emergent Z_2 Berry phase in $\text{La}_3\text{Ni}_2\text{O}_7$ *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **133** 126501
- [117] Kakoi M, Kaneko T, Sakakibara H, Ochi M and Kuroki K 2024 Pair correlations of the hybridized orbitals in a ladder model for the bilayer nickelate $\text{La}_3\text{Ni}_2\text{O}_7$ *Phys. Rev. B* **109** L201124
- [118] Liu Y-Q, Wang D and Wang Q-H 2025 Variational quantum Monte Carlo investigations of the superconducting pairing in $\text{La}_3\text{Ni}_2\text{O}_7$ *Phys. Rev. B* **112** 014511
- [119] Jiang K-Y, Cao Y-H, Yang Q-G, Lu H-Y and Wang Q-H 2025 Theory of pressure dependence of superconductivity in bilayer nickelate $\text{La}_3\text{Ni}_2\text{O}_7$ *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **134** 076001
- [120] Zhang Y, Lin L-F, Moreo A, Okamoto S, Maier T A and Dagotto E 2024 General trends of superconducting pairing and magnetic correlations in the Ruddlesden-Popper nickelate m -layered superconductors $\text{La}_{m+1}\text{Ni}_m\text{O}_{3m+1}$ *Phys. Rev. B* **112** 094517
- [121] Sakakibara H *et al* 2024 Theoretical analysis on the possibility of superconductivity in the trilayer Ruddlesden-Popper nickelate $\text{La}_4\text{Ni}_3\text{O}_{10}$ under pressure and its experimental examination: Comparison with $\text{La}_3\text{Ni}_2\text{O}_7$ *Phys. Rev. B* **109** 144511
- [122] Li Q, Zhang Y-J, Xiang Z-N, Zhang Y, Zhu X and Wen H-H 2024 Signature of superconductivity in pressurized $\text{La}_4\text{Ni}_3\text{O}_{10}$ *Chin. Phys. Lett.* **41** 017401
- [123] Zhu Y *et al* 2024 Superconductivity in pressurized trilayer $\text{La}_4\text{Ni}_3\text{O}_{10-\delta}$ single crystals *Nature* **631** 531
- [124] Huang X, Zhang H, Li J, Huo M, Chen J, Qiu Z, Ma P, Huang C, Sun H and Wang W 2024 Signature of superconductivity in pressurized trilayer-nickelate $\text{Pr}_4\text{Ni}_3\text{O}_{10-\delta}$ *Chin. Phys. Lett.* **41** 127403
- [125] Zhang M *et al* 2025 Superconductivity in trilayer nickelate $\text{La}_4\text{Ni}_3\text{O}_{10}$ under pressure *Phys. Rev. X* **12** 021005
- [126] Zhang E, Peng D, Zhu Y, Chen L, Cui B, Wang X, Wang W Z Q and Zhao J 2025 Bulk superconductivity in pressurized trilayer nickelate $\text{Pr}_4\text{Ni}_3\text{O}_{10}$ single crystals *Phys. Rev. X* **15** 021008
- [127] Zhang M, Sun H, Liu Y-B, Liu Q, Chen W-Q and Fan Y 2024 $s^{\pm}$ -wave superconductivity in pressurized $\text{La}_4\text{Ni}_3\text{O}_{10}$ *Phys. Rev. B* **110** L180501
- [128] Yang Q-G, Jiang K-Y, Wang D, Lu H-Y and Wang Q-H 2024 Effective model and $s_{\pm}$ -wave superconductivity in trilayer nickelate $\text{La}_4\text{Ni}_3\text{O}_{10}$ *Phys. Rev. B* **109** L220506
- [129] Yang J *et al* 2026 Electronic origin of density wave orders in a trilayer nickelate (arXiv:2601.22608)
- [130] Li H, Zhou X, Nummy T, Zhang J, Pardo V, Pickett W E, Mitchell J F and Dessau D S 2017 Fermiology and electron dynamics of trilayer nickelate $\text{La}_4\text{Ni}_3\text{O}_{10}$ *Nat. Commun.* **8** 704
- [131] Zhang M, Pei C, Wang Q, Zhao Y, Li C, Cao W, Zhu S, Wu J and Qi Y 2024 Effects of pressure and doping on Ruddlesden-Popper phases $\text{La}_{n+1}\text{Ni}_n\text{O}_{3n+1}$ *J. Mater. Sci. Technol.* **185** 147
- [132] Lin L-F, Zhang Y, Kaushal N, Alvarez G, Maier T A, Moreo A and Dagotto E 2024 Magnetic phase diagram of a two-orbital model for bilayer nickelates with varying doping *Phys. Rev. B* **110** 195135
- [133] Ko E K *et al* 2025 Signatures of ambient pressure superconductivity in thin film $\text{La}_3\text{Ni}_2\text{O}_7$ *Nature* **638** 935
- [134] Zhou G *et al* 2025 Ambient-pressure superconductivity onset above 40 K in $(\text{La},\text{Pr})_3\text{Ni}_2\text{O}_7$ films *Nature* **640** 641
- [135] Xiang L, Lei S, Ren X, Han Z, Xu Z, Zhou X J and Zhu Z 2026 Stabilizing and tuning superconductivity in $\text{La}_3\text{Ni}_2\text{O}_{7-\delta}$ films: oxygen recycling protocol reveals Hole-Doping analogue *Phys. Rev. B* **113** 104522
- [136] Ji H *et al* 2025 Time-reversal symmetry breaking superconductivity with electronic glass in nickelate $(\text{La}, \text{Pr}, \text{Sm})_3\text{Ni}_2\text{O}_7$ films (arXiv:2508.16412)
- [137] Lv W, Nie Z, Wang H, Huang H, Xue Q, Zhou G and Chen Z 2025 Growth optimization of Ruddlesden-Popper nickelate high-temperature superconducting thin films *Acta Phys. Sin.* **74** 227403

- [138] Liu Y *et al* 2025 Superconductivity and normal-state transport in compressively strained $\text{La}_2\text{PrNi}_2\text{O}_7$ thin films *Nat. Mater.* **24** 1221
- [139] Kumar D, Dans J Z, Avers K E, Paxson R, Takeuchi I and Paglione J 2026 Effect of modest hydrostatic pressure on low-temperature transport behavior in $\text{La}_3\text{Ni}_2\text{O}_7$ thin films *Phys. Rev. B* **114** 055128
- [140] Osada M, Terakura C, Kikkawa A, Nakajima M, Chen H-Y, Nomura Y, Tokura Y and Tsukazaki A 2025 Strain-tuning for superconductivity in $\text{La}_3\text{Ni}_2\text{O}_7$ thin films *Commun. Phys.* **8** 251
- [141] Bhatt L *et al* 2026 Resolving structural origins for superconductivity in strain-engineered $\text{La}_3\text{Ni}_2\text{O}_7$ thin films *Nature* **653** 76
- [142] Wang H *et al* 2025 Electronic structures across the superconductor-insulator transition at $\text{La}_{2.85}\text{Pr}_{0.15}\text{Ni}_2\text{O}_7/\text{SrLaAlO}_4$ interfaces (arXiv:2502.18068v1)
- [143] Zhou G, Wang H, Huang H, Chen Y, Peng F, Lv W, Nie Z, Wang W, Xue Q-K and Chen Z 2026 Superconductivity onset above 60 K in ambient-pressure nickelate films *Natl. Sci. Rev.* **13** nwag151
- [144] Tarn Y, Liu Y, Theuss F, Li J, Wang B Y, Wang J, Thampy V, Shen Z-X, Yu Y and Hwang H Y 2026 Reducing the strain required for ambient-pressure superconductivity in bilayer nickelates *Adv. Mater.* **38** e20724
- [145] Hao B *et al* 2025 Superconductivity and phase diagram in Sr-doped $\text{La}_3\text{Ni}_2\text{O}_7$ thin films *Nat. Mater.* **24** 1756
- [146] Li P *et al* 2025 Angle-resolved photoemission spectroscopy of superconducting $(\text{La},\text{Pr})_3\text{Ni}_2\text{O}_7/\text{SrLaAlO}_4$ heterostructures *Natl. Sci. Rev.* **12** nwaf205
- [147] Nie Z *et al* 2026 Superconductivity and electronic structures of nickelate thin film superstructures *Nature* **652** 628
- [148] Shen J *et al* 2026 Nodeless superconducting gap and electron-boson coupling in $(\text{La},\text{Pr},\text{Sm})_3\text{Ni}_2\text{O}_7$ films *Science* **392** 1396
- [149] Fan S, Ou M, Scholten M, Li Q, Shang Z, Wang Y, Xu J, Yang H, Eremin I M and Wen H-H 2026 Superconducting gap structure and bosonic mode in $\text{La}_2\text{PrNi}_2\text{O}_7$ thin films at ambient pressures *Sci. Adv.* **12** 1396
- [150] Sun W *et al* 2026 Observation of superconductivity-induced leading-edge gap in a bilayer nickelate *Nat. Phys.* (<https://doi.org/10.1038/s41567-026-03396-z>)
- [151] Han Z, Xiang L, Zhou X J and Zhu Z 2026 Granular superconductivity in $\text{La}_2\text{PrNi}_2\text{O}_{7-\delta}$ thin films (arXiv:2604.07807)
- [152] Miao Y *et al* 2026 Electronic structures across superconductor-insulator transition in Ruddlesden-Popper bilayer nickelate films (arXiv:2502.18068v2)
- [153] Zhou G *et al* 2025 Gigantic-oxidative atomic-layer-by-layer epitaxy for artificially designed complex oxides *Natl. Sci. Rev.* **12** nwae429
- [154] Deng L *et al* 2026 Ambient-pressure 151-K superconductivity in $\text{HgBa}_2\text{Ca}_2\text{Cu}_3\text{O}_{8+\delta}$ via pressure quench *Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA* **123** e2536178123
- [155] Ren Z-A *et al* 2008 Superconductivity at 55 K in Iron-Based F-Doped Layered Quaternary Compound $\text{Sm}[\text{O}_{1-x}\text{F}_x]\text{FeAs}$ *Chin. Phys. Lett.* **25** 2215
- [156] Hsu Y-T, Liu Y, Kohama Y, Kotte T, Sharma V, Tarn Y, Wang B Y, Shen Z-X, Yu Y and Hwang H Y 2026 Fermi-liquid transport beyond the upper critical field in superconducting $\text{La}_2\text{PrNi}_2\text{O}_7$ thin films *Nat. Commun.* **17** 3760
- [157] Li Q *et al* 2026 Enhanced superconductivity in the compressively strained bilayer nickelate thin films by pressure *Nat. Commun.* **17** 3276
- [158] Shi Y *et al* 2026 Critical thickness and long-term ambient stability in superconducting $\text{LaPr}_2\text{Ni}_2\text{O}_7$ films *Adv. Mater.* **38** e10394
- [159] Jiang A Y *et al* 2026 Persistent structural distortions and absent superconductivity in trilayer nickelate thin films (arXiv:2606.20941)
- [160] Liu Y *et al* 2026 A superconducting half-dome in bilayer nickelates (arXiv:2603.12196)
- [161] Wang B Y *et al* 2026 Electronic structure of compressively strained bilayer nickelate thin film *Phys. Rev. X* **16** 031008
- [162] Linscheid A, Maiti S, Wang Y, Johnston S and Hirschfeld P J 2016 High T_c via spin fluctuations from incipient bands: application to monolayers and intercalates of FeSe *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **117** 077003
- [163] Mishra V, Scalapino D J and Maier T A 2016 $s^\pm$ pairing near a Lifshitz transition *Sci. Rep.* **6** 32078
- [164] Maier T A, Mishra V, Balduzzi G and Scalapino D J 2019 Effective pairing interaction in a system with an incipient band *Phys. Rev. B* **99** 140504(R)
- [165] Matsumoto K, Ogura D and Kuroki K 2020 Strongly enhanced superconductivity due to finite energy spin fluctuations induced by an incipient band: a FLEX study on the bilayer Hubbard model with vertical and diagonal interlayer hoppings *J. Phys. Soc. Jpn.* **89** 044709
- [166] Zhan J, Bejas M, Schnyder A P, Greco A, Wu X and Hu J 2026 Detecting pairing symmetry of bilayer nickelates using electronic raman scattering *Chin. Phys. Lett.* **43** 020706
- [167] Zhang Y, Lin L-F, Moreo A, Okamoto S, Maier T A and Dagotto E 2026 Compressive strain turns $s^\pm$ into d -wave pairing in one-unit-cell $\text{La}_3\text{Ni}_2\text{O}_7$ thin film via substrate-induced hole doping *Phys. Rev. B* **113** L140505
- [168] Xiong Y Cai Y and Ma T 2026 Pairing symmetry crossover from d -wave to $s^\pm$ -wave in a bilayer Nickelate Driven by Hund's coupling and crystal field splitting *Phys. Rev. B* **113** 125134
- [169] Zhao Y-F and Botana A S 2025 Electronic structure of Ruddlesden-Popper nickelates: Strain to mimic the effects of pressure *Phys. Rev. B* **111** 115154
- [170] Shi Z, Huo Z, Li G, Ma H, Cui T, Yao D-X and Duan D 2025 The effect of carrier doping and thickness on the electronic structures of $\text{La}_3\text{Ni}_2\text{O}_7$ thin films *Chin. Phys. Lett.* **42** 080708
- [171] Hu X, Qiu W, Chen C-Q, Luo Z and Yao D-X 2025 Electronic structures and multi-orbital models of $\text{La}_3\text{Ni}_2\text{O}_7$ thin films at ambient pressure *Commun. Phys.* **8** 506
- [172] Li G, Chen C-Q, Shi H, Liu Z, Ma H, Tian F, Yao D-X and Duan D 2026 Theoretical study on the electronic properties and multi-orbital models of $\text{La}_3\text{Ni}_2\text{O}_7$ thin films on SrLaAlO_4 (001) *AAPPS Bull* **36** 13
- [173] Yi X-W, Li W, You J-Y, Gu B and Su G 2025 Unifying strain- and pressure-driven superconductivity in $\text{La}_3\text{Ni}_2\text{O}_7$: suppressed charge and spin density waves and enhanced interlayer coupling *Phys. Rev. B* **112** L140504
- [174] Geisler B, Hamlin J J, Stewart G R, Hennig R G and Hirschfeld P J 2026 Electronic reconstruction and interface engineering of emergent spin fluctuations in compressively strained $\text{La}_3\text{Ni}_2\text{O}_7$ on SrLaAlO_4 (001) *Phys. Rev. B* **113** 054516
- [175] Hua Y, He W, Chen W-Q, Miao J-J and Yue C 2026 Possible enhancement of superconductivity in ambient-pressure $\text{La}_3\text{Ni}_2\text{O}_7$ thin film *Phys. Rev. B* **114** 034511
- [176] Geisler B, Hamlin J J, Stewart G R, Hennig R G and Hirschfeld P J 2025 Fermi surface reconstruction and enhanced spin fluctuations in strained $\text{La}_3\text{Ni}_2\text{O}_7$ on LaAlO_3 (001) and SrTiO_3 (001) *Phys. Rev. B* **112** L100506
- [177] Shao Z-Y, Liu Y-B, Liu M and Yang F D 2025 Band structure and pairing nature of $\text{La}_3\text{Ni}_2\text{O}_7$ thin films at ambient pressure *Phys. Rev. B* **112** 024506

- [178] Cao Y-H, Jiang K-Y, Lu H-Y, Wang D and Wang Q-H 2026 Strain-engineered electronic structure and superconductivity in $\text{La}_3\text{Ni}_2\text{O}_7$ thin films *Sci. China Phys. Mech. Astron.* **69** 247412
- [179] Shao Z-Y, Lu C, Liu M, Liu Y-B, Pan Z, Wu C and Yang F 2025 Pairing without γ -pocket in the $\text{La}_3\text{Ni}_2\text{O}_7$ thin films (arXiv:2507.20287)
- [180] Ryee S, Witt N, Sangiovanni G and Wehling T O 2025 Superconductivity governed by Janus-Faced fermiology in strained bilayer nickelates *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **135** 236003
- [181] Ji J-H, Lu C, Shao Z-Y, Pan Z, Yang F and Wu C 2025 Strong-coupling study of the pairing mechanism in pressurized $\text{La}_3\text{Ni}_2\text{O}_7$ *Phys. Rev. B* **112** 214515
- [182] Yue C *et al* 2025 Correlated electronic structures and unconventional superconductivity in bilayer nickelate heterostructures *Natl. Sci. Rev.* **12** nwaf253
- [183] Huang J and Zhou T 2025 Effective perpendicular electric field as a probe for interlayer pairing in ambient-pressure superconducting $\text{La}_{2.85}\text{Pr}_{0.15}\text{Ni}_2\text{O}_7$ thin films *Phys. Rev. B* **112** 054506
- [184] Qiu W, Luo Z, Hu X and Yao D-X 2025 Pairing symmetry and superconductivity in $\text{La}_3\text{Ni}_2\text{O}_7$ thin films *Chin. Phys. Lett.* **43** 080710
- [185] Bleys L, Corkill N, Zhao Y-F, Pascut G L, LaBollita H, Botana A S and Quader K F 2025 Role of correlations in Ruddlesden-Popper bilayer nickelates under compressive strain (arXiv:2509.00940)
- [186] Zhong Y, Wu W and Yao D-X 2026 Superexchanges and charge transfer in the $\text{La}_3\text{Ni}_2\text{O}_7$ thin films *Chin. Phys. Lett.* **43** 030713
- [187] Le C, Zhan J, Wu X and Hu J 2025 Opposite-Mirror-Parity scattering as the origin of superconductivity in strained bilayer nickelates (arXiv:2501.14665)
- [188] Chen Z, Ji J-H, Liu Y-B, Zhang M and Yang Y 2026 A unified understanding of the experimental controlling of the T_c of bilayer nickelates (arXiv:2603.14519)
- [189] Cao Y-H, Jiang K-Y, Lu H-Y, Wang D and Wang Q-H 2026 Tunable superconductivity and spin density wave in $\text{LaNiO}/\text{LaAlO}$ thin films (arXiv:2604.05590)
- [190] Ushio K, Kamiyama S, Hoshi Y, Mizuno R, Ochi M, Kuroki K and Sakakibara H 2026 Theoretical study on ambient pressure superconductivity in $\text{La}_3\text{Ni}_2\text{O}_7$ thin films structural analysis, model construction and robustness of $s^\pm$ -wave pairings *Phys. Rev. B* **114** 1014501
- [191] Shao Z-Y, Ji J-H, Wu C, Yao D-X and Yang F 2026 Possible liquid-nitrogen-temperature superconductivity driven by perpendicular electric field in the single-bilayer film of $\text{La}_3\text{Ni}_2\text{O}_7$ at ambient pressure *Nat. Commun.* **17** 1120
- [192] Chen X *et al* 2024 Polymorphism in the Ruddlesden–Popper Nickelate $\text{La}_3\text{Ni}_2\text{O}_7$: discovery of a hidden phase with distinctive layer stacking *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **146** 23640
- [193] Puphal P *et al* 2024 Unconventional crystal structure of the high-pressure superconductor $\text{La}_3\text{Ni}_2\text{O}_7$ *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **133** 146002
- [194] Wang H, Chen L, Rutherford A, Zhou H and Xie W 2024 Long-range structural order in a hidden phase of Ruddlesden–Popper bilayer nickelate $\text{La}_3\text{Ni}_2\text{O}_7$ *Inorg. Chem.* **63** 5020
- [195] Abadi S *et al* 2025 Electronic structure of the alternating monolayer-trilayer phase of $\text{La}_3\text{Ni}_2\text{O}_7$ *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **134** 126001
- [196] Li F *et al* 2024 Design and synthesis of three-dimensional hybrid Ruddlesden–Popper nickelate single crystals *Phys. Rev. Mater.* **8** 053401
- [197] Shi M *et al* 2025 Pressure induced superconductivity in hybrid Ruddlesden–Popper $\text{La}_5\text{Ni}_3\text{O}_{11}$ single crystals *Nat. Phys.* **21** 1780
- [198] Huang C, Li J, Huang X, Zhang H, Hu D, Huo M, Chen X, Chen Z, Sun H and Wang M 2025 Superconductivity in monolayer-trilayer phase of $\text{La}_3\text{Ni}_2\text{O}_7$ under high pressure (arXiv:2510.12250)
- [199] Zhang Y, Lin L-F, Moreo A, Maier T A and Dagotto E 2026 Tunable superconductivity in $1313\text{-La}_3\text{Ni}_2\text{O}_7$: suppressed under compression and possible $s^\pm$ pairing under tension (arXiv:2606.17273)
- [200] Zhang Y, Lin L-F, Moreo A, Okamoto S, Maier T A and Dagotto E 2025 Electronic structure, magnetic and pairing tendencies of alternating single-layer BL stacking nickelate $\text{La}_5\text{Ni}_3\text{O}_{11}$ under pressure *Phys. Rev. B* **112** 094515
- [201] LaBollita H and Botana A S 2026 Correlated electronic structure of the alternating monolayer-bilayer nickelate $\text{La}_5\text{Ni}_3\text{O}_{11}$ *Phys. Rev.* **113** 115133
- [202] Zhang M, Chen C-Q, Yao D-X and Yang F 2026 Pairing mechanism and superconductivity in pressurized $\text{La}_5\text{Ni}_3\text{O}_{11}$ *Sci. China Phys. Mech. Astron.* **69** 257411
- [203] Zhang Y, Lin L-F, Moreo A, Maier T A and Dagotto E 2024 Electronic structure, self-doping and superconducting instability in the alternating single-layer trilayer stacking nickelates $\text{La}_3\text{Ni}_2\text{O}_7$ *Phys. Rev. B* **110** L060510
- [204] LaBollita H, Bag S, Kapeghian J and Botana A S 2024 Electronic correlations, layer distinction and electron doping in the alternating single-layer–trilayer $\text{La}_3\text{Ni}_2\text{O}_7$ polymorph *Phys. Rev. B* **110** 155145
- [205] Lechermann F, Bötzel S and Eremin I M 2024 Electronic instability, layer selectivity and Fermi arcs in $\text{La}_3\text{Ni}_2\text{O}_7$ *Phys. Rev. Mater.* **8** 074802
- [206] Zhang Y, Lin L-F, Moreo A, Maier T A and Dagotto E 2025 Magnetic correlations and pairing tendencies of the hybrid stacking nickelate superlattice $\text{La}_7\text{Ni}_5\text{O}_{17}$ ($\text{La}_3\text{Ni}_2\text{O}_7/\text{La}_4\text{Ni}_3\text{O}_{10}$) under pressure *Phys. Rev. B* **112** 024508
- [207] Li Z, Guo W, Zhang T T, Song J H, Gao T Y, Gu Z B and Nie Y F 2020 Epitaxial growth and electronic structure of Ruddlesden–Popper nickelates ($\text{La}_{3n+1}\text{Ni}_n\text{O}_{3n+1}$ ($n = 1-5$)) *APL Mater.* **8** 091112
- [208] Sun W, Li Y, Cai X, Yang J, Guo W, Gu Z, Zhu Y and Nie Y 2021 $\text{Nd}_{3n+1}\text{Ni}_n\text{O}_{3n+1}$ ($n = 1-5$) *Phys. Rev. B* **104** 184518